

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 50. No. 23.

SATURDAY, 6th FEBRUARY, 1971

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

Mao Will Push Dope Via Canada

By GEORGE S. SCHUYLER*

Despite the singing by Mao Tse-tung, Lin Piao, and Chou En-lai of the Mongolian version of Jimmy McHugh's "I Can't Give You Anything But Love, Baby," Canada's Leftist Government under crypto-Communist Prime Minister Pierre Elliott Trudeau has diplomatically recognized Red China. It was not unexpected. Our Northern neighbour has long been wooing the Mongolian murderbund at the urging of the Canadian wheat board, which has successively sold the so-called Chinese People's Republic vast tonnages of grain for its starving millions of serfs. It was not a hasty decision. The two parties have been holding secret talks in Stockholm for nearly two years. Similar talks have been going on between the United States and the Chinese Reds in Warsaw.

Now, ominously, the Italians have followed in the Canadian footsteps, and the Vatican and Austria are expected to follow suit. In another year it is confidently expected that the Chinese Communists will be recognized by the United Nations, and America will have completely betrayed the Chiang Kai-shek regime on Taiwan. Red China, the world is being told, is a vast market for Western goods; diplomatic recognition is a first step toward opening up that market.

Yet, despite its vast territory and control of the world's largest population, Red China has nothing but love to give in exchange for the goods it is supposed to be seeking from Canada and other of our allies. Having only subsistence agriculture, and even more backward in technology than the Soviet Union, nobody wants its shoddy goods and machinery, not even the Africans. Without foreign exchange, Mao can buy little abroad.

Aside from subversion (at which it is admittedly as adept as Moscow) Red China has for export only dope, being the world's largest producer of opium, refined into morphine and thence heroin, which is increasingly destroying the moral and mental fibre of the West. Canada, with its long, undefended, and largely unwatched border with the United States, provides an ideal base from which to move narcotic drugs into America's cities, and is destined to become exactly that when relations between it and Peking are formalized and the heroin-stuffed diplomatic pouches begin flying in with regularity. Instead of this menace being thousands of miles away, it will be on our doorstep, supplementing the supply smuggled into New York by the Mafia and in boats traversing the St. Lawrence Seaway.

With the dope traffic being the only way for the Chinese Reds to get hard currency, needed to buy food and machinery, the production of opium has long been a State Monopoly there. When you're dealing with Red China, you're being paid in currency earned from dope, and no ex-

pensive program of suppressing the dope traffic here and rehabilitating its victims will stem the debilitating tide.

It is estimated that the State cultivation of poppies, from which opium is extracted, now nets the Red Chinese dictatorship around a billion dollars annually. Production is centered around Jehol province, where the terrain is red with blossoming plants. Planting was greatly expanded during the Japanese occupancy of Manchuria and eastern China, and forced upon the Chinese people for purposes of demoralization. The forces of Mao Tse-tung cooperated in this effort, and so they've had long experience at it.

In his book *Soviet Russia In China*, Chiang Kai-shek describes the system:

One of the items which the Chinese Communists handled in their smuggling activities, and which not only violated the Government's ban but also harmed the people's general health, was opium. This came from two sources:

First, it came from production centers in Japanese-occupied areas, especially Jehol, and entered the free (Government-controlled) areas through routes and river crossing points garrisoned by the 18th Group Army. The Chinese Communists collected a passage levy of 8 fapi for every ounce of opium.

Second, it came from the Sensi-Kansu-Ningsia Special Area where the farmers were ordered to plant poppy by the Chinese Communists who would send men to collect the opium when the poppy was ripe, and shared the crop with the growers either on a 30-70 or on a 40-60 basis, depending on the fertility of the land in question. Whatever amount the farmers were allowed to keep was also purchased by the Chinese Communists at a fixed price.

Properly refined, such heroin now reaches the world market through Canton and Hong Kong. Which is certainly one reason why the Chinese Reds permit Hong Kong to continue under British colonial rule.

World distribution of narcotics is carried on for Chairman Mao through TRIAD, the Chinese counterpart of the Mafia. TRIAD means the Society of Heaven, Earth, and Man. It started as a secret patriotic group aimed at overthrowing the Manchu Government, and one of its early leaders was Dr. Sun Yat-sen, later first president of China. With the eclipse of the Nationalist Government the Red machine took over the TRIAD apparatus, which by that time had already become a crime syndicate operative along the whole Pacific littoral, wherever there was a Chinese tong.

*From *The Review Of The News*, Nov. 25. 1970.

(continued on page 3)

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 45/-, Six months 22/6.

Offices—

Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London, E.11. Tel. 01-534 7395
Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Tel. 01-387-3893

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne, Victoria 3001
Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001 (Editorial Head Office)

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia 2603. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone: 01-387 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q., General Deputy Chairman and Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

Following the Munich Crisis in 1938, it became evident that a resumption of world war was certain. Most people, misguided by the Press and political commentators, believed that the coming war would be due to the 'maniac' Hitler and the innate militarism of the Germans; but more profound observers could see there was more to it than that. Prior to Munich, much of the Press was sympathetic to Hitler and German re-armament, and the Labour Party was pacifist and hostile to British re-armament. After Munich, and obviously in response to some sort of international signal propagated through such channels as the Communist Party and international masonry, there was a complete reversal; the powers-that-be wanted war.

In mid-1939 the late C. H. Douglas began publication of a work, *"Whose Service Is Perfect Freedom"*, examining the nature of the policies pursued in Great Britain following her victory in the first phase of world war. "At the conclusion of the European war in 1918, an unfettered Britain guided by competent statesmanship could not merely have secured these islands and their population from further risk of war, but could have guided the rest of the world into paths of economic plenty and political and international peace." Instead, "The joint management of the affairs of Great Britain on the political and financial sides by the persons in whose hands it was placed, resulted in the imposition of the highest taxation in the world, the rise of the suicide rate to more than double the highest previous known rate, the destruction of British agriculture, the devastation of the English countryside, the wreck of Scotland and the sabotage of British military, naval and air force strength."

But even in 1917, Douglas had grasped that Great Britain was the chief obstacle to a calculated attempt at World Dominion, so that the disastrous post-war policy appeared to him not as the outcome of stupidity but as the unfolding of the strategy of attempted World Dominion, operating in the main through the Money Power, as represented by the International Financiers who negotiated the peace terms, so that "The real objectives of the last war were the Bolshevik revolution in Russia, the League of Nations, and the financial subjugation of Great Britain".

Three months after *"Whose Service"* commenced publication, the European war was resumed, and Douglas promptly stated as amongst the *real* objectives of that resumption; "The restoration of the Gold Standard and Debt system" and "The establishment of the Zionist State in Palestine as a geographical centre of World Control, with New York as the centre of World Financial Control".

A further objective was, "The establishment of the International Police State on the Russian model, beginning with Great Britain" and "The elimination of Great Britain in the cultural sense". The two major events of the immediate post-war period were first the installation of a Socialist Administration in Great Britain which promptly set about reducing Britain's 'greatness' and, through the imposition of austerity under "emergency powers", imposing the beginnings of a police-state. (A very good account in perspective of this period is to be found in Douglas Reed's *From Smoke to Smother*.) Second was the invasion of Palestine by 'refugee' Jews from Russia and Eastern Europe, under the auspices of UNRRA. General Morgan, who indiscreetly exposed this manoeuvre, was dismissed; but the internationally organised operation proceeded and, with the co-operation of Soviet Russia and Washington, eventuated in the establishment of the Zionist State in Palestine.

The *Times* of Dec. 18, 1970, carries a full-page advertisement inserted by the Arab League Office London consisting of two maps of the Middle East revealing most graphically the expansion of the Zionist State between 1948 and 1967, and it quotes Theodore Herzl (1860-1904): "The Promised Land, from the Brook of Egypt to the Euphrates"—*i.e.*, to the Persian Gulf, the geographical centre of World Control. The Persian Gulf is the major supply route to Russia from the U.S.A., through which, according to Sir Winston Churchill (*The Grand Alliance*), five million tons of supplies were furnished to Russia during the war. Since then, international finance, operating through David Lilienthal (formerly of T.V.A.)'s Development and Resources Corporation, has been doing some "development" in Khuzestan.

Evidently, providing a 'home' for the Jews is a complex job; but it is doubtful whether the Arabs will receive any more consideration than did the British, if they stand in the way.

The Prophet of Canterbury

The Rev. Arthur Lewis, unlike the other archdeacons, was not reappointed by the new bishop in Rhodesia, but is grateful for the freedom of speech he has enjoyed to criticise, unique in Africa. "Years ago," he writes in his Newsletter, he lived in Zanzibar "that happiest of countries: today as vicious a communist tyranny as can be found anywhere." Ten thousand died after the over-hasty change of rule, but the churchmen are silent about it.

The Zanzibar massacres, those of the Sudan, Nigeria, etc., not to mention the racial expulsions of Kenya, etc., are facts to the inhabitants of Africa, of all colours, although they appear to be unregistered in the archives of any church. And large numbers of Africans doubtless appreciate the benefits of law and order where they are maintained, and are not particularly anxious to have "liberation" inflicted upon them.

Yet the *Uganda Argus* attacks Dr. Ramsey because "he disagreed openly with the World Council of Churches' gesture to give a token gift to the freedom fighters in southern Africa, and yet he advocated the idea of bombarding bridges in Rhodesia in order to bring Smith to his knees. This man has double standards" (*Church Times*, Nov. 27, 1970).

Despite these strictures, the Archbishop "warns South Africa" (*Church Times*, Dec. 4, 1970), and says that he was not "taken in" by the Transkei or its "dummy parliament". Spies and informers, he complained, "were everywhere". I do not know why he so looked down on the Transkei and its "so-called growth to independence", for surely as a housing project alone it has its promising side, and gives a more than rudimentary sense of responsibility to its inhabitants. Years ago areas were allotted to the Africans, otherwise they would have had no land left to them.

But the Archbishop has admitted that "things are wrong" in countries other than South Africa (*Church Times*, Dec. 11, 1970), and in Uganda had compared "the happenings in the Sudan with the terrible evils in South Africa". He mentioned the 100,000 and more refugees from the Sudan in Uganda. I should have thought that a genocidal persecution differed fundamentally from separate development: Africans evidently have to leave the Sudan, but are anxious to enter South Africa. Asians are turned out of Uganda and Kenya but have their own position in South Africa, nearly a million of them. Liberty doubtless does not flourish in the Westminster tradition, yet the press has considerable liberty, and it is the country's very existence which is menaced by communist subversion, against which the Government necessarily takes measures.

The Archbishop clearly had an unhappy meeting with Mr. Vorster—the picture in this case does tell a story—and perhaps this hard man indicated to him some of the facts of the African situation: external as well as internal.

The Registrar of the Church of England in South Africa objects to the reports that Dr. Ramsey "personally experienced the attentions of paid informers" on the ground that the statement must be based on second hand information and is therefore hearsay, while no one would worry about police who were "so inept as to be well known". Mr. Hammond, the Registrar, also reports that when his Church synod criticised Government policy which led to the breakdown of family life, the motion "received attention at Cabinet level and the responsible Minister, far from treating this concern as treason", wrote to say that the Government would do its utmost to prevent this break up. The writer suggests "reasoned and private representation" as the best way to influence the Government (*Daily Telegraph*, Dec. 17, 1970). Canon R. M. Jeffrey's rather stuffy explanation next day that this Church is "a small Church separate from the Anglican Communion" does not invalidate its Registrar's points.

Norway and Sweden eschewed "togetherness" in 1905 and have enjoyed good relations in their separate development, the *RSA World*, Seventh Issue, 1970, points out, while Quebec's desire for more separateness has caused great trouble to Canada. It has prompted the Bishop of Johannesburg to ask if terrorism in South Africa is worthy of Christian support and terrorism in Canada is not—"where

in both cases the terrorists are backed by the same revolutionary forces".

And those who like to think that America has solved or contained the problem could read in *U.S. News & World Report*, Dec. 21, 1970, an article which describes the ruin of Cairo, Illinois, due to racial fear and hate. A clergyman is quoted as saying, "Yes, I carry a gun when I go out at night. Most people I know do the same. You'd be a fool if you didn't". Illustrations show "charred ruins" and a burned warehouse. It might have been Belfast, for the pattern is familiar and Christians should not pay to have it extended: sane Africans (white or black) in fact are determined that it should not engulf them, while the progressive Ivory Coast and poor Mauritius have abated their hostility towards South Africa in favour of the course set by Malawi and Madagascar—that of "co-operative coexistence" (*RSA World*).

—H.S.

Mao Will Push Dope Via Canada (continued from page 1)

The TRIAD was ordered dissolved when Sun Yat-sen triumphed, but as has so frequently happened in history, it was by then just too lucrative to throw away. There was opium, for example, and Chinese laborers and white women to be smuggled back and forth. There was also gambling, and anything else that made big money. Coastwise junks and deep-sea fishing boats linked all of China's ports with any place having a sizable Chinese colony.

Mao Tse-tung now controls this international apparatus. He not only controls its criminal activities, but uses it as an adjunct to his revolutionary prescriptions which, like those of the Russians, are aimed at demoralizing and thus subjugating the world. Now, as if we were not already reeling from our present inundation by narcotics, Mao will use Canada as a base through which TRIAD will supply added waves of opiates. It may shortly be said that the United States is surrounded by dope: heroin from Middle Eastern opium processed in France; cocaine from South America; marijuana from Mexico; and, heroin processed in Shanghai and sent in through Canada.

Red China and Soviet Russia are notorious for maintaining the world's largest Embassies. With no trade at all, the Communists customarily have hundreds in a single diplomatic mission. Mao's setup in Canada will be no exception. There will be Chinese consulates opened across the country from Victoria and Vancouver to Winnipeg, Windsor, Toronto, Ottawa, Montreal, and Quebec. Peking has graciously agreed to let Canadian emissaries range that country unrestrictedly, and of course Canada is granting reciprocity. Such mobility for Mao's arrest-free diplomats will mean a lot to Red China's dope trade.

With Canada, Britain, France, the Netherlands, Denmark, Norway, and soon Belgium, recognizing the Chinese Reds, and trading with them, the supply of dope in the West will be greatly increased. But our deepest concern must be with Canada, which is literally across the street from us; the nearest available haven for our draft dodgers and criminal subversives, and run by a Premier who is Red as a beet.

While Chinese agents would be easily identifiable in their operations in Canada, they will like the Russians find plenty of Canadian Comrades to cooperate with them in smuggling heroin across the border to American youth. A 733-page

Report Of The Royal Commission details the facts "and the circumstances surrounding the communications, by public officials and other persons in positions of trust, of secret and confidential information to agents of a foreign power." The foreign power being Soviet Russia. This was the probe sparked by the defection of code clerk Igor Gouzenko from the Soviet Embassy, and the Canadians involved ranged from defense officers to parliamentarians, to civil officials, professors, businessmen, etc.

The list read like Who's Who in Subversion, complete with cover names and contacts in the Communist apparatus from Ottawa, to Washington, to London and, ultimately, to Moscow. The voluminous report is priced at one dollar from Canada's Controller of Stationery, and is about the only textbook one needs to understand how the Communist conspiracy operates in Canada. There is no reason to suppose that this enlistment of Canadian citizens with the international Communist apparatus was discontinued with exposure of their game. Nor is there any justification for assuming that the Embassy of the Chinese Peoples Republic will not operate likewise, using the Canadian crime syndicate through TRIAD to push heroin sales in the United States.

Whether the Russians and Red Chinese will collaborate in the destruction of capitalism in Canada and the United States, and the total debilitation of their peoples, is problematical. It is not, however, farfetched. The two Red empires have resumed diplomatic relations after a long period of name-calling; just as Stalin joined hands with Hitler for the rape of Poland in 1939. While China and Russia bicker over their respective colonies in Central Asia, they are as one in their goal to destroy the free enterprise system. Certainly they will not hesitate to use the Quebec Liberation Front and their various other revolutionary ethnic groups in any way valuable to them.

Meanwhile, we have not begun to solve our narcotics problem. On the contrary it has worsened, with sellers of drugs on every campus, and children of the rich and poor "hooked" in every suburb and high school. If we haven't been able to stop the traffic across the Atlantic and Pacific, can we be more successful on that across the long border with Canada? Narcotics still get across the Mexican Border, though it is open space and well patrolled. The U.S.-Canadian Border is four times as long, and it is in many places far more inaccessible to law officers. Our network of roads is the world's greatest; and once across the Canadian Border with briefcase loaded with heroin, a hiker can hire a car anywhere. And there are, after all, plenty of subversives on *both* sides of the Border ready to render a helping hand. Especially with such rich rewards.

There is more money to be made from the sale of narcotics than in any other way. That is why it is almost impossible to stop it. The police know from whence it comes, but catching the messengers is something else again. Moreover, distance no longer makes any difference. It is the ruthlessness and efficiency of the crime apparatus that counts, and when this apparatus is backed by powerful governments, it is almost unbeatable. So the utmost pessimism about the Red Chinese moving in next door is warranted. . . .

To the credit of the United States, confronted with this problem in the Philippines and alarmed by the consequences, America called a conference of interested powers in Singapore on September 1, 1909, where remedial steps were taken

to reduce the traffic and production of opiates. Then came the Chinese Revolution and the advent of the war lords, each going his own way until the Nationalists consolidated the country. But the traffic in narcotics continued, and when the Kuomintang took over Shanghai efforts were made to curtail it by shooting addicts in the back of the head on the city dump.

Science has since worsened the opium traffic by introducing means of chemical refinement to produce the less bulky and far more addictive morphine, and then finally the lethal heroin in powder form. It is a process requiring the highest chemical skill and mechanical equipment, available to the underworld in only a few places in the West like Marseilles. By this method a whole chest of opium can be "cooked" down to a few easily transportable ounces with many times the wallop of the original opium.

Now, even if Mao Tse-tung cannot make socialism work in agriculture, he has access to enough technical skill to reduce his vast opium crop to that dangerous white powder for distribution to the forty-six countries with which the Chinese Peoples Republic now has diplomatic relations—including Trudeau's Canada. This, as we have noted, is how Mao acquires the hard currency necessary to finance his international subversive activities.

Once heroin and its other derivatives get a firm hold on our people, no power on earth can loosen the grip, except destruction of the source of supply! That source is in China and adjoining countries, and no amount of debating in the halls of the United Nations will cause Mao to cease and desist. Admitting the Red Chinese to the United Nations will no more deter them from producing opium than it has Burma, Lebanon, and other member States.

Of course there are the retardate few who will argue that the Chinese Reds will not violate their treaty with Canada by smuggling heroin over the Border, but that's the kind of bunkum we heard when F.D.R. signed the 1933 treaty with Litvinov. The Russians thereafter shattered each and every vow.

Certainly Prime Minister Trudeau will find that his euphoric confidence in Mao Tse-tung has been misplaced when the Red Chinese agents start co-operating with the Quebec Liberation Front. If de Gaulle was "rude" enough to shout for a Free Quebec, what does he expect from Mao? And, while it is a long distance from Burundi to Canada, Trudeau will do well to remember that no sooner had the Red Chinese Embassy arrived in that Central African "republic" than the Prime Minister was assassinated on the capitol steps. A member of the Chinese Embassy who defected to the Americans told all about how the Red Chinese pulled *that* stunt. Canada can expect similar performances. And, by our proximity to Canada, so can we.

But as bad as such political activity might become, it is as nothing compared to the threat from Red China posed by its promotion of narcotics. One shudders to think how the dope traffic will increase after the Red Chinese Embassy is in business in Canada and couriers are tripping in and out with loaded diplomatic pouches and complete immunity. One could dismiss the whole thing by saying that's Canada's business; but I'm afraid it is soon going to be our business as well.