

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 49 No. 7

SATURDAY, 28 JUNE, 1969

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

Conspiracy

That the world is in the grip of a conspiracy—conscious and determined—long ago ceased to be a theory. It is now a very well documented fact.

Some of the facets of this conspiracy have been analysed with great brilliance and a pretty wit by Dr. Medford Evans*, who was once administrative officer on the U.S. atomic energy project—a position which placed him in contact with some of the key figures of that body and with considerable knowledge of the politics of atomic energy. In the present book however, he discusses a number of key events, and five key personnel—Dean Rusk (“the most powerful man in Washington”); Walt Rostow (“it is, therefore, an American interest to see the end of nationhood”); Robert McNamara, the man who has to a large extent disarmed the U.S. *vis-à-vis* Soviet Russia and has now moved on to head the World Bank (“another piece of the World Government becomes visible”); Clark Clifford, who wrote the bill unifying the U.S. defence forces, thus enabling McNamara to disarm them; Abe Fortas, the Supreme Court Justice with proved Communist affiliations, and N. deB. Katzenbach, one of the key figures in the no-win policy in Vietnam.

Among the key events which Dr. Evans considers in some detail are the loss of the U.S.S. *Pueblo*; the assassination of President Kennedy, which he regards as a *coup d'état*, opening the way for the “dangerous” President Lyndon Johnson; and the “build-up” in Vietnam—a “project in the Socialist reconstruction of the world”. Dr. Evans takes the view, which we have not seen put forward elsewhere than in this journal, that the ‘war’ in Vietnam has as its fundamental objective the transfer of American arms and equipment to Asia, as well as the construction of capital projects which will eventually fall into the possession of the enemy. When the Americans go (evidently, now, before much longer) they will not take their bases with them, nor the development projects on the Mekong River—another T.V.A. project, again under Lillenthal.

Unlike so many otherwise sound analysts of the conspiracy, Dr. Evans is not confused about money power. He makes the vital distinction between wealth and money. “Too often (wealth) is thought of in terms of money. It is forgotten that the value of money depends on the power—ultimately the military power—of the issuing agency . . . If the United States loses its military independence, American money will cease to be accepted, unless validated by the successor power, presumably the new World Government . . . The prince must tax the wealthy man, not only for revenue but to remind him who’s boss. Any World Government

would have to distribute American wealth to underdeveloped nations.” Yes, Mr. Wilson? Did we hear you say something?

Dr. Evans puts the crux of the present situation thus: “It is thought (by the Liberal Establishment) that the government of the One World of the future must have a monopoly of nuclear weapons. Short of this, the best expedient is nuclear stalemate with mutual deterrence of the nations, resulting in a balance manoeuvrable at the centre (the Washington-Moscow Axis). The meaning of ‘peace’ is simply: unified control . . . World peace today means world domination by an agency with monopolistic control of atomic arms.”

In accordance with this strategy, the U.S. Establishment has been scaling down the supreme military superiority which America possessed at the end of the war to a position of equality with Soviet Russia. The idea of this, of course, is to make war impossible, thus paving the way to the coalescence of the American and Soviet systems. This involves supplying Russia with critical materials and technical know-how, as well as rendering American nuclear weapons unusable as, Dr. Evans says, they already are—at least in a war against Communism. (They could be used—with Soviet agreement, of course—against Britain, should Britain take her ‘independence’ too seriously.)

The Usurpers makes very clear to what a dangerous degree Major Douglas’s worst fears have already been realised. The Usurpers care no more for the death of American soldiers than for the death of the Vietnamese. The *people* of this generation, and perhaps the next, are expendable. It is their wealth they want, in the service of Socialist Reconstruction of the World, for the greater glorification of World Government—and Governors.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF WORLD DOMINION

“‘Britain’ is now apparently the target of the most venomous hatred by its manipulators, a position we have usurped from Imperial Russia; and the practical lesson to be learned from this analysis is to direct our attention to the current Storm Centre. It is not in Russia, except as a fulcrum for Wall Street; Russia is finished; it is in New York.” (C. H. Douglas, Sept. 11, 1948.)

A selection of C. H. Douglas’s observations during the period of the Socialist Administration in Britain following the end of World War II have been collected in a volume, under the title *The Development of World Dominion*, at present being published by Tidal Publications for the Social Credit Secretariat. It will be available shortly at 13/- posted.

Advance orders should be addressed to K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.

**The Usurpers*: Western Islands. Pp. 248 + Bibliography and Index. Available from K.R.P. Publications, 9/6 posted.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 45/-; Six months 22/6; Three months 11/6.

Offices: Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London E.11.

Telephone: 01-534 7395

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London NW1

Telephone: 01-387 3893

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne, Victoria 3001

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001

(Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia 2603. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone: 01-387 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

Immediately on the conclusion of the 1939-45 war, Great Britain commenced to lose the peace. Preliminary steps in this direction were, of course, taken during the war, but their effect became manifest in the pursuit of post-war policy. By 1947 C. H. Douglas was driven to remark in these pages: "A liberal estimate of the electorate of Great Britain at this time who have even an approximate idea of what is being prepared for them, *whether they vote Labour, Socialist, Communist or Conservative under present electoral conditions*, would be one-half of one per cent. There is one policy which can be effectively pursued in this country without constitutional reform of the most drastic nature, and that this is so is proved by the fact that only one policy has been pursued since 1906, no matter what party has nominally administered it . . . The policy is that of the Slave State and Factory Ghetto, of which Mr Harold Macmillan (was) so strong a supporter." (*T.S.C.*, June 7, 1947.)

Treason is defined as violation by subject of allegiance to sovereign; . . . breach of faith, disloyalty. On this basis, the attempt to enter the Common Market, and *adhere to the Treaty of Rome*, is treason. The run-down of British defences, and the destruction of the home defences, is a breach of faith—the first duty of a government is to provide for the defence of the people it represents. Adhering to international financial arrangements which are patently strangling Britain is adhering to the sovereign's enemies.

Those of our readers who claim that they cannot understand economics should understand this much. Current economic *theory* is simply an elaborate and mystifying disguise for the Slave State and Factory Ghetto *policy*, which is dictated, with the collusion of the Socialists, from international sources, the most important of which are, for the present, in New York and Washington. Remember Woodrow Wilson's words: "England and France have not the same views with regard to peace that we have, by any means. When the war is over, *we can force them to our way of thinking*, because by that time they will, among other things, be *financially in our hands*."* (Emphasis added).

Woodrow Wilson was dominated and manipulated by

*See *The Moving Storm*, p. 114.

Colonel E. M. House, who was deeply involved in international conspiracy†. Now obviously having England "financially in our hands" does not have an ordinary financial meaning; it means being in a position to force England to pursue policies not in her own interest, and that is really all the economics it is necessary to know. However, it ought to be plain enough that if it did have a purely financial meaning—the repaying of war debts—this could only be effected by enormous exports of *goods*; but of course industrialised countries do not want the goods, and the non-industrialised countries can not pay for them on a sufficient scale.

Thus clearly Britain is faced with an impossible task, *whatever Government is in office*. This situation is being exploited to force Britain into the Common Market, and this means the abrogation of British sovereignty. Internationalists are in favour of this; but, by definition, they are traitors. If they accomplish such abrogation by secrecy and deception, they are conspirators—and should be recognised as such.

The economic arguments for joining the Community are, of course, deception—and nonsensical economically. What would happen to British debts? Is it thought they would vanish? Who is going to be the market for all the sophisticated goods the "modern, efficient" Community is going to produce? Japan? Russia? The U.S.A.? China?

The Big Idea, of course, is to universalise the Slave State and Factory Ghetto, and to pour the resources of the 'developed' areas into the 'underdeveloped'; and in this project the key institution is the World Bank and International Monetary Fund. The British, *as British*, were, and to a limited extent still are, an impediment to what Medford Evans calls "homogenization of Man"—the slave population of the projected One World. And with Douglas, "we do not believe that the great majority of those who are doing nicely, if temporarily, out of the distribution of stolen goods (which is what confiscatory taxation amounts to) are unconscious agents. They may disclaim responsibility tacitly if not vocally for any hand in policy. It won't do; and the first step to a healthier country is to make it evident that it won't do." But of course, Wilson & Co. no longer disclaim responsibility; they continue their ignominious efforts to surrender British sovereignty.

We take it that subscribers to this journal remain patriotic, and we suggest that they make it known to their Representatives in Parliament that they are aware of what is going on; that it is treason; and that it calls for impeachment. After all, probably only Abe Fortas's resignation saved *him* from impeachment, merely for having received fees from a financial foundation guilty of fraud. What is really happening to Britain must be made a public issue.

An Opinion Research Centre poll (*Sunday Times*, May 25, 1969) shows 27% of those polled as thinking Mr. Harold Wilson dishonest—a little more than one in four. Eighty-seven per cent consider him intelligent, and 42% likeable.

Dishonesty of intent is a far more likely explanation of Mr. Wilson's 'failures' than incompetence, which would imply lack of intelligence. The most likely explanation is that Wilson knows all about the scheme for World Government, and approves—furthered by his policies—its advance.

†See *Fabian Freeway; The Actor*.

He announced in Parliament (Nov. 23, 1964) that "A defence policy which does not contain within itself the seeds of further progress towards disarmament is one which in the present state of the world we can no longer regard as appropriate". He has boasted that "a thousand years of English history went out of the window" between 1945 and 1951. He has been—may be still—a Vice-Chairman of the Socialist International.

British bankruptcy suits Socialist purposes, by, amongst other things, providing a pretext for disarmament on grounds of economy; but national armaments stand in the way of World Government which, for Fabians, "is the final objective" (Labour Party Manifesto, 1964). And bankruptcy has the further advantage that should the Conservative Party come to power, *they* will inherit the bankruptcy, and be under the orders of the World Bank which, of course, is the prime promoter of disarmament in favour of World Government.

So we can only hope that the number of those who recognise the nature of Wilson's dishonesty grows to a point where they will demand that something be done, before it is utterly and finally too late.

Australians who are becoming increasingly concerned about Australia's increasingly precarious defence posture will find some startling disclosures concerning the F111 aircraft in *The Usurpers*, referred to elsewhere in this issue. They might also note that the U.S. State Department which pressured the Dutch into abandoning 'West Irian' to the Indonesians (who are probably just as Communist as ever) is not going to do anything to ensure that "the act of free determination" is anything but an obscene farce; and the Australian Department of External Affairs is not going to either. Won't we be sitting ducks if the Chinese come to the assistance of their brethren in Singapore and Malaysia?

Joining the Common Market What the Treaty of Rome means

1/- posted

Sir Harry Legge-Bourke, M.P., said, "... probably very few hon. Members, and certainly the vast majority of people in this country have never read this document. I am afraid that many Ministers and ex-Ministers have never read it".

No nation can ever, legitimately, of its own sole free will, withdraw.

All members accept a superior form of government.

FABIAN FREEWAY

High Road to Socialism in the U.S.A.

By ROSE L. MARTIN

19/- posted

This most detailed and carefully documented account of the origin, growth and present dimensions of the Fabian Socialist conspiracy, with its now virtually total control of the government administrations of both Britain and the U.S.A., is probably the most important book ever written showing how the present disastrous state of the world has come about. Though long, it is easily read; and no one who reads it could any longer misinterpret the current world situation. Fabian Socialism now has nowhere to go but to Communism.

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11

The C.F.R.

(Council on Foreign Relations)
Conspiracy To Rule The World

By GARY ALLEN in *American Opinion*, April, 1969.

(Continued)

Quigley traces the lineage of the C.F.R. back to Cecil Rhodes, the English adventurer who amassed a fortune in the gold and diamond mines of Southern Africa. While he follows the conspiracy of the *Insiders* back no farther than Rhodes, he does indicate that it did not begin even there. And, he notes that the man who bent Rhodes as a collegiate twig at Oxford was the notorious John Ruskin, noting of the conspiracy Ruskin called the "new imperialism":

The chief changes were that it was justified on grounds . . . of social reform and not, as earlier, on grounds of missionary activity and material advantage.

Ruskin beguiled his students with tales of "England's downtrodden masses," did his best to fill his aristocratic charges with a sense of profound guilt, convincing them that their privileged position could not be preserved unless their power "could be extended to the lower classes in England itself and to the non-English masses throughout the world." So smitten with Ruskin's initial lecture was Rhodes that he copied it in longhand and kept it with him for thirty years.

Ruskin's ideas about using *noblesse oblige* as justification for the imperialism of the *Insiders* were used to rationalize political activities aimed at capturing the immense wealth of Southern Africa for Rhodes and the financiers and conspirators who were his backers. The indoctrination by Ruskin was thus turned into an instrument of conquest by Rhodes, on what we are asked to believe was the assumption—according to biographers Lockhart and Woodhouse—that God had chosen Cecil Rhodes to "paint the map of Africa red." Rhodes' biographer Sara Millin was a little more direct. As she put it: "The government of the world was Rhodes' simple desire." Quigley notes:

In the middle 1890's Rhodes had a personal income of at least a million pounds sterling a year (then about five million dollars) which he spent so freely for his mysterious purposes that he was usually overdrawn on his account. These purposes centered on his desire to federate the English-speaking peoples and to bring all the habitable portions of the world under their control.

Cecil Rhodes' commitment to a conspiracy to establish World Government was set down in a series of wills described by Frank Aydelotte, a founding member of the C.F.R. and American Secretary to the Rhodes Trustees, in his book *American Rhodes Scholarships*. Aydelotte writes:

The seven wills which Cecil Rhodes made between the ages of 24 and 46 (Rhodes died at age forty-eight) constitute a kind of spiritual autobiography . . . Best known are the first (the Secret Society Will . . .), and the last, which established the Rhodes Scholarships . . .

In his first will Rhodes states his aim still more specifically: "The extension of British rule throughout the world, . . . the foundation of so great a power as to hereafter render wars impossible and promote the interests of humanity."

The "Confession of Faith" enlarges upon these ideas. The model for this proposed secret society was the Society of Jesus, though he mentions also the Masons. (Emphasis added.)

It should be noted that the originator on the profane level of this type of secret society was Adam Weishaupt, the monster who founded the Order of Illuminati on May 1, 1776, for the purpose of conspiracy to control the world. The role of Weishaupt's Illuminists in such horrors as the Reign of Terror is unquestioned, and the techniques of the Illuminati have long been recognised as models for Communist methodology. Weishaupt also used the structure of the Society of Jesus (The Jesuits) as his model, and rewrote his Code in Masonic terms. Aydelotte continues:

... In 1888 Rhodes made his third will . . . leaving everything to Lord Rothschild (his financier in mining enterprises), with an accompanying letter enclosing "the written matter discussed between us." This, one surmises, consisted of the first will and the "Confession of Faith," since in a postscript Rhodes says "in considering questions suggested take Constitution of the Jesuits if obtainable . . ."

Apparently for strategic reasons Lord Rothschild was subsequently removed from the forefront of the scheme. Professor Quigley reveals that Lord Rosebery "replaced his father-in-law, Lord Rothschild, in Rhodes' secret group and was made a Trustee under Rhodes' next (and last) will."

The last will of Cecil Rhodes provides for bringing "Rhodes Scholars" from the Empire, Germany, and America for schooling in internationalism at Oxford. The plan, according to Rhodes' fellow conspirator, William Stead:

... was that after thirty years there would be "between two and three thousand men in the prime of life scattered all over the world, each one of whom would have impressed upon his mind in the most susceptible period of his life the dream of the Founder, each one of whom, moreover, would have been specially—mathematically—selected towards the Founder's purposes (World Government) . . ."

Biographer Sara Millin writes of the qualifications for becoming part of this cadre:

In speaking of these attributes (desirable in Rhodes Scholars) to Stead, Rhodes defined them, with that defensive cynicism of the romantic, as: smugness, brutality, unctuous rectitude, and tact.

At the very time the Rhodes Trust began to filter its "scholars" into Oxford, the University was of course coming under the academic domination of members of the Fabian Socialist Society, providing the Rhodes Scholars not only with the intended indoctrination and preparation to become part of an international government, but part of an international socialist government.*

Quigley informs us approvingly that it was the aim of the Rhodes group to promote the economic doctrines taught in the London School of Economics. He does not mention, however, that the London School of Economics was established by the Fabians to teach the economics of international socialism. In fact, Professor Quigley is very careful to avoid so much as a mention of the Fabian movement.

At first glance it would appear that the Fabians were working at cross-purposes with the Rhodes conspiracy since Rhodes is said by his admirers to have wanted to extend "the British Empire" to encompass the entire world, while the Fabians wished to dissolve that Empire into an international socialist Utopia. Yet the strategy of using Fabian socialism clearly fits. Times were changing and a more subtle and devious approach was necessary to accomplish Rhodes' dream of World Government. The new ideology was doubtless provided by the Fabians. Those who doubt it have only to note the well-known influence of the Fabians on those organizations cited by author Frank Aydelotte when he proclaims that the carefully indoctrinated Rhodes Scholars from America:

... have taken a prominent part in the work of such organizations as the Council on Foreign Relations, the National Policy Committee, the League of Nations Association, Union Now, the United Nations Association, the Commission For the Study of Organization of Peace, the Universities Committees on Post-War International Problems, the Institute of Pacific Relations, the World Peace Foundations, and the research group attached to the State Department . . .

Note that all are both Fabian-oriented and working for World Government. More distressing, Aydelotte concludes: "The number of those going into government is constantly increasing."

What happens to Americans passing through the Rhodes indoctrination at Oxford? Frank Aydelotte assures us: "If he have (*sic*) the capacity for assimilation, if he can become a part of what he meets, he may return from Oxford to the United States a citizen of the world." And, there can be little doubt of it. Some of the Rhodes alumni to wear the old school tie in our government are Dean Rusk (C.F.R.), Walt Whitman Rostow (C.F.R.), Senator J. William Fulbright ("formerly" C.F.R.), Harlan Cleveland (C.F.R.), Nicholas Katzenbach, and Senator Frank Church (C.F.R.). Rene Wormser, who served as counsel for the Reece Committee, points out in his book, *Foundations: Their Power And Influence*, that:

At least one foreign foundation (the Rhodes Scholarship Fund) has had a strong influence on our foreign policy . . . (it) has gained great influence in the United States for British (Fabian) ideas. It has accomplished this by annually selecting a choice group of promising young men for study in England. The usually Anglophile alumni of this system are to be found in eminent positions in legislature, administration and education, and in the ranks of American foundation officials. They form a patronage network of considerable importance.

That is very nearly the understatement of the decade.

(To be continued)

THE USURPERS

The Men Who Rule America

By Medford Evans

9/6 posted

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11

Printed by E Fish & Co Ltd Liverpool

*See Rose Martin, *Fabian Freeway*, Western Islands, 1966.