

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 45 No. 12

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1965

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

Vietnam

One of the greatest assets of the Conspiracy is the shortness of public memory. This, combined with brain-washing through the mass communications media, most effectively presents items of news as disconnected episodes. Before Johnson's election, the situation in Vietnam was said to be fairly satisfactory, with the South Vietnamese successfully resisting the Viet Cong, with American advice. After the election, Americans almost suddenly found themselves "at war" with North Vietnam, and threatened with war with Red China. But the current situation is the outcome of events in 1944, when the U.S. administration wanted to see the French out of Indochina—a *policy* agreed at Teheran between Roosevelt and Stalin, and, in its developed form, the policy which still underlies the events in that unhappy country.

Following the partition of Vietnam into North and South at the Geneva Conference, the Ngo dinh family became the effective government of South Vietnam, and Communist infiltration from the North commenced. There were strong anti-Communist forces in South Vietnam, but the Ngo dinhs were crooks, primarily engaged in making their fortunes (out of U.S. financial aid) and consolidating their personal power. This consolidation required the elimination of possible contenders by the usual secret-police methods. Over the next several years this process virtually completely destroyed the genuinely anti-Communist forces. At the same time, the Vietminh (now known as the Viet Cong) conducted a deliberately "slow" war of infiltration and terror, conquering an ever-greater proportion of the country-side, until now they control four-fifths, and disrupting communications, and capturing arms supplied to the South by America. The U.S. government knew what was going on, but continued to support the Ngo dinhs until the destruction of the genuine anti-Communists was far advanced. All this was carefully concealed from the American public, 'liberals' like Walter Lippman and Joseph Alsop boosting the Ngo dinhs.

In 1963, however, the picture began to change, and the U.S. government's support of the Ngo dinhs was allowed to diminish. Henry Cabot Lodge was sent over as Ambassador, the Press belly-ached about Buddhist suicides, in October the U.S. sharply cut its aid to South Vietnam, and on November 1 the final military revolt against the Ngo dinhs began, and ended in their murder. This ushered in the present phase of almost complete collapse of civilian government.

But the time had now come, entirely in accordance with Communist theory, strategy and planning, for the Viet Cong to change from purely guerilla activity to open warfare and the capture of provincial capitals, with such devastating success that *after* the U.S. Presidential election was safely over, America had to announce an 'escalation' of the American response—to be minimised, of course, by a concerted clamour in the mass communications media for some form of negotiation. And here we are.

Thanks to the publication recently of a thoroughly detailed and documented book on this grisly story, the meaning of what has been brought about in Southeast Asia thus far is now clear.

The book is *Background to Betrayal: The Tragedy of Vietnam*, by Hilaire du Berrier, an active participant in many of the events he records. A note on the author ends: "When du Berrier's passport renewal was blocked in the spring of 1959 and he learned of the smear file compiled against him, the necessity of making this book both complete and unassailable became a holy mission." The completeness makes for the unassailability, and therefore a long book; but as an essential part of the homework necessary for effective opposition to, and eventual defeat of, the International Conspiracy, we hope it will be widely and carefully read.

The past in Indochina is now clear enough: it is the outcome of a continuous policy. The future is not clear; but there is no indication that there is any change in the policy—if only because there has been no change of significance in the *dramatis personae*. The powerful and rich members of the Council on Foreign Relations still move around in the high offices of the U.S. Administration, as do their counterparts throughout the world. They are working for an unassailable World Government, just as a chess player works to checkmate his opponent's king, so that the significance of a move of any particular piece (i.e. the fate of any particular country) is seldom entirely clear at one time. But, as in chess, we know the fundamental strategy of the Conspiracy, which is to take (literally) the world by a last surprise move, when it has perfected the mechanism of world government.

It is this long-term policy which must always be kept in mind as the day-to-day pattern of events unfolds. It means nothing to the Conspiracy if the "newly-emerging" "nations" do not "go Communist". What *does* matter is that they should be denied to the anti-Communists, and whether this is under conditions of Communism or chaos is irrelevant for the present. The Conspiracy knows perfectly well that the only hope for the genuine advancement of the world's peoples is a benevolent colonialism; but that there is no benevolence in the Conspiracy is demonstrated by its provocation of the maximum bloodshed wherever possible, as in the Congo. If the North and South Vietnamese mutually exterminate each other with weapons supplied for the purpose by the U.S. and the U.S.S.R., that only clears the area for occupation by trained Communists—a process calculated in due course to leave a depopulated world-to be ruled over by an elite, served and policed by Communist slaves.

BACKGROUND TO BETRAYAL —THE TRAGEDY OF VIETNAM

By HILAIRE DU BERRIER

This book dispels, once and for all, the confusion surrounding the Ngo dinh family, Diem, his brother Nhu and Madame Nhu.

8/3

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1.
Telephone: EUSton 3893.

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1, Telephone EUSton 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

Writing in the *Daily Telegraph* of August 8, 1965, "Peter Simple" comes to much the same conclusion regarding world events as did the late C. H. Douglas more than twenty years ago. Douglas said that there were only two alternative explanations of our disasters—that they were the outcome of sheer idiocy on the part of our rulers (in which case our position was irremediable), or they were the outcome of a long-term policy (i.e., a conspiracy), in which case our position, while desperate, may be remedied, since a conspiracy when recognised can be dealt with.

"Peter Simple" says it is difficult not to fit the steps by which "this country" has come to its present pass into the conspiracy theory: the sudden flood of coloured immigration, the surrender of territories all over the world to "not particularly friendly people who may be succeeded by positively hostile ones", opinion formation which has made "amoralism, nihilism and even treason" fashionable, support of a "more than dubious internationalism", the "outrage" of the proposed abolition of the Territorial Army. "Peter Simple" says he doesn't suppose there is a conspiracy, but concludes that our rulers must be so "abysmally stupid" that they have only the vaguest idea, if any, of what they are doing: this he calls a depressing and alarming alternative.

Of course, it all depends on who "our rulers" are. "Peter Simple" distinguishes between the rulers "of the past few years", and our present ones—a distinction we do not concede. *Some* of the personnel administering a policy which has been demonstrably coherent for at least the whole of this century thus far, and visibly coherent since say the end of the second world war, have changed; but have those ever been our real rulers? The broadest name for this policy is internationalism, and internationalism implies the destruction of nationalism, which is exactly what has been happening.

But at this stage it is not necessary to theorise. Communism, for example, openly proclaims itself as a policy of internationalism; so is that of the American Council for Foreign Affairs, and of the great International Banking houses, and the United Nations. In fact, the "plot" has now reached more the status of an open secret. It is true that in 1931 Professor Toynbee, the Secretary of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, regarded their activity in undermining national sovereignty as a secret except to initiates: "What we do with our hands we deny with

our lips". But times have changed, and Professor Toynbee now openly advises the U.S. to get out of South Vietnam (which they intend to do anyway) "even if this means a Communist government". After all, it is a step in the international direction another national sovereignty absorbed into an international government.

If there is any difficulty in comprehending the present situation, it arises from the belief that it could possibly have come about through stupidity, though no doubt knaves have made good use of fools.

* * *

"The State Department was back in old form last week, producing a policy scandal of major proportions: the department's controversial William Wieland, the man who helped sell Communist Castro to American government officials as non-Communist, was being assigned to Canberra, Australia, as supervisory consul general—a position that would make him the top consular officer in that country. Australia, of course, is one of the last bastions of anti-communism in the Pacific and is helping us to resist Communist aggression in South Viet Nam.

"What surprised Capitol politicians was not only the incredible selection of Wieland, but the brazen timing of the news. The department chose the day the Senate Internal Security subcommittee issued to the public new testimony which reflects adversely on Wieland and his role when head of the Cuban desk."

—*Human Events*, Washington, D.C., July 31, 1965.

* * *

"The crux of the case against Wieland, however, had already been developed in the Internal Security subcommittee's hearings on Wieland back in 1961 and 1962. In 1962 the subcommittee issued a report signed by five Democrats and four Republicans which drew up a damning indictment against the man the State Department now wants to send to Australia. Here's what the report said:

"He [Wieland] was appointed without any security check . . . He falsified his job application by omission. When he later filled out an expanded history form, he falsified that by direct mis-statement.

"Mr. Wieland had a hand in shaping our policy with respect to Cuba both before and after Castro's take-over. He held a position which by definition made him one of the State Department's experts in Latin American affairs, and Cuban affairs particularly. One of the things the department paid him for was his expertise—his own judgment based on his own experience. Yet he never told his superiors officially or wrote in any department paper, down to the very day when Fidel Castro stood before the world as a self-proclaimed Marxist, what he told friends privately as early as 1958—or earlier—that Castro 'is a Communist' and 'is surrounded by Commies [and] subject to Communist influences'."

—*Ibid.*

Erratum

In this column in our last issue (August 28) there was an omission of an important line. In order to obviate any misconstruction, we reprint the paragraph entire:—

"It is vital to do everything possible to inform public opinion to a point where it will force the U.S. government to win the war against Communism. Conferences with a winning enemy are merely steps in a pattern of surrender."

Scoreboard 1965

FROM THE SPECIAL SCOREBOARD EDITION OF *American Opinion*, JULY/AUGUST, 1965, TO WHICH REFERENCE WAS MADE IN OUR ISSUE FOR JULY 31, WE CONTINUE THE SECTION DEALING WITH THE U.S.A. AND THE MEASURE OF CONTROL OVER ITS POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC LIFE EXERCISED *secretly or openly*, BY THE CONSPIRACY THROUGH *all* ITS INSTRUMENTALITIES:

As Communist control is extended through all branches of our government, we can expect increasing use of governmental powers to harass, persecute, and, where possible, ruin Americans who openly disapprove of the Communist take-over of their country. There are already alarming reports of tampering with mail, tapping of telephones, and anti-American use of the Internal Revenue Service. Whatever the extent of such practices now, we may expect in the future an increasing number of fake legal prosecutions of individuals, who will have to stand against the overwhelming financial power of government—and do so with the knowledge that there is no act of injustice or usurpation for which Earl Warren cannot find a legal pretext.

Such developments will, of course, be progressive and it will take some time for them to reach their fullest extent. In the meantime, although the Warren Court still makes perfunctory mention of the Constitution that it is systematically destroying, even those who most obstinately refuse to believe that there is a Communist Conspiracy can no longer doubt that the United States is being converted into a totalitarian dictatorship.* And despite the opposition of a minority of real Americans in both the House and the Senate, the present rubber-stamp Congress, partly because it is cowed by the Communist Conspiracy's "Civil Rights" agitation, is voting us into dictatorship, step by step. In an act of supreme insolence, the Warren Court usurped jurisdiction over the methods of apportionment and election in all of the once sovereign states. And pending legislation, offered under the hypocritical pretense of "voting rights," is designed to send federal agents into enough voting districts to make sure that Congress will permanently have a majority of servile tools, hand-picked by the dictator in power.

No one needs to be reminded that all "federal aid" is simply central control from Washington. For example, Catholic hospitals, which, understandably, were glad to get handouts from the national treasury, now find that this means that they must send their nurses for training in "psychology," often under professors who teach that belief in God is hogwash. And we all remember what happened when the first Russian "sputnik" appeared—long after the United States was prepared to launch an artificial satellite, although, of course, Washington had seen to it that nobody knew at the time, and our "leaders" put on an act of appearing surprised. The National Education Association and associated creatures, pretending for the nonce to disapprove of the Soviet, screamed that we had been "surpassed" by the Soviet in "science," and that only Federal Aid could save us.

*The theory that the Warren Court is working for a domestic, as distinct from foreign, dictatorship becomes less tenable every day. On June seventh, the Court rode to the rescue of Comrade Archie Brown, whose disgusting performance in *Operation Abolition* you will doubtless remember, by holding that the Congress of the United States has no power to forbid open and avowed Communists to hold executive positions in labor unions. As Senator Strom Thurmond pointed out, the logical implication of the ruling is that Congress also has no power to prevent open and avowed members of the Communist Party from holding public office or from being employed in our most secret and vital defence agencies.

They created enough panic to procure passage of that violation of the Constitution—and as soon as the money started flowing from the Treasury, the boys used it for such vital educational work as teaching folk-dancing and preaching the need for "sympathetic understanding" of the "peace-loving Soviet." The latest outrage enacted by Congress extends direct federal control into every school district in the nation. And so it goes.

If you look for the ultimate cause of these disasters you must go back to 1913, when an apparatus of "intellectuals," shepherded by experts who were applying Karl Marx' dictum that a progressive income tax is the best way to destroy a nation, wheedled the nation into putting its head into the noose. If you look back to the periodicals of that era, you will see what arguments the con men used: That the tax could *never* exceed five per cent on the income of the very rich, because—as anybody with a great big brain could see—no government could conceivably spend more than that sum! The purpose, known, we may be sure, to the directors of the promotion, was to enable their successors to use the federal government, as soon as they got control of it, as a vast machine to milch the taxpayers and make them provide the money for bribing voters to vote for each step towards a totalitarian dictatorship. Well, it worked.

The Conspiracy has been wonderfully efficient in its manipulation of our economy. Although Washington can still dangle a carrot before the nose of almost anyone, we are already technically bankrupt. Although "Foreign Aid" was naturally used to finance the Communist takeover of nation after nation, the ultimate purpose was to destroy our currency. To December of 1963 (the statistics for 1964 are still being kept secret), we shoved out during that year in direct "Foreign Aid" approximately twelve and one-half billion dollars, of which seven billion dollars were promptly used by the recipients to take gold from our stock. As a result, we are now bankrupt as a nation, since foreign claims, legally payable in gold, amount to about twice our remaining stock of gold. Our official national debt is now \$328,000,000,000.00—bearing interest at the rate of more than a billion dollars a month—and the real debt, including liability under the fraudulent "Social Security" promotion, is several times that amount. Everyone knows that this debt will never be paid—except, perhaps, by pieces of paper at a time when cigarettes will be selling for five million dollars a package.

It has been officially stated that our currency is now so debased that silver is "too valuable" to be used in it. Our masters, however, will not even give us wooden nickels—since wood would be too expensive. Silver coins will soon be replaced by some alloy of lead—unless a cheaper metal can be found. We really can't let Americans have money that has intrinsic value, can we? They must be put at the mercy of the printing press—until the value of the paper in the "bill" exceeds that of the dollar. However, we can go on a little farther by printing thousand-dollar and million-dollar "bills," and perhaps even trillion-dollar "bills." The Conspiracy's pilot study in Germany after the First World War provides an excellent working model for dealing with Americans.

Given the fact that a great many Americans are also personally bankrupt, in the sense that their liabilities exceed their marketable assets, it is obvious that the Conspiracy can now produce a total economic collapse at any time that it decides to pull the chain. What happened in 1929 would be, in comparison, a breeze as compared to a hurricane. In what circumstances the Conspiracy will resort to such an expedient is uncertain.

In the meantime, incredible as it may seem, most Americans have never even heard of the complete mechanism and "authorisation" for a total takeover of the United States that the Con-

spiracy quietly slipped into the record of February 16, 1962, by a series of "Executive Orders," Nos. 10995 to 11005 inclusive and No. 11051. Under these orders, which are to become effective in any "times of increased international tension or economic crisis," the President can immediately seize all "communications media" (and thus completely prevent the publication of any truthful news), all electrical power, fuels, and minerals in the nation, all farms and farmers, all means of transportation (including all rail-roads, highways, and aircraft), all private homes, and all *persons*. When the President decides that there is a "crisis" (we used to have them once a week under Roosevelt, remember?), you can be hauled from your home, transported to any place* that he orders, and put to work digging ditches, or doing anything else that he may direct. Your wife and children can be either driven from your home into the streets or forced to live in it in the company of billeteers eager to exercise their "Civil Rights." Sweet prospect, what? Of course, if you are really "Liberal" you will be shocked to know that there are "Right-wing extremists" who are so "mentally ill" that they do not perceive the sweetness of that future.

All of these "executive orders" are, of course, utterly and totally un-Constitutional and illegal; but should a governmental goon, flourishing a copy of them, press a pistol to your forehead, you may be sure that the bullet will care no more for the Constitution than does Earl Warren.

The foregoing survey of the present situation of the United States is necessarily no more than a brief summary, and it has been necessary to omit, for lack of space, many other significant Communist advances, realised or potential (e.g. the agitation about immigration). What has been indicated should suffice to support our estimate—that Communist control is now somewhere between 60% and 80%. "Liberals" will accept that estimate with delight, and set to work devising means of besmirching and defaming the "Facists," who are so "crack-pot" as to disclose facts that should be kept secret, if Americans are to be herded peacefully. Americans will consider that estimate with a very heavy heart, and will hope that it may somehow be excessive. Well, we *hope* so, too.

Our consultants—all of them expert observers and long-time students of the International Communist Conspiracy, and many of them with access to information that is not made public—have undertaken to provide us with coldly dispassionate and (so far as humanly possible) objective reports and analyses of the *present* situation. It is not part of their responsibility to suggest policies or means of action. A few, however, raised the question whether it was politically expedient to publish a score for the United States this year, stating or intimating that the situation was by no means hopeless, if anti-Communist Americans, now more numerous and hence stronger than ever, would take effective action rather than resign themselves and their children to an "inevitable" doom.

From the analyses submitted to us it is clear that all consultants reporting on this area regard the great "integration" and "Civil Rights" hoax and the incitation of racial hatred and insane fanaticism that accompanies it as, by far, the most effective *single* weapon that the International Communist Conspiracy has devised for the enslavement and eventual extermination of

the American people. Beyond this our consultants did not go. The staff of *American Opinion* in charge of compiling the SCOREBOARD believes that we may legally extrapolate from those reports and draw the conclusion that, if Americans wish to save themselves and their children from horrors far worse than sudden death, the *most* effective thing they can do is to expose and denounce the whole "Civil Rights" agitation, which still confuses and deludes millions of men and women who have never thought about its real purposes, as a hoax, designed, elaborated, and now directed by the eternal enemies of all mankind.

(Concluded)

THE MENACE OF COMMUNISM

A brochure dealing with the conspiracy behind the Vietnam "peace" moves, and behind Indonesia's threat to Australia, and containing a comprehensive list of books on the Conspiracy.

Free—on request.

We regret the long delay in producing this brochure, but supplies are being despatched as quickly as possible.

FINANCE AND COMMUNISM

The Supplement to *The Social Crediter*, August 28, 1965.

1 copy 6d.

20 copies 5/-

50 copies 10/-

"SCOREBOARD 1965" Edition of "AMERICAN OPINION"

This "Scoreboard" of Communist influence in all the countries of the world gives as percentages, not the popular support of Communism, but the degree of control over the political and economic life of each country exercised, secretly or openly, by the International Communist Conspiracy through all its instrumentalities.

8/3

IT'S VERY SIMPLE, THE TRUE STORY OF CIVIL RIGHTS

By ALAN STANG

By closely examining a number of the more active Civil Rights groups, their tactics and their leadership, Alan Stang's book makes it quite clear and very simple that they are following closely the programme drawn up by the Communists as far back as 1928 to promote a revolution in the United States.

8/3

NONE DARE CALL IT TREASON

By JOHN A. STORMER

A careful compilation of facts from hundreds of Congressional investigations of the Communist conspiracy to enslave America.

6/2

THE FEARFUL MASTER

by G. EDWARD GRIFFIN

A Second Look at the United Nations

8/6

From

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11

*It is not clear whether, under the terms of this "executive order," you could be sent to Siberia, to ease the labor shortage there, or shipped to some part of Africa, where hungry members of the "United Nations" may need aid in improving their diet. Should the "order" be invoked, however, you probably will not be in a position to argue legal technicalities.