

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 48 No. 19

SATURDAY, 14 DECEMBER, 1968

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

Those Who Are Not For Us Are Against Us

By C. H. DOUGLAS

(Originally published in *The Fig Tree*, December, 1937)

To those interested in such matters, the mainly repulsive tribal rag-bag known to the general public as the Old Testament, and to the Jews, in part, as the "Torah" (the Law), is a veil, probably itself much mangled, to a treatise legible only with the aid of a key. Origen, most scholarly of the Early Christian Fathers, as quoted by Dunlap, remarks, "If we hold to the letter, and must understand what stands written in the Law, after the manner of the Jews and common people, then I should blush to confess that it is God who has given these Laws: then the laws of men appear more excellent and reasonable". The "Zohar", the chronicle of the early Jewish Rabbis (III folio, 1526), says, "The recitals of the Torah are the vestments of the Torah. Woe unto him who takes this garment for the Torah itself".

Now it is becoming evident from many sources, such as *inter alia*, the excellent studies of Cromwell (whose real name was Williams) and of the Stuart Kings, which have appeared from several pens in the last few years, that there is the closest relationship between the type of so-called religion which delights in the savagery in the Old Testament, read literally, and the financial tyranny under which the world is groaning, and through which it may yet be wrecked for centuries to come. Cromwell himself was the nephew of a rich moneylender and was financed by Manasseh-ben-Israel as well as by the English Whig bankers. His sour-faced Praise-god-Barebones soldiery justified their atrocities and vandalisms by reference to Old Testament barbarity. William of Orange was alike the nominee of Lutheran-Calvinistic preachers and "Dutch" Finance, and the Georgian era is outstanding for its coarseness and brutality. The constitutional crisis still fresh in our minds was notable for a prelate's public utterances addressed to Old Testament prejudice rather than Christian charity, and skilfully utilised by Whig bankers once again. Scotland itself, whose present plight is one of the world's tragedies, stems, in its condition today, direct from John Knox.

Whether there is anything of practical consequence to be extracted from a decoded Old Testament I do not know—it is "not my pidgin". But that evil, cruelty and tyranny in the world derive no small part of their terrifying strength from the fact that they are interwoven with the philosophy of a book supposed to convey Divine inspiration in the form in which it is commonly read, I have no doubt whatever.

Equally, the devastating feebleness of so many well-disposed persons arose in great measure from a schism between a philosophy which was pumped into them in their early years and is still active in their unconscious minds, on the one hand, and an intellectual rejection of it, on the other. No one can act effectively against their unconscious convictions. That is why we see so much of what one may call St. Martin's in the Fields-ism, which the B.B.C. is delighted to broadcast—an impeccable protest against social symptoms which always shies off an indication of causes, more especially and completely, of the chief cause, the financial system.

The mediaeval preachers used to insist that religion which stopped short of actual conversion was like unto filthy rags. They had the root of the matter. Every attempt to treat a symptom of the financial disease diverts attention from the disease itself. The Labour revenge-complex all-power-to-the-State-Party, with its "planning" and bureaucracy, is a great deal further from a Christian economics than even the Liberal *laissez-faire*, with all its abuses. Both are Whiggism: an assumption of moral superiority used as a cloak behind which to exercise authority in support of the hidden potentate, Mammon.

There are many readers of this review who are in despair at the revolting exhibitions of human frailty which are, so far as they are able, assisting the bankers against the Social Credit Movement. I do not share that view. The devil does not waste time, and he is the Father of Lies. If the Devil
(continued on page 4)

For New and Occasional Readers

So swift has been and continues the unfolding of the practical consequences of long-term Communist strategy in the past eighteen months that it is impossible in a Journal this size constantly to review the background against which current analyses are presented. K.R.P. Publications and Tidal Publications have available back-numbers of T.S.C. relating to this background, and various booklets and books particularly relevant to the current disastrous situation, which are described in the brochure *Communism, Conspiracy and Treason*. This may be obtained free on request up to six copies (additional copies 6d. each posted). Alternatively, a relevant selection of copies of T.S.C. and booklets, listed on page 4, may be obtained on application for £1 posted.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 45/-; Six months 22/6; Three months 11/6.

Offices: Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London E.11.

Telephone: 01-534 7395

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London NW1

Telephone: 01-387 3893

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne, Victoria 3001

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001

(Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia 2603. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone: 01-387 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scouler, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

After a brief wobble, induced by the movement (cleared in advance with Washington) of Warsaw Pact troops through Czechoslovakia to the German border, Sovietologists and other slightly assorted political commentators are firmly back in the 'Russia is mellowing' *détente* groove. Some even suggest that NATO has been relatively strengthened, because Czechoslovakia has become 'less reliable' as a member of the Warsaw Pact.

Hitler wrote (*Mein Kampf*): "The pacifist-humane ideal is perhaps quite satisfactory once the highest type of man has conquered and subjected the world, so that he is the only master of the globe." "... peace, established by the victorious sword of a people of overlords which can blend the world to the service of a higher culture." And Herr Ewald Banse, Nazi professor of military science: "Is it possible for the community of ninety million Germans in central Europe to achieve both hegemony in Europe and supremacy in the world outside? The fact that this riddle has not yet been solved does not prove that it is insoluble."*

But before Hitler, Lenin: "To carry on a war for the overthrow of the international bourgeois, a war which is a hundred times more difficult, prolonged and complicated than the most stubborn of ordinary wars between states . . ." For temporary and practical purposes, the shadowy backers of Pan-Germanism and Communism now put forward Party Communists as "the highest type of man". The Germans were only temporary contenders, serving an intermediate purpose.

From the time of Versailles onwards, the shadowy powers behind Germany prepared, through the Great German General Staff (G.D.G.S.), for the resumption of war; and by the time Hitler came to power, the foundations had been laid, in the form of the expansion of an officer corps, and secret rearmament. But 1933 to 1935 were the critical years, during which Germany had to become strong enough to be able openly to announce conscription. The point of no return from advance towards world war lay in those years. In 1934, Schwarzschild wrote: "A few last months still

*Germany Prepares for War: quoted by Leopold Schwarzschild, *World in a Trance*.

remain in which to make good the mistakes of fourteen years. During those few months it is the world's foremost task to throttle the continuation of German rearmament at all costs . . . But after the lapse of those few months there will be nothing more to be prevented."

Schwarzschild's prescription for this situation was "a brutal presentation of force (as) the only means to peace, the guaranteed means to peace . . . The method is simple. It is based upon the fact that Germany will not for some months to come be in a position to cope with the concentrated military strength of France, let alone with that of a collection of states. During the short intervening period of incomplete armament—and only during this intervening period—she would with absolute certainty suffer annihilation if the cannons began to roar". This was the period when the British Socialists, the Press, and the BBC were perfervidly pro-German, just as their present fervour for the U.S.S.R. is only mildly tempered by the events in Czechoslovakia.

After twenty-two years of 'mistakes' following the second German phase of the war for World Hegemony, we passed the point of no return towards war or surrender with the entrance of the Russian navy in force into the Mediterranean, and the pre-positioning of heavy military equipment in the Middle East, as well as the staffing of airfields along the Mediterranean (see report by Ronald Payne, *Sunday Telegraph*, Nov. 10, 1968).

This situation is 1934 in reverse. *The U.S.S.R. now holds the "concentrated military power" formerly held by France, but, unlike France then, is prepared and ready to use it—"brutally"—; and NATO vis-a-vis that strength is in the former position of Germany.* The communiqué of the belated (in relation to the European situation) meeting of the NATO Council tacitly admits this, in calling for the strengthening—i.e., rearming—of NATO's run-down defences. But rearming requires time; *it is Russia* which controls the critical few months. Schwarzschild's question arises in a new Communist form: "Will NATO be permitted to go through the period of military weakness unmolested? Or will she be forced to abandon her 'aggressive' path?" As was easily predictable (see *T.S.C.*, Sept. 21, 1968) the answer came swiftly: within twenty-four hours of the communiqué Moscow denounced it as clear evidence of NATO's aggressive designs—and, by implication, justification for "the brutal presentation of force (as) the only means to (Communist) peace". Mr. Michael Stewart, however, has gaily assured his country that the NATO communiqué will deter Russia.

In an ABC news review (Nov. 1, 1968) Ritchie McEwen disclosed that to forestall 'invasion', Yugoslavia has agreed with Moscow on certain troop dispositions, and the availability to Russia of certain airfields. This, of course, makes the Adriatic a potential Russian preserve, with an implied threat to Greece, Italy and Turkey.

Let Schwarzschild speak again: "A Europe (but this time it is a Warsaw Pact) which understands the hour, which knows how to speak in plain terms to the new rulers of Germany, will bring home everything it wants on the points of its bayonets—without having to make use of one single bayonet, without spilling a single drop of blood. By force and yet without war Europe's rush towards a war of annihilation can be arrested. But only by force. And only for a few months more." It might be Khrushchev speaking.

Despite his admitted admiration for Harold Wilson's audacious virtuosity as a politician caught in prevarication, Sir Arthur Bryant some weeks ago wrote in the *Illustrated London News* to the effect that the guilt of the present British Government in relation to the present situation far exceeds that of the 'guilty' men of Munich. Of course it does. We are confronted with exactly the same threat of annihilation as was constituted by Nazi Germany, but this time a threat better prepared, and enormously better mounted; and even proceeding from the same ultimate source—the shadowy forces behind the Moscow-Washington Axis.

"There is a direct line through Marxian Socialism and the endowment of the London School of Economics (which seems now to have served its purpose) by Sir Ernest Cassel, the large sums donated to the Labour Party by German-speaking Jews, and its close connection with German Socialists, which connects the German General Staff with the attempt (long since achieved) to bureaucratise this country. The object is simple. The G.D.G.S. knows exactly how to use a bureaucracy for its own ends, without the bureaucracy having any conscious participation. And the end is the downfall of Great Britain (also accomplished), as a step to World Dominion.

(To this end) "The coalition of Germany (i.e. the G.D.G.S.*) and Russia is logical, but the Russian mentality is very dissimilar to that of the German, and may easily contribute unrehearsed developments." (C. H. Douglas: *The Brief For the Prosecution*; 1944.)

There can be no doubt that the downfall of Great Britain has been accomplished through the bureaucracy (plus the financial policies dictated by Washington). But Great Britain did not bureaucratise itself; bureaucracy was imposed by the Socialist politicians—in the main, by the Labour Party Socialists, but only to a lesser extent by the Conservative Party Socialists. In any case, there is no way of bringing a bureaucracy to account. But that is not to say that *nobody* should be brought to account.

Short of the remote possibility that the U.S.A. Administration (the Washington component of the Washington-Moscow Axis—it will be the same under President Nixon—) can be forced by Conservative U.S. public opinion to intervene *immediately* in the European-Mediterranean situation in such a way that the use of strategic nuclear weapons if necessary *would not be open to doubt*, **BRITAIN IS NOW FACED WITH THE PROSPECT OF SURRENDER TO COMMUNISM EXTERNAL OR INTERNAL, BRINGING 'COMMUNIST JUSTICE'; OR ANNIHILATION THROUGH WAR.**

The present British Government, by the *announcement* of and preparation for withdrawal 'East of Suez', has contributed vastly to the time-table of disaster, bringing forward Communist objectives perhaps by years, and disrupting defence patterns throughout the world; it has gravely weakened British external defences, maintenance of which is a *prime* governmental responsibility; and has destroyed British home defences.

For all this, the man nominally responsible, the Prime Minister, Mr. Harold Wilson, should be impeached.

The idea of impeachment is neither vindictive nor punitive. It is exemplary. It would produce such a shock through-

out the world that American conservative opinion *might* be able to break the Washington-Moscow Axis.

To allow Party loyalty to take precedence in the present situation is to give priority to death or slavery. The present Government demonstrably no longer represents the true majority of the British people, and loyalty to it represents treachery to the people.

The U.S.S.R. has suddenly emerged as a great maritime power (for which, of course, there must have been long preparation). The significant feature is that the U.S.S.R. is cutting freight-rates, thus threatening the 'free'-world economies and contributing to that anticipated economic breakdown of the 'Capitalist' system which plays so large a part in Finance-Communism's global strategy.

This undercutting is, of course, an inverted application of Social Credit monetary technique: the lowering of the price of freight services by the provision of credit to compensate the book-keeping 'loss'—i.e., if a service can be provided, it can be paid for, just as world war, immeasurably more costly than peace, can be paid for.

Extra copies of this and other recent issues are available to subscribers at a nominal charge of 4d. each posted. Any additional contributions towards the costs would be appreciated.

Evil

One of the curious, not to say sinister, features of the current period is the prevalence of that form of Black Magic which consists in saying and affirming that evil does not exist. With the decline of institutional Christianity, a decline by no means inexcusable, even if regrettable, a myriad of "interpretations", most of them perversions of Gnosticism or Neo-Platonism, have invaded the more or less swept and garnished chambers of the public mind. Christian Science is perhaps the best known and most widely distributed, although it would be straining the meaning of words to term it non-institutional. The non-existence of Evil appears to be one of its teachings.

May we say at once that a great deal of valuable instruction, and much help and comfort have been disseminated by the Christian Science organisation, and its activities in many directions command real respect.

One of its outstanding achievements is the production of perhaps the most popular "Centre" newspaper in the English language, the *Christian Science Monitor*, widely read on its merits by many people who would not accept, and in many cases are not interested in, its metaphysics. Yet they probably absorb the atmosphere unconsciously.

Nevertheless and notwithstanding, the Devil never did a cleverer piece of work than when he persuaded his victims that he does not exist.

The proofs of it are everywhere. The growing inability to distinguish good from evil, with its corollary that nothing matters, there are no objectives except the whim of the moment (and the whims themselves are giving out), no absolute values; nothing is "proper", therefore there is no property; that since it is now much easier (temporarily) to vote yourself into your neighbour's house than to build one for yourself, work is foolish and politics without preparation is the universal career. These are the logical outcome of a crude *monotheism*.

*See *The State of the World*. KRP Publications, 3/- posted.

The combined inability and unwillingness of so many of the pseudo-educated, firstly to recognise the wave of Evil which is sweeping the world, and secondly to realise the extent to which its Servants rely upon absence of publicity and criticism is a major factor in the spiritual Armageddon which is in progress. It is becoming increasingly true that only one metaphysic, dialectical materialism, is presented, in various forms, to a conditioned majority: (nothing could be more remarkable, outside a Russian "Trial", than the acceptance of responsibility for the present chaos by those who are the greatest sufferers by it). Good and evil have no place in this; Power is Lord of all.

The denial of evil is an affirmation of equality—having no quality. This is the end of entropy unmodified—Power which renders itself powerless.

—C. H. Douglas in "From Week to Week" Notes in *The Social Crediter*, Aug. 20, 1949.

The South West

We must compare the state of territories administered by white people in Africa with their previous condition, not with the system of Westminster, although one can legitimately glance at the lands which the whites have rather precipitously left. Such is the impression gathered from Thomas Molnar's fascinating *South West Africa*, which he calls the Last Pioneer Country.

For the bushmen, the original inhabitants, were threatened with extermination by succeeding waves of tribes who entered the territory and often behaved cruelly enough to the natives who took refuge in the Kalahari desert. The white man, who today is a South African, has brought order and built perhaps the finest hospital in the Southern hemisphere, adapted to the needs and habits of the tribesmen. Moreover they preserve the tribal structure and pride, although a few of the tribesmen stay in the town permanently. The bushmen, a relic of the neolithic age, set many problems for their instructors but like all the rest are taught to fend for themselves with the skills that they learn.

Dr. Molnar, an American, wrote in 1966 and shows UNO as the villain of the piece, feeding on false reports and disseminating them and generally interfering. Allard Lowenstein provided one of these slanted reports in *Brutal Mandate: A Journey to South West Africa*, to which Mrs. Roosevelt contributed a foreword and which UNO swallowed. He completely falsified the menu, for instance, provided for the African working on his year's contract. For South Africa does not turn the natives into black gentlemen, unacceptable to their own people, or into agitators, but sends them back to their tribe with acquired skills which will gradually raise the backward peoples.

We may note Ian Colvin's report (*London Daily Telegraph*, October 10) that "Mr. Smith is aware that Mr. Wilson wants no chiefs in the Senate, on the ground that

their role is outworn compared with that of the political parties, a view held by Mr. Sandys as Commonwealth Secretary in 1964 . . . 'We really need the support of the chiefs and the tribal system in the security field today', said Mr. Smith". Presumably the Englishmen took their views from ambitious politicians, as they have no experience of their own, and events since 1964 give no support to the politicians' views. Presumably in Africa the implementation of such views would mean tribalism writ large with permanent subjection of the smaller tribe, whereas in South West Africa each tribe has its chance of development.

Dr. Molnar, who has also written *Africa: A Political Travelogue*, reveals the Macchiavellian deceits that prevail at UNO and shows that these are the stock in trade of the communist powers and their dupes, remarking on the complex systems of divisions in other countries, including the "untouchables", and the United Nations' system in Cyprus. If he is still Professor of French at Brooklyn College, his students have a most stimulating teacher. —H.S.

Those Who Are Not For Us Are Against Us

(continued from page 1)

were not active in it, there would be no virtue in the Social Credit Movement. But that is no reason why he should not be known for what he is. "They know not what they do" does not mean that nobody else shall know what they do.

Labels mean little. There is enough of the genuine spirit of Christianity in the world today, in the followers of every creed, to form the basis of a genuine democracy, and a genuine democracy can rest only on that spirit. But to that democracy, and to sound judgment, there is no more disruptive influence than a spurious "Divine Law". That there are passages in the Old Testament of high poetic merit, either in the original or in the beautiful language prevalent in English literature at the date of King James's translation, is unquestionable. But as a guide to life a book stands by its results. *Si monumentum requiris, circumspice.*

In Harness

The death of Mr. T. V. Holmes, which took place suddenly on November 25th, will be widely regretted. He was actively engaged until the last. We extend our warm sympathy to Mrs. Holmes.

An Introductory Package on the International Conspiracy Finance, Socialism (Fabianism) & Communism

Finance and Communism & Grass-Roots Economics	4/-
The State of the World	3/-
No Co-Existence	1/6
Destalinisation	1/6
What We Face	1/6
A Treatise on Treason	1/6
The Art of the Possible	3/-
Social Credit and Suez	1/6
Step by Step	9d.
More Truth About Vietnam	2/3
None Dare Call It Treason	7/6
Three back numbers of <i>The Social Crediter</i>	5/3

Total Retail Value 33/3

The whole package for £1.0.0 posted

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.

Antecedents of Communism

Tracing the writings of Marx to their original source, this booklet gives some important history of the International Conspiracy.

2/6 posted

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.

Printed by E Fish & Co Ltd Liverpool