

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 52. No. 23

SATURDAY, 3 FEBRUARY, 1973

7p. (ls. 5d.) Fortnightly

Who They Are*

THE CONSPIRACY TO DESTROY AMERICA

By GARY ALLEN

(Continued)

The Carnegie Corporation went so far as to invest \$340,000 in what the Reece Committee termed "a socialist charter for education." Harold Laski, the British Fabian Socialist, hailed the Carnegie-financed charter in these words:

At bottom, and stripped of its carefully neutral phrases, the report is an educational program for a socialist America.

Little wonder that Reece Committee Counsel Wormser says evidence compiled during and after the Reece investigation of foundations "leads one to the conclusion that there was, indeed, something in the nature of an actual conspiracy among certain leading educators in the United States to bring about socialism through the use of our school systems . . ." That conspiracy, still financed by the tax-free foundations, continues to this day. It is virtually impossible to name a single major radical innovation in education which was not funded by the giant foundations.

They have been equally important as manipulators of our foreign policy and promoters of World Government. In this area the money has been supplied by the Rockefeller Foundation, the Ford Foundation, and the Carnegie Corporation, while the planning and implementation have been put in the hands of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

Andrew Carnegie, who was born in Scotland and never became an American citizen, long dreamed of World Government. It was to further this purpose that he established the Carnegie Endowment. The keystone was to be laid in America. And the key to America, according to Reece Committee investigator Norman Dodd, was "securing control over America's diplomacy by placing foundation-controlled internationalists in positions where they could dictate American foreign policy." By 1934, the Carnegie Endowment boasted in its *Yearbook* that it was "an unofficial instrument of international policy, taking up here and there the ends of international problems and questions which the governments find it difficult to handle, and . . . reaching conclusions . . . which officially find their way into the policies of government."

The first president of the Endowment was Elihu Root, the Russophile and former Secretary of State who led the fight for the League of Nations. Coincidentally, Root was also the first president of the Council on Foreign Relations. He was succeeded at the Carnegie Endowment in 1925 by

Nicholas Murray Butler (C.F.R.), an important *Insider* who was president of Columbia University and an outspoken advocate of World Government. Butler's successor was even more interesting. He was, of course, Soviet spy Alger Hiss, who headed the Endowment from 1946 to 1949, and who was named to that post even after Carnegie trustees had been briefed on his activities as a Communist agent. Hiss, who was instrumental in the founding of the United Nations, was sponsored for his job at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, according to Whittaker Chambers, by John Foster Dulles of the Council on Foreign Relations. Dulles later became Secretary of State under President Dwight Eisenhower, himself a director of a Carnegie foundation.

The current president of the Endowment is Joseph E. Johnson, a close friend of Alger Hiss who was chief assistant to Hiss in the State Department. Ironically, Johnson was selected by President Nixon, who helped put Hiss behind bars, to act as a top advisor in the selection of the Nixon Cabinet. The respected *Government Employees' Exchange* reported in its issue for November 27, 1968, that Joseph E. Johnson is now known by "knowledgeable C.I.A. and State Department officials" as the "Permanent Unofficial Secretary of State". It will not surprise you to learn that Mr. Johnson is a member of the board of directors of the Council on Foreign Relations.

The record of the Rockefeller Foundation is almost a carbon copy of that of the Carnegie group. It minced no words in its 1946 Report which stated: "The challenge of the future is to make this world one world. . . ." In commenting on this, the Reece Committee observed:

However well-meaning the advocates of complete Internationalism may be, they often play into the hands of the Communists. Communists recognize that a breakdown of Nationalism is a prerequisite to the introduction of Communism.

Over the years the Rockefeller Foundation has served as a horn of plenty for virtually every organised effort to promote World Government. The Rockefellers began pouring funds into the Council on Foreign Relations as early as 1927, and were soon joined by the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace and later the Ford Foundation. These three have also bankrolled the Bilderberg Conferences, The Rockefeller and Carnegie organisations funded the Institute

(continued on page 3)

*From *American Opinion*, October, 1972.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year £2.60 (52/-), Six months £1.30 (26/-).

Offices—

Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London, E.11. Tel. 01-534 7395
Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Tel. 01-387 3893

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne, Victoria 3001
Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001 (Editorial Head Office)

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia 2603. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone: 01-387 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougement, P.Q., General Deputy Chairman and Secretary, H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001.

Vol. 52. No. 23

Saturday, 3 February, 1973

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

In an article by Anthony Lewis published in the *Times* newspaper on 20 Dec., 1972, the following paragraph (only) appears in italics: "In all of the Second World War, less than 80,000 tons of bombs fell on Britain. In Indo-China the United States has so far dropped more than 4,000,000 tons of bombs—50 times as much". This is equivalent to about 1,800 tons of bombs every 24 hours continuously for six years.

According to a UPI dispatch broadcast on the ABC news on 8 Jan., 1973, 400,000 tons of bombs have been dropped in the past nine months—about 1,480 tons per 24 hours, and, according to the same dispatch, 500 lbs. for every 46 acres (3 tons to the square mile). The area of the United Kingdom is about 70% of that of Vietnam.

The Review of the News, Dec. 27, 1972, gives the following report: "In Hanoi, the leading Communist Party publication urges the comrades to accept the impending settlement of the war as only a temporary and tactical step in the 'war of liberation' against South Vietnam. Asserting that 'we cannot exterminate imperialism at one time and in a single battle', an article in the party monthly *Hoc Tap* says: 'For this reason, we must sometimes alter our fighting style and our struggle methods. Sometimes we must accept a certain agreement with the enemy that must be essentially based on a revolutionary stand, that is aimed at weakening his forces and strengthening ours. There is a time for us to advance, there is also a time for us to step backwards temporarily in order to advance steadily later'".

In short, when the U.S. has bombed itself out of South East Asia the Communists will be free to continue their programme for the extermination of imperialism, meaning any possible potential resistance to Communism, resuming first in South East Asia, but continuing later in the U.S.A. and Europe, of which Britain is now a province. Bravo, Mr. Heath!

Law and Crime

Mr. John Kobler shows, in his book *Capone*, that the leaders of gangs have inclined to meet together in secret conferences rather than to fight each other. The leaders of high finance have also favoured the secret conclave, notably when they met to plan the Federal Reserve system, and recently in their Bilderberger sessions.

Yet the power that these Mafia-like brotherhoods would exercise through central governments does not go unchallenged in America. In an important speech at a rally sponsored by the Wisconsin Legislative and Research Committee, Mr. David Horton, attorney-at-law, said: "We must rid our State Legislatures of their inferiority complex—they are the fount of all powers delegated to Washington." (*Milwaukee County News*, Oct. 12, 1972).

Mr. Horton recalls that the Treaty which concluded the Revolutionary War was one where "13 Nations were recognised as sovereign States", many of them having their own diplomatic delegation and issuing their own money. In fact the Treaty of Peace acknowledges the said United States—New Hampshire, etc.—to be "free, sovereign, independent states". These sovereign states then delegated limited powers to Congress, the Executive and the Courts. And only the delegated powers could be exercised by these agencies. Usurpation, he continues, is a bi-partisan act consisting both in someone trying to exercise a power who has no authority to do so, and in the surrender of this power by the person who has lawful authority to exercise it.

Turning to the so-called treaty adopting the United Nations' Charter, he points out that in fact it is not a Treaty, "because the Senate and the President had no authority in any way to delegate any authority whatever to some other agency". Usurpation could be cured if a State Legislature would discharge its responsibilities, and insist on the enactment of a Statute. He concludes with references to a pamphlet published by the Oregon Legislative and Research Committee entitled "Sockdolager! A tale of Davy Crockett" in which he meets up with the Constitution of the United States.

Briefly, Crockett canvassed the vote of a farmer who said that he had voted for him last time but would not make the same mistake again. The farmer recalled that Crockett had voted in favour of a bill for the relief of the victims of the Georgetown fire, adding "you will look in vain for any authority to appropriate one dime for charity". Congressmen could use their own funds for charity but the use of public funds was "beyond the scope of their commission". Crockett thereupon voted against a bill to relieve a widow of the 1812 war, because it would mean "misappropriating public funds to a purpose that is not authorised". Crockett and others gave her a week's salary, but no public money.

This challenge to central power may reawaken Albertan memories to the infamous action of Mackenzie King in disallowing Provincial legislation which aimed at restoring the Province's credit. Ulstermen may wonder about their former parliament. It shows what happens when a local legislature delegates any power, and forewarns Britons of the consequences of the European surrender.

Al Capone's career was finished by the law after a dour struggle—he was sentenced for income tax evasions. The

stern struggle against larger conspirators proceeds from America to Australia and from Brazil to Britain, as instanced in the work of the American lawyer. But one sometimes wonders why religious leaders have to talk like Bishop Spaceley-Trellis, the creation of Peter Simple. The Bishop of London spoke recently (*Church Times*, Nov. 24, 1972) of discovering "some new expression of moral values which will substitute for short-term economic goals a real vision of the community of the future". He added that "the development of the European Economic Community may give us a wonderful opportunity to do just that". But the community of the future, planned and dominated by such subversives as the Bilderbergers, appears a repellent prospect, destructive of all indigenous qualities, to those who have looked under the surface.

—H.S.

Who They Are

(continued from page 1)

for Pacific Relations, cited by the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, which investigated the I.P.R., as "an instrument of Communist policy, propaganda and military intelligence". Even after these findings, Dean Rusk (C.F.R.), then president of the Rockefeller Foundation, continued to funnel money into this Communist front organization.

The Rockefellers have long believed that a proper socialist "education" is vital to creating their "new world order". They have poured millions of dollars into promoting Marxist education not only here but abroad. As the causes of the Communist takeover of China became clear, it was apparent that the Rockefeller Foundation, which for four decades exercised a powerful influence over China's central educational policies, bears a large share of the guilt. Rockefeller bankrolled the Yenching University of Peiping, which has the dubious honor of being the *alma mater* of Chou En-lai, the Premier of Communist China. Yenching, which prided itself on being the "Harvard of China," boasted a faculty which bristled with Communists and pro-Communists. Some of the birds hatched there include Owen Lattimore, described by the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee as a "conscious articulate agent of the Communist conspiracy"; Harvard's Mao-admiring John K. Fairbank; and Dirk Bodde, a pro-Mao traveling fellow of the Rockefeller-financed Harvard-Yenching Institute.

Not content with attacks on America and China, the Rockefeller and Carnegie foundations also financed socialism in England. The hothouse for British Socialism has long been the London School of Economics, launched in 1895 by Sidney Webb, a founder of the Fabian Socialist Society. The heaviest contributor to the London School over the years has been the Rockefeller Foundation. From 1934 until at least the early 1950s, the Rockefeller money ran toward London like oil pumped through a pipe. In 1949, a typical year, the Rockefeller Foundation allocated scores of thousands of dollars to the London School of Economics for "special projects". Of course the Rockefellers have not been alone in underwriting this Socialist institution. Another American foundation, the Carnegie United Kingdom Trust, gave £10,000 in 1923 and another £3,000 in 1925. How much more it has given over the years must remain a matter of speculation since every effort is made by the conspirators to keep such matters secret.

The Rockefeller Foundation also poured vast sums into the London School's American counterpart, the pro-Communist New School for Social Research in New York City. The interior walls of this Rockefeller-financed institution were decorated by Communist muralist Ocozco with portraits of Lenin, Stalin, and marching Soviet soldiers.

As World War II ended, the Rockefellers used their foundations to pump millions into the creation and expansion of institutes of international studies at universities which could be depended upon to promote the "right sort" of foreign policy. Between 1948 and 1954, the Rockefeller and allied Carnegie foundations poured \$34 million into such projects. Later, the \$3.5 billion Ford Foundation, heavily interlocked with C.F.R. and Rockefeller interests, became chief subsidizer of these schools, whose graduates were quickly placed in the federal government. A U.S. Office of Education report comments on the importance of foundation support of these foreign policy factories:

It must be noted that the significance of the money granted is out of all proportion to the amounts involved since most universities would have no center program had they not been subsidized. Our individual inventories indicate clearly the lack of enthusiasm as well as of cash on the part of most college administrations for such programs.

What you pay for is what you get. It is these schools for international studies, their faculties studded with reliable hacks devoted to the Establishment Left, that have provided the State Department with its architects of "perpetual war for perpetual peace." These schools are used to staff not only the State Department but many U.N. agencies, the C.I.A. and most of the international banks.

The movement of the Establishment elite in and out of government is, of course, one big game of musical chairs. The foundations provide a sort of "taxi squad" for Leftists of the party out of office. John Foster Dulles (C.F.R.) served as chairman of the board of the Rockefeller Foundation before moving to Washington. Dean Rusk (C.F.R.) left the Rockefeller Foundation to succeed Dulles in the Cabinet, and now Rusk is back in the Rockefeller apparatus while Henry A. Kissinger (C.F.R.) of the Rockefeller Brothers Fund runs foreign policy for the Nixon Administration.

Trustees of the Rockefeller Foundation have been appointed to major Cabinet posts in every Administration since that of Harry Truman—including Secretary of Defense, Secretary of the Treasury, Secretary of State, Secretary of Agriculture, and as important advisors and undersecretaries. We find of the Nixon Administration that former Secretary of Agriculture Clifford Hardin is a Rockefeller Foundation trustee, as is science advisor Lee DuBridge. Nixon's disarmament expert, John J. McCloy, is a former chairman of the board of the Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan Bank and has served as a trustee for both the Rockefeller and Ford Foundations.

It now seems amazing that Henry Ford Sr. was a lifelong enemy of the *Insiders*. The senior Ford was determined that the Left would not capture control of his fortune. But Henry and his son Edsel died before the leadership of the Foundation, set up to avoid estate taxes and preserve family control of the Ford Motor Company, could be secured in Conservative hands. When control passed to Edsel's widow and to

Henry Ford II, they were quickly surrounded. Leftists William Benton, Robert M. Hutchins, and Paul Hoffman were soon in control of the enormous fortune. The late *Insider* Sidney Weinberg, of Goldman, Sachs & Company, was engaged by Henry Ford II to arrange for a public underwriting of some of the Foundation's stock, and the Left quickly took control of the rich bonanza.

Rowan Gaither, the first president of the Ford Foundation, told Norman Dodd, chief of research for the Reece Committee, that the purpose to which the Ford Foundation would be applied "was to so alter American society that it could be comfortably merged with that of the Soviet Union." The flabbergasted Dodd was then told that this was being done on "orders from the White House." Whether from President Eisenhower or *Insiders* like Weinberg was not made clear and Dodd could not get the smirking Gaither to provide details.

No objective person can claim that the Ford Foundation has ever deviated from the goal which Ford Foundation president Rowan Gaither cited. Today, the president of the Ford Foundation is McGeorge Bundy (C.F.R.), former chief advisor on foreign affairs to Presidents Kennedy and Johnson. And McGeorge Bundy had long been prepared by the *Insiders* for his top role in the foundation business. As the *Government Employees' Exchange* of November 27, 1968, reported:

Joseph E. Johnson, the president of the Carnegie Endowment, has close personal and official ties to McGeorge Bundy . . . Mr. Bundy controlled the entire CIA allocation of funds and the use of [foundation] "conduits" and fronts while he was at the White House on the staff of President Kennedy, the [Justice Department] source revealed.

Upon taking over the Ford Foundation, Bundy announced that the interests of Black Revolution would be "the first of the nation's problems" with which he would deal. And, under Bundy, the Ford Foundation promptly went into the racism business, promoting revolution and turmoil. A few grisly examples include:

- A \$175,000 registration drive among blacks in Cleveland to elect the radical Carl Stokes as mayor.
- A \$630,000 grant to the Castroite Mexican-American Youth Organization, which preaches revolution and racial hatred.
- Some \$475,000 in grants to the Marxist black separatists of C.O.R.E.
- The provision of hundreds of thousands of dollars for Communists and radicals to run school "decentralization" in New York City, producing the most bitter sort of racial antagonisms.
- A \$315,000 gift to the National Student Association, which is controlled by the New Left and Black Nationalists.
- Some \$50,000 to black revolutionary LeRoi Jones to stage anti-white plays.
- Financing of the radical Citizen's Crusade, headed by Russian-trained labor boss Walter Reuther, to the amount of \$508,500.
- A gift of \$100,000 for the pro-Vietcong activists of the American Friends Service Committee.

- Bankrolling the Communist-staffed Southern Christian Leadership Conference to the amount of \$230,000.

- Grants of \$1,600,000 to the pro-Communist Urban League.

- A \$1 million grant to establish separatist-oriented Afro Studies in American colleges.

- The granting of \$648,000 for the Communist-staffed Southern Regional Council.

- A gift of \$1 million to the Council on Foreign Relations.

- The provision of \$630,000 for the racist Southwest Council of La Raza, at the time it was headed by Maclovio Barraza, officially identified as a Communist agent by the Subversive Activities Control Board . . . and on and on and on.

While the Ford Foundation does not make grants directly to the Communist Party, the S.D.S., or the Black Panthers, it seems that all a member of one of these organizations needs to do to have a ton of money dumped on him by the social planners at Ford is to come up with a name like South Chicago (or wherever) Committee for Racial and Social Progress.

The game is to finance revolutionary turmoil so that fellow *Insiders* can "solve" the created problems with more and more federal intervention.

But beyond the effort of the foundations to make Big Brother ever bigger is the effort to merge Big Brother with Super Brother under a World Government run by the *Insiders*. Consider: Alan Pifer, president of the Carnegie Corporation, is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, as are nine of its fifteen trustees. Joseph E. Johnson, president of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, is a member of the C.F.R. and so are fifteen of its twenty-two officers and trustees. Ford Foundation president McGeorge Bundy is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, and so are ten of its nineteen officers and trustees. Sixteen of twenty-three Rockefeller Foundation officers and trustees are members of the C.F.R., including president J. George Harrar. Quite obviously, this is *not* mere coincidence!

(To be continued)

NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY

By GARY ALLEN

"After reading this book you will never look at national and world events in the same way again"

—John G. Schmitz, United States Congressman

1 copy, 50p. 3 copies, £1 10 copies, £3 25 copies, £6

ALTERNATIVE TO DISASTER

By BRYAN W. MONAHAN

Examines the economic foundations of the Conspiracy

1 copy, 30p. 3 copies, 75p. 10 copies, £2 25 copies, £4 . 25p.

Prices include postage

K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LTD., 245 CANN HALL RD., LONDON, E.11