

# THE SOCIAL CREDITER

## FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 45 No. 2

SATURDAY, APRIL 24, 1965

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

### The Psychological War

By JAS. GUTHRIE

As the war being waged to destroy the last remnants of white civilisation nears its end, it might be profitable to take a look at the more successful tactics used to destroy us.

It is time we stopped talking about the cold war. The "cold" war is now exceedingly hot and being waged ruthlessly on a grand scale.

The international fraternity who direct this war have practically unlimited resources. They have the financial resources of U.S.A. and the military resources of U.S.S.R. and the propaganda resources of U.N.O. besides agents inside governments and in all key organisations. Every organ of publicity is being used to attack and smear any person who reveals the methods and persons used to destroy us.

In this psychological war one of the chief weapons used is words. Words are being used for three chief purposes: one is to form a smokescreen to hide what is actually happening in our own country, the second is to make us look ahead for a nuclear war which might be coming when in fact the real war is practically over; the third purpose of this war of words is to rob us of the incentive to protect our own society by instilling into us a vast guilt complex about that society.

It should be clearly stated that one of the most important inventions of all time is the use of words to convey an idea from one person to another; this, like the discovery of nuclear energy, can be used for construction on a grand scale, or for violent destruction. We shall have to change drastically our casual and rather contemptuous outlook on the value of words and the use that is being made of them. If we scratch beneath the surface of things we have to acquire words to communicate our new experiences to others. In many ways words do the thinking for us. It becomes important therefore to examine some of the highly explosive words that are being dropped into our midst, and to find ways of protecting ourselves. For example, the tremendous pressure which was exerted on Britain to join the European Common Market\* was represented to us as an attempt at economic unity; actually, economics had little to do with this campaign. Most of the pressure came from the U.S.A.; the British people were not consulted. The attempt to make Britain a minority group in a large European organisation was a political move to destroy Britain as a nation and so destroy the British Commonwealth; I don't think there is the slightest doubt about this. The insidious kind of psychological warfare used in this case is instructive. The commentators, when referring to this subject, never said "If the British join the Common Market". They all said "When the British join the Common Market". Notice the subtle suggestion of inevitability, of a decision already made.

The international fraternity who run the United Nations Organisation and appear to have the only say in Press, Radio

and Television and the Universities, maintain that there is no real difference between the various nations or between various individuals; and any apparent difference can be removed by the destruction of all boundaries, and all sovereignty.

It should be noticed here that although continuous propaganda holds up to contempt and ridicule the idea of nationality, especially British nationality, every effort is being made to elevate the idea of nationhood among African and Asian peoples who know nothing or little about such things.

All men and women have elemental needs for food, shelter and education and none should be denied these. But to go on from here and suggest that all men are equal is to suggest that all men are identical; mere imitations of one another: that there is no such thing as personality, no such thing as an individual: man is just one of a gigantic mob. This idea is so absurd that no one would dare discuss this aspect in public, as it is obvious that there is no such thing as equality even in children of the same parents.

The fiction is maintained that African savages, by the mere procedure of counting noses, can take over parliamentary systems which England and France, after years of experience, neither understand nor know how to control. There is obviously some very nasty work going on here.

I wonder if it is possible to imagine what a dreary world this would be if all men were the same and all nations were the same. It is obvious that men are not equal in skill, or in their likes or dislikes, or in their acceptance of responsibility; where then comes this equality we hear so much about? It is only when men lose their individuality and descend to the lowest level—the level of the mob—that you find anything like equality and uniformity.

T. S. Eliot in his book *Notes Towards the Definition of Culture* said, "It would be no gain whatever for English culture, for the Welsh, Scots and Irish to become indistinguishable from Englishmen—what would happen, of course, is that we should become indistinguishable 'Britons' at a lower level of culture than that of any of the separate regions. On the contrary, it is of great advantage for English culture to be constantly influenced from Scotland, Ireland and Wales."

In the same book T. S. Eliot quotes from *Science and the Modern World* by A. N. Whitehead as follows:

"A diversification among human communities is essential for the provision of the incentive and material for the Odyssey of the human spirit. Other nations of different habits are not enemies: they are godsend. Men require of their neighbours something sufficiently akin to be understood, something sufficiently different to provoke attention, and something great enough to command admiration."

\* The writer uses past tense, but the threat is now renewed.

(continued on page 4)

# THE SOCIAL CREDITER

## FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1.  
Telephone: EUSton 3893.

### IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

### THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1, Telephone EUSton 3893). Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

## The International Financial-Communist Conspiracy

Our difficulties do not arise from a lack of information. There is an abundance of it. In fact there is a surfeit. But the information available through the usual channels, on international affairs, is either incomplete or falsified. It is slanted.

Surely, there must be differences of opinion somewhere along the line? There are! One commentator on the Australian Broadcasting Corporation's "News Commentary" broadcasts, when asked why most of the views expressed by the various speakers were along the same line, remarked that perhaps it was because information came from the same source. Another commentator who stepped out of line was gradually eased out. There is a hidden censorship.

So with books. And there is an avalanche of them. Those that threaten the covert censorship, when publishers can be found, are suppressed one way or another, usually by the silent treatment.

In the past twenty years, a number of books on various aspects of the International Communist Conspiracy, written by experts in their fields, have been published in the U.S.A. They were given the silent treatment there and were unnoticed in England and Australia. The John Birch Society has reissued twelve of the titles in cheap reprints.

Also in the U.S.A., Press, radio and television made *The Politician* (Belmont Publishing Company, Belmont, Massachusetts) one of the most controversial books of the Twentieth Century, before publication. After its publication—when anybody could now read the whole book and form his own opinion—these same media did their utmost to smother it. Eighty thousand copies have been sold outside of regular channels probably, not one thousand copies have been sold through the sixty-eight hundred commercial book stores. Nor did these 6,800 stores sell, we believe, more than twenty thousand of John Stormer's *None Dare Call It Treason* (Liberty Bell Press, Florissant, Missouri), while the total sales of that paper-back book was close to five million copies.

The American writer, Charles Ferguson, said "Control of credit and control of the news are concentric." Are there any doubts about the control of Communism?

## CORRECTION, PLEASE!\*

ITEM: From a Column by Joseph Alsop in the *Los Angeles Times*, February 24, 1965:

[The Far Eastern clauses of the Yalta Agreement] *reinsured the position for Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek while offering the Soviets a few concessions in Manchuria.*

CORRECTION: Chiang Kai-shek was so "reinsured" that within four years he was forced to flee from the Chinese Mainland to Formosa. The "few concessions in Manchuria" which were given—not offered—to the Soviets included: a lease of Port Arthur as a naval base and the internationalisation of the port of Dairen with "the pre-eminent interests of the Soviet Union in this part being safeguarded". The Soviets were also given control of the South Manchuria Railroad as well as the Chinese Eastern Railroad. Beyond these "few concessions in Manchuria" which, in effect, gave the Soviets a stranglehold on that area, the Soviets were recognised as having a completely free hand in Outer Mongolia, the Kurile Islands were given to the Soviets; and, the Southern half of Sakhalin and all adjacent islands were given to the Soviets.

General Patrick J. Hurley, Franklin Roosevelt's Ambassador to China, described the Far Eastern clauses of the Yalta Agreement as a "blueprint for Communist conquest of China". William C. Bullitt, Roosevelt's Ambassador to the U.S.S.R. and France, described the same clauses as "entirely dishonourable" and "potentially disastrous to the United States". Roosevelt, out of what motives we can only guess, deliberately lied to the Congress of the United States by saying that the Yalta Conference "concerned itself only with the European war and the political problems of Europe, and not with the Pacific War".

Furthermore, the "few concessions in Manchuria" led to Soviet occupation of Manchuria which, in turn, led to the Soviets turning over to the Chinese Communists the arms of the Japanese who were forced to surrender by American arms without Soviet aid. And, within a few years, Manchuria would be used as a privileged sanctuary by armed Chinese Communists as they invaded Korea and killed American soldiers.

ITEM: From a Report by Thomas F. Brady in the *New York Times*, February 21, 1965:

*Soviet economic aid to India is less than one-fifth the magnitude of United States aid, but dollar for dollar, or ruble for ruble the Soviet Union gets about five times as much credit.*

*The reason is clear: India wants to remain non-aligned, so dependence on the West must not publicly outweigh dependence on the East.*

CORRECTION: From our somewhat lowly seat of mathematics and accepting Mr. Brady's figures, India is five times more non-aligned with the Soviet Union than with the United States—or is it that India is five times more non-aligned with the United States than with the Soviet Union?

ITEM: From a Report by Farnsworth Fowle in the *New York Times*, January 26, 1965:

*A "revolution of international co-operation" has taken*

\*A Selection of extracts, reprinted with permission, from *Correction, Please!* and *A Review of The News*, which is published weekly by *Correction, Please!, Inc.*, 395 Concord Avenue, Belmont, Massachusetts 02178, U.S.A.

place since the United Nations was established, Dr. Alberto Lleras Camargo, one of the founders of the world organisation, said tonight.

Dr. Lleras, twice President of Colombia, signed the United Nations Charter on behalf of his country in San Francisco in 1945.

He spoke at the first of a series of lectures arranged as part of the celebration of the United Nations 20th anniversary.

**CORRECTION:** Since the United Nations was established in 1945, the "revolution of international co-operation", which Lleras Camargo mentions, has featured the extension of influence and power by the international Communist conspiracy. Unless Lleras Camargo has been playing the role of a modern Rip Van Winkle for the past twenty years, he must have noticed that the growth of Communist Imperialism during the lifetime of the UN is directly or indirectly attributable to:

1. The consolidation of Communist power in the prebellum sovereign nations of Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Hungary, Rumania, Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria, Albania and Yugoslavia.
2. The division of Germany and the city of Berlin.
3. The loss of Mainland China to the Communists.
4. The take-over of Indonesia and Cuba by the Communists.
5. The Korean War.
6. The Israeli-Arab War.
7. The surrender of Algeria to the Communists.
8. Indian aggression in the Portuguese Overseas Provinces of Goa, Damao and Diu.
9. Communist incursions of the Portuguese Overseas Provinces of Mozambique and Angola.
10. Civil wars instigated by Communists in Greece and Cyprus.
11. The establishment of Communist satellites throughout Africa.
12. The almost total destruction of British, Dutch, Belgian and French Empires.
13. Widespread subversion of Latin American governments by Communists.
14. The brazen theft of atomic and military secrets by Communist spies in Britain and the United States.
15. Wholesale religious persecution by Communists in Asia, Africa and Europe.
16. Anti-United States demonstrations and malicious destruction of American properties in Asian, African, European and Latin American nations.
17. The growth of the illegal narcotic trade under the auspices of Communists throughout the world.
18. More than one hundred Soviet vetoes in the United Nations Security Council.
19. Assassinations of governmental officials perpetrated throughout the world by Soviet agents.
20. Repeated violations of treaties and international agreements by the Soviet Union.
21. Moscow-inspired kidnappings, tortures and imprisonment of non-Soviet governmental officials and civilians.
22. The butchery of Budapest and Posnan.
23. The forcible repatriation of more than two million refugees to the Soviet Union and its satellites.
24. Communist subversion of legitimate trade unionism throughout the world.
25. Confiscation of American-owned properties in Communist nations.

### CHRISTIANITY and WORLD GOVERNMENT

by H. S. SWAHEY

Price 1/-, postage 4d.

From

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11

## Africa

On the conclusion of his survey of the African situation at the close of 1964, given at a Press Conference on December 10, which was reported in our last issue. Dr. Franco Nogueira, the Portuguese Foreign Minister, invited questions. The following are some of them, together with the answers which were given: *No special help from Portugal asked for by the Congo*

Q. "Did Mr. Tshombe, Prime Minister of the Congo, ever ask Portugal for any kind of assistance in his attempt to consolidate his government?"

A. "It is public knowledge that we have normal diplomatic relations with the present government of Leopoldville, and within this framework there is the collaboration that is usual in such cases. On this plane, it cannot be said that any help of the nature which seems to be implied by this question has been requested."

*The invitation to U Thant to visit overseas Portugal has not been withdrawn*

Q. "Your Excellency has several times invited the Secretary-General of the United Nations to visit Portuguese overseas territories, Mr. Thant having excused himself on the grounds of absolute lack of time. In the anarchy and inhuman political labyrinth of the times we live in, I would ask: since the storm has in fact passed, would this be an opportune moment for Portugal to envisage withdrawing from the United Nations?"

A. "The invitation extended to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, as everyone knows, was at the time refused for specious reasons which barely hid or disguised the real motives for the refusal. Conditions since then have greatly changed, but the invitation was not withdrawn, and, for this reason, there is no need to renew it. It remains open, and Mr. Thant may visit Portugal overseas whenever he wishes. With regard to the second point, and if my memory does not deceive me, the position was defined in due course: we will not be the first to leave the Organisation, but will be among the first. No developments have occurred to warrant a revision of the attitude then taken up."

*Reliable testimonies of the sufferings of the Goans*

Q. Asked later whether he knew of the new acts of reprisal carried out by the Indian Union against the Portuguese population of Goa, the Minister said:

A. "Of late, that is to say in recent days or weeks, I have no knowledge of new acts of reprisal. We know, even from information published in Indian newspapers, that there continues to exist the same atmosphere of oppression, of repression, or dejection, of unhappiness and of revolt on the part of the Goan population, and this in turn leads to an intensifying of political activity. And it appears that the World is beginning to realise that these are indeed the facts and not just propaganda or exaggerations put out by Portugal. Even some organs of the international press whose 'friendship' and 'understanding' for Portuguese policy could not exactly be considered proverbial, have lately published detailed information confirming the existence in Goa of the state of feeling and the material conditions which I have just described. Very recently, a great English newspaper, the *Observer*, published some articles on Goa, reporting on the tragic situation which exists there. Let me just remind you that

this same newspaper, by its campaigns against Portugal, was one of the organs most responsible for creating the atmosphere that at the time enabled Mr. Nehru to resort to the violent invasion of Goa. The reports and articles which the *Observer* and other newspapers are now publishing are no compensation, nor, of themselves, will they bring about the return of Goa to the bosom of the Portuguese Nation, but they are continuous and reliable testimonies of the sufferings of the Goans."

*The campaign of the American Press regarding the African States*

- Q. "Can you tell us anything more about how you interpret the American Press's campaign concerning the African States, with regard to the operations in the Congo for the rescue of the hostages?"
- A. "It is not difficult to surmise that the origin of the criticisms and of these attacks is to be found in the debates that have taken place in the Security Council, and in which, to the great surprise of American public opinion, the Government of the United States and the North American people have been the target for attacks, humiliation, insults, calumny and the most absurd and unfounded accusations. In consequence, a feeling of revolt and reaction has been created, which is understandable and justifiable. On the other hand, one can also see in this attitude, a growing disappointment, a growing disillusionment with regard to the hopes placed in certain principles and in the practical implementation of these 'principles' in Africa, and the realisation that, after all, they have not been implemented, or, alternatively, that the results of their implementation have been exactly contrary to what was originally intended. I think that these two reasons are behind the accusations which have increasingly appeared in the American Press against the activities of and the irresponsibility shown by African countries."

*The United Nations smitten by total paralysis*

- Q. "During the present session of the United Nations in New York, do you expect any concrete attack to be made on Portugal, or any continuation of previous attacks?"
- A. "Since the 1st December many speeches have been delivered in the Assembly's general debates, and in some of these, references, albeit very brief ones, have been made to Portuguese policy. What has been said before has now been repeated in a very condensed form. And it is evident that when the present Assembly meets again next year, more references will be made. As I have already had the opportunity of saying to the newspaper *O Século*, we must expect more resolutions to be debated and adopted against us. But this is on the assumption that a real session of the United Nations will be held. In the present circumstances, the session has not yet begun: all the decisions have been taken on the basis of a consensus of opinion, which means that only when no objections have been raised have decisions been taken, and this, in turn, means that in reality there have been no basic decisions. The U.N., apart from its decreasing prestige, has been smitten by a total paralysis—the words I use are not an exaggeration—and one cannot see how it can emerge from that paralysis having regard to the positions of the great powers on problems with which we are all familiar."

**THE TRAGEDY OF FRANCE**

One of the American Opinion Dollar Reprint Series which tells the story of the betrayal of Algeria into Communist hands.

7/6, plus 9d. postage

from

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11

**THE PSYCHOLOGICAL WAR** (continued from page 1)

It is in the very nature of things that Britain, France, Germany and the U.S.A. should build their civilisations according to their own conditions—conditions of climate, language, geography and historic tradition.

The British have built a mighty language by borrowing from other nations. The scientific world is international. All are not only eager to borrow from each other, they are compelled to. Any civilisation which excels in any direction will be imitated by others.

Where then comes the demand to reduce all individuals, all nations and all religions to the same level?

The organised demand for equality and for uniformity is part of a cleverly devised campaign which powerful men are using to obtain the support of a great army of socialists, do-gooders, political half-wits in the Church and primitive races to destroy any person or nation which has raised its head above the financial and cultural gutter. By this means the international fraternity achieve a world dictatorship by getting rid of every independent minority in turn.

Behind the Iron Curtain all opposition to "equality" and "uniformity" was removed by the murder of all minorities. In Britain the same effect was obtained by two unnecessary wars and vindictive taxation. The Fabian tactics which destroyed once Great Britain are now being used in the U.S.A. This "last bastion of capitalism" is now being destroyed as a world power from inside its own border.

Before we can take action to protect ourselves from our enemies we must have accurate information as to who are our enemies; and we must have that information before it is too late.

Fortunately for us there is a body of men in the U.S.A. who know exactly what is happening and are prepared to do something about it. Readers of this journal should swing into action while there is still time, and back this group in the U.S.A. by distributing their literature. The need for this is urgent as our international news service is very obviously in the hands of our enemies.

**COLOUR, COMMUNISM AND COMMON SENSE**

By MANNING JOHNSON

7/6, plus 9d. postage

In this short book the author, himself a Negro and for ten years a member of the Communist Party, exposes the Party's cruel deception of American Negroes and shows how the "Civil Rights" movement will eventually benefit only the Communists.

**A LETTER TO THE SOUTH, ON SEGREGATION**

1/-, plus 4d. postage

**STOP, LOOK AND LISTEN**

Additional copies of the reprint from the John Birch Society which traces the growth of Communist influence throughout the world and which was included with the issue of November 7, are now available, price 4/6 per dozen including postage,

single copies 6d. each.

from

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd. at 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Printed by E. Fish & Co. Ltd., Liverpool.