

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 48 No. 5

SATURDAY, 1 JUNE, 1968

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

Lest We Forget

(AN ANALYSIS FROM *The Review of the News**, APRIL 24, 1968)

Who killed Martin Luther King? And why?

Well, who stood to profit?

You will recall that King first came to notice in 1955, as head of the Montgomery Bus Boycott launched by Mrs Rosa Parks. Mrs Parks was a student at the Highlander Folk School, which was organized with the help of Don West, then district director of the Communist Party of North Carolina, and which was of course a Communist training school—cited as such by several government agencies. And King ran the boycott as head of the Montgomery Improvement Association, which had been formed by the Rev. Fred Shuttlesworth, who is a former convict, says the Joint Legislative Committee on Un-American Activities of the State of Louisiana, and "has been affiliated with several communist-front organizations".

Another former convict is Bayard Rustin, who in 1953 was arrested by the Pasadena Police Department for homosexual activities. The *Allen-Scott Report* for August 16, 1963, reports that in 1936, as a college student, Rustin joined the Young Communist League, "and was active in its operations on the campus and elsewhere"; and that in World War II, he was arrested for making speeches opposing our war against Hitler, and served twenty-six months in federal prison.

And in 1955 he became Dr. King's "secretary".

In March, 1957, at a meeting in Atlanta, they formed the Southern Christian Leadership Conference. The meeting probably couldn't have been called in February, because Mr. Rustin, Dr. King's secretary, was then attending the sixteenth national convention of the Communist Party.

The president of SCLC was of course the Rev. Dr. King. The vice-president of the SCLC was the Rev. Fred Shuttlesworth. And Shuttlesworth later also became the new president of the Southern Conference Educational Fund—which has been described by three government agencies as a department of the Marxist Conspiracy. It was organized by Communists, is run by Communists and is the most important Communist organization in the South.

Mr Carl Braden has served as field director and has been named under oath as a Communist Party member. His wife Anne, an SCEF official, has also been named under oath as a Communist.

Mr Braden is a former convict, of course. You have to be to get anywhere in the Movement. While in Louisville, he was convicted of a felony—a little matter involving some dynamite.

Mr Aubrey Williams was SCEF president until 1963. In April, 1954, he was named under oath as a Communist. It was Williams, a Communist, whom Shuttlesworth—King's vice president—replaced as president of SCEF, a Communist organization.

And there was James A. Dombrowski, executive director of SCEF, who has also been named under oath as a Communist Party member.

On October 7, 1958, Dr. King wrote a letter to Anne Braden, in which he urged her and her husband Carl—both already well known as Communists—to become permanently associated with his SCLC.

And on August 16, 1960, King wrote the following letter to Communist Dombrowski: "Dear Jim, This is just a note to acknowledge receipt of your letters of recent date. We too, were more than happy to have you in our home, the fellowship was very rewarding. I will expect to hear from you when Bishop Love returns to the country. At that time we can set the date for an Atlanta meeting. Very sincerely yours, Martin."

In fact, King actually filed an affidavit in federal court in New Orleans, strongly supporting Dombrowski and SCEF—and refused to repudiate the affidavit even after being shown proof that he was actually a Communist.

Indeed, a photograph exists which shows Martin Luther King along with Anne Braden, Carl Braden and James Dombrowski (the last three all identified Reds), the back of which reads as follows in Dombrowski's handwriting: "The 6th Annual Conference of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Birmingham, Alabama, September 25 to 28, 1962."

And there is a check, issued by the Southern Conference Educational Fund, signed by James A. Dombrowski, and dated March 7, 1963, to the order of Martin Luther King, in the amount of \$167.74, with a notation on it: "New York expenses"—and Dr. King's endorsement on the back.

The Louisiana Committee on Un-American Activities concludes that the Southern Christian Leadership Conference—founded by Dr. King—is "substantially under the control of the Communist Party through the influence of the Southern Conference Educational Fund and the Communists who manage it".

There is also the fact that on the Labor Day weekend of the year 1957, in a speech at the Communist Highlander Folk School, King called Communist Aubrey Williams "one of the noble personalities of our times", and had his picture taken with Abner W. Berry, of the Central Committee of the Communist Party.

(continued on page 4)

*Published weekly by Correction, Please!, Inc., 395 Concord Avenue, Belmont, Massachusetts 02178, U.S.A.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London E.11.

Telephone: 01-534 7395

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London NW1

Telephone: 01-387 3893

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne, Victoria 3001

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001

(Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia 2600. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone: 01-387 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. 2001

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

The late C. H. Douglas described modern war as a prize-fight between A and B for the benefit of C, the promoter. The nigh incredible course of the Vietnam war, the official lies about its progress and prospects, its callous brutality where Americans bomb parts of South Vietnamese cities hopefully expecting to kill Viet Cong believed to be in them (never mind the civilians and their dwellings), the 'peace' talks which don't happen, make this war something worse than a prize fight. But whatever it is, it is clearly for the benefit of C—the Conspiracy. For the 'escalation' of the war has been made possible by American trade with Russia and the East Europe satellites, who supply 80% of North Vietnam's supplies.

According to twelve foremost U.S. ex-officers, including former chairmen of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, former chief of naval operations, former head of Strategic Air Command, and former head of Vietnam air operations, "... the war against North Vietnam can be irrevocably won in six weeks . . . Communist intimidations and aggressions in the free areas of Asia can also be struck a paralysing blow in the same brief frame of time . . ." (Lloyd Mallan in *Science & Mechanics*, March 1968, reported in *Human Events*, March 23, 1968). Only mass media-backed official lies obscure the truth of this assessment.

The benefits to the Conspiracy include world-wide public confusion of thought, division of communities, distortion of the U.S. economy, the acceleration of 'civil rights' riots towards revolutionary civil war, world-wide student demonstrations, the building of bases in South Vietnam for eventual use for the policing of South East Asia by the Communists, and the hastening of universal economic crisis with any hope of a rational solution drowned in the confusion.

To grasp the enormities of the Vietnam 'war' is to comprehend the magnitude of the disaster which confronts us. The Conspiracy intends to rule the Earth forever, and in the pursuit of this objective ("the highest stakes in history") "the immolation of the peoples of a continent mean no more than the death of a sparrow".

A political maxim to be borne in mind is that politicians come and politicians go, but Departments last for ever. It may be true that Britain's current immigration policy now bearing its poisonous fruit was initiated when the Conservatives were in office. But bearing in mind Marx's dictum: "The British are too stupid to make their own revolution, therefore foreigners must make it for them", we can see that the immigration policy was conceived by the Conspiracy, and processed probably mainly in the Commonwealth Relations Office, and merely put in the shop window by the Conservatives.

The permanent Departments are natural main targets for infiltration by agents of the Conspiracy, both Communist and Fabian orientated. How many were planted during World War II as "refugees from Hitler's tyranny" is not known, but undoubtedly they were numerous enough.

The Greatness of Britain had its roots in the homogeneity of its population, which gave rise to a distinctive culture now to be found only in the literature and monuments of the past. Those who knew Merrie England have gone, and by now most of those who knew even the Great Britain of pre-1914 days. The slaughter of the 1914-18 war virtually eliminated the generation which was the inheritor of the native British tradition, while a swollen bureaucracy, permeated with Fabianism, planted the seeds of the 'welfare' State. The Great Depression, with its miseries and despair, its suicides and its ruin of small businesses, opened the way to cartelisation with international connections. Then came the 1939-45 war, followed by the Fabian-Labour Government ("only in war, or under threat of war, will a British Government embark on large-scale planning"). The Cold War, and the threat of atomic war, have provided the opportunity for the 'British' Governments, now barely disguised dictatorships, to embark on international planning (the complex of various alphabetical treaty organisations, not to mention the Common Market, to which the Wilson cabal desires finally to surrender the remnants of British sovereignty).

Yet something remains of the Anglo-Saxon character, as is shown in the by-election results, and the huge support of Mr Enoch Powell for his speech on the follies and dangers of immigration, which so enraged the Fabian-Liberal Establishment, which includes the mass-media. It was shown too when a party for Mr Wilson at Cudrose Naval Air Station was cancelled when of 2,000 officers and men canvassed, only 48 were prepared to go to the party (*Daily Telegraph*, April 18, 1968). It is shown again in the native disgust at the Wilson cabal's treatment of Rhodesia. Rhodesia, of course, is a vigorous and healthy offspring of the now submerged British character, and thus anathema to the alien Fabianism which rules Britain. It is this, probably more than 'love' of black majorities, which underlies Wilson's venom.

Strategically, Britain would appear to be completely defeated. But the continued attacks in the form of external financial and propaganda pressure, and the mounting internal subversion and rising racial tension, may indicate that the Conspiracy fears some imponderable arising from the Anglo-Saxon character.

Perhaps Peter Simple II's Wise Woman of Simpleton, who "sees the future in a drop of ink", sensed imponderables when she shrieked: "Topsyturvydom, topsyturvydom! I see men in top hats link hands with men in cloth hats, and they

sing together about hope and glory. But now the sky darkens. I hear shouts. I see violence. In a place called Downing Street the men in cloth caps are dragging others, squalid cowering figures, towards the lamp posts. Ah yes, now I see the Moonfaced One among the victims. He, too, is doomed." (*Daily Telegraph*).

Brigadier W. F. K. Thompson contributes a sober analysis of the NATO strategic situation to the South Africa *Daily Dispatch*, April 19, 1968. He states the roles of Russia's "very formidable" forces to be: "To defend Russian interests; to create by their presence situations favourable to the further spread of Communism; and to be ready to exploit politically favourable situations when these occur." He notes the unilateral erosion of NATO's conventional forces. "No such erosion is taking place in the East. On the contrary, military expenditure is still increasing, East Germany's by 61 per cent last year." The vital point is that the Communist forces are on the spot: "American and British forces stationed at home and assigned to NATO are no substitute, operationally or as a deterrent, to those stationed in Europe." And, indeed, "Rhine Army can neither be housed nor trained in this country."

While for these reasons Brigadier Thompson believes that NATO should remain militarily strong, unfortunately he also believes that "the ideological content of Marxism will continue to be eroded so that the two systems of society will gradually become more and more compatible". (Emphasis added.) This, of course, is precisely what the Communists want us to believe. This is precisely what Dimitri Manuilski laid down: "To win, we shall need the element of surprise. The bourgeoisie will have to be put to sleep . . . The capitalist countries, stupid and decadent, will rejoice to co-operate in their own destruction . . ." The element of surprise will emerge when the Eastern satellites turn out, after all, still to be in the control of hard-line Communists, while at present they are being administered to the West as increasing doses of hypnotics. And Brigadier Thompson does not note the increasing subversion and mounting tensions within the countries of Europe, the student riots and the mounting crime—all of which add up to "politically favourable situations" which the Red Army stands ready to exploit.*

As regards the political situation of NATO, *East West Digest*† (April 1968) publishes the text of the final document called the Harmel Exercise, in which is to be found "The core of the West's new policy towards the Warsaw Pact countries . . . designed to bring up-to-date NATO's attitude towards the Communist countries". The editorial analyses this document, which it calls a "non-policy" "because it is based on an illusion namely that the Communist Parties in power are no longer malevolent, imperialist and therefore, a threat to the West. The blindness (?) of the Western politicians who drew up the directive is compounded by the fact that they appear to be sufficiently gullible to believe that Communism will democratise itself and so the two halves of their NATO ally, Germany, will be joined together peacefully and without further ado as a matter of inevitability. The 'German problem' therefore ceases to exist because they do not want it to exist". (If the politicians were "gullible", then they were selected for that very reason by the keen strategists of the Conspiracy.)

*See *The State of the World* (1967)

†"Church House", Petersham, Surrey. Price 2s. 6d.

The kernel of the Harmel Exercise is: "Each ally should play its full part in promoting an improvement in relations with the Soviet Union and the countries of Eastern and Western Europe, bearing in mind that the pursuit of *détente* must not be allowed to split the Alliance." *East West Digest* comments: "But the authors *knew full well* when they put pen to paper that this is just exactly what has happened, precisely because France is following such a pro-Soviet and pro-*détente* policy." (Emphasis added.) Gullible?

Détente, like escalation and other standard terms of the Liberal commentators, clearly belongs to the vocabulary of brain-washing; it brings to mind the idea of a stand-still, whereas the reality is a steady erosion of NATO capability accompanied by a steady increase in Communist capability to a point where it will suddenly become apparent that NATO has no strategic, and perhaps not even tactical, options, left at all. If, as is quite possible, France suddenly came out as openly Communist (don't overlook the continuous anti-American propaganda) the Rhine Army and the British troops remaining in Germany might well find themselves cut off from escape.

Signs from every direction point to the culmination of the plans of the Conspiracy in the not very distant future. The situations involved have been discussed from time to time in these pages, but it may be useful to put them together, like the pieces of a jig-saw puzzle.

- In the U.S.A., racial riots of mounting violence and destructiveness, merging with student demonstrations of increasing lawlessness, anti-war protests, and the anti-poverty programme which is both incited and financed by the Administration. The coalescence of these 'movements' has long been planned and organised by the Communist Party U.S.A. and its higher direction. It is intended to lead to civil war and revolution through a breakdown of the intricate mechanism of the American economy.

- The probable collapse of the civil Government in South Vietnam. This already shaky Government is to be given "increased responsibility" for the war with the North *after* the Americans have demonstrated that they can't, or won't, win it. If the North wins, either outright or by 'tough and difficult negotiations', the "domino theory" becomes effective.

- The emergence since the June Israeli war of the USSR as a Mediterranean power, with short lines of supply, and U.S.-and French-built Mediterranean bases available to it. Also the pre-positioning of heavy military equipment and work-shop facilities in the Middle East and Red Sea areas (re-arming the Arabs).

- The erosion of NATO, discussed above.

- The virtual encirclement of Europe by the USSR with naval strength in the Baltic Sea and the Mediterranean.

- The increasing Red control of Mediterranean Africa; the growing chaos of Central Africa; and ultimately, the potential threat of a nuclear ultimatum to an over-confident Southern Africa, which is being persistently isolated in 'world opinion'. Free Southern Africa could not survive as such in a world controlled by a One World Government.

- The deteriorating British economic and racial situation, Fabian engineered and approximating to the 'counter-revolutionary' situation foreseen by the late Professor Harold Laski as justifying the use of force by the Government to quell it.

• The dispersal of U.S. military power, with no logistic systems to sustain action on any significant or prolonged scale except in South Vietnam.

• The U.S. growing financial crisis, which the Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board has warned is likely to be the worst since 1931. Such a crisis would trigger a universal economic crisis—which Marxian theory predicts, and for which the Communist Parties have made their strategic and tactical dispositions.

Indeed, to recall the conclusion of Douglas's 1945 article, *The Objectives of Total War*, "The world is in the grip of theorists to whom misery and death of millions is a grain of sand beside the working out of their designs".

U.S. News & World Report, May 6, 1968, publishes the itinerary and time-table of the "poor people's march" on Washington. Beginning with a meeting in Memphis on May 1, the march sets out on May 2. It is joined by other groups from other areas, each constituting a "leg" of the total march. The first marchers are to be due in Washington on May 12, and are to begin the construction of a shantytown. The last caravan is due to arrive on May 19, and on May 20 "major demonstrations" (unspecified) are due to begin.

Obviously, this is a highly planned and organised operation, the Kerner Report notwithstanding. "Only one thing, the leaders say, can halt this siege. That includes . . . a guaranteed income for every person—job or no job . . ." *As things are*, this is clearly impossible—and, of course, known to be by its sponsors.

The current Washington attitude towards these demonstrations is police "restraint". But as many writers have shown, mob psychology is terrible and unpredictable. There has been a concerted effort by the Mass media to induce a guilt complex in white U.S. citizens, and a hate and envy complex in U.S. coloured people.

On May 30—Memorial Day—the march is scheduled to reach its peak in size, "with supporters from all over the nation scheduled to join the marchers in the crowded capital".

It may be that Washington is scheduled to become another Saigon. But whatever the outcome in this instance, this sort of thing has a time-limit, for better or worse.

Copies of this issue are going to selected Members of Parliament and extra copies have been posted to subscribers in the hope that they will place them with discrimination. Further supplies are available at a nominal charge of 4d. per copy but additional contributions towards costs will be appreciated.

The Last Chance : A Conspectus

This Conspectus by Dr. Bryan W. Monahan, published in 1960, relates International Finance, International Communism and World Hegemony and is still available at the original prices of 1/- per copy or twelve copies for 5/-.

Communist Revolution in the Streets

by Gary Allen, 45/- posted

The Communist leaders are jubilant! They boast that Communism has made greater progress in the United States during the past thirty months than at any time in the last twenty years. They are openly boasting their leadership in riots and protest demonstrations.

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11

Lest We Forget

(continued from page 1)

And there is Hunter Pitts O'Dell, who was exposed in 1956 as a southern district organizer for the Communist Party, in 1962 as a member of the National Committee of the Communist Party—and as late as the summer of 1963, was still employed by Dr. King to help run the SCLC.

In fact, we read in the *Boston Globe* of April 15, 1964: "Official warnings have again been given to King about another, even more important associate who is known to be a key figure in the covert apparatus of the Communist Party. After the warnings, King broke off his open connection with this man, but a second-hand connection none the less continues . . ."

And last September, the nationally syndicated *Allen-Scott Report* revealed that "the FBI has unimpeachable evidence, including photograph, showing that King is now listening to a man who . . . has been one of the Communist party's biggest money raisers in this country." He it was apparently who wrote King's statement in April, 1967, at the UN, that Congress is "wild with racism"; and his statement later in the year, at his SCLC convention, that the U.S. is the "greatest purveyor of violence in the world today".

—ALAN STANG.

(To be continued)

During Question Time in the Australian House of Representatives on May 2, 1968, Mr Calwell, ex-Leader of the Opposition, indicated to the Speaker that he proposed to ask a question leading to the House rising in silence in respect for the assassination of the late Dr. Martin Luther King. The tradition of the House is that such respect is paid to deceased Heads of State, as the House staff informed the Speaker. The matter was referred to the Prime Minister who, apprehensive as to the effect in the U.S.A. of disallowing the question, agreed that it should be put, but that it should not constitute a precedent. But of course it could not be anything else.

The article reprinted above indicates the sort of person who was so unprecedentedly honoured. It is difficult to credit that Mr Calwell's sources of intelligence are so inadequate that he took his course of action, to the embarrassment of the Prime Minister and the Parliamentary staff, in ignorance.



Printed by E Fish & Co Ltd Liverpool