

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 46 No. 22

SATURDAY, JANUARY 28, 1967

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

Third World War

The terminology of so-called liberalism has infected our language to such an extent that it has become very difficult to discuss the problems of politics and economics realistically. What, for example, stands opposed to Communism? Is it democracy? But then, what is democracy? Is it "majority rule"? Except in very small homogeneous groups, majorities never rule. Government is rule by a small minority over a large majority, and the best that can be said for ballot-box democracy is that it *may* establish the consent of the governed, if issues are truthfully and clearly stated before voting occurs.

It is self-evident that a majority of the world's population would not vote in favour of world war. Yet they have experienced world wars, and, with Harold Wilson's announcement that the British Government will not now grant independence to Rhodesia before "majority rule", have embarked on a third. But this third world war is to be a war with a difference: an *exemplary* war, waged by 'the world' against nations asserting their independent nationalism as against the internationalism which has the rest of the world in its grip.

As became apparent in the result, the real but undisclosed objective of the First World War was the destruction of the Pax Britannica and the subjugation of Great Britain by means of the astronomical (and unrepayable) war debt. Within the frame-work of the Pax Britannica, the nations of the world were evolving, unevenly, towards more humanitarian civilisation—an evolution which would have gained momentum with the spread and development of the power-based industrial system with its promise of plenty for all and consequent diminution of social friction. And benevolent colonialism was the only hope for the gradual advancement of the 'under-developed' areas (*not* nations) of the world.

Again, the real but undisclosed objective of the Second World War was the Soviet-U.S.A. alliance for the spread of international socialism as the basis and mechanism of World Government.

The objective of the Third World War is the subjugation of Southern Africa and the creation of an International Police Force.

The three world wars, however, are only incidents in the conduct of a long-term policy—"War is the continuation of policy by other means" (Clausewitz). A long-term policy is one the objective of which transcends generations, and it is, therefore, the policy of a self-perpetuating group. Thus the *individuals* who brought about the First World War as a step towards eventual World Government were not to be the individuals who would constitute the World Government in its intended *overt* form, though as the occult Money Power, they were a *de facto* world government. Being occult, however, they were vulnerable to exposure, as was demonstrated by William Jennings Bryan in the

1890's, and vulnerable too because expanding industrialisation must eventually destroy gold-standard finance which provided the sanctions of international government. Since there can be no government without sanctions, the objective of the Money Power became the construction and control of a World Police Force. If it took three world wars, depressions, and planned chaos in Africa—well, in the long span of history, nations are ephemeral and expendable, but World Government is intended to be for ever.

Douglas Reed, who in 1936 warned the world in his book *Insanity Fair* of the coming Second World War, became increasingly aware of the political realities behind that war and its consequences. He wrote other books of warning, then retired from writing, and took up residence in South Africa. But when he saw the pattern of destruction in Africa, as advocated by Lenin as a major communist strategy, but carried out by the forces supposed to be opposed to communism, he wrote another book of warning*, predicting the Third World War, beginning in Southern Africa, unless the war-makers in the U.N. and Washington and London can be thwarted.

Mr. Reed's is an excellent account of the situation as it has developed against Southern Africa. Very few people are aware that an actual battle-plan has been drawn up for a U.N. military and naval attack on the Republic of South Africa, with 93,000 troops, 145 warships, transports and supply vessels, 300 aircraft and 200 transport aircraft. This plan, drawn up with the assistance of an officer of the Military Academy at Westpoint, was published as a 174-page book by the Carnegie Foundation for International Peace, which is controlled now by the Council on Foreign Relations, the frame-work of the invisible government of the U.S.A.†

Mr. Reed considers that the crushing of Southern Africa will involve a global war—planned, indeed, to lead to the

*'The Battle For Rhodesia': HAUM, P.O. Box 1371, Capetown, S.A. (R1.85. postage overseas 12c.)

†See 'The Invisible Government', by Dan Smoot.

The Invisible Government

by DAN SMOOT

A history of the American Council of Foreign Relations by a former F.B.I. agent. His meticulous research enabled him to follow the strands of a tangled web of interlocking directorates and secret connections to highly placed agents of the International Conspiracy and identifies the unseen THEY who took control of government in the U.S.A. during World War II and still control it.

8/2

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London E.11.

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London NW1

Telephone: EUSton 3893.

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone EUSton 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

final enslavement of mankind. But mankind, with the exception of Southern Africa, and the conservative forces in the U.S.A., is already virtually enslaved, and enslavement in the U.S.A. might be completed very quickly under cover of an 'emergency', such as could be provided at any time by bringing on an economic collapse. But the Conspiracy's strategy is probably to use 'anti-communist' forces to crush Southern Africa before admitting the collusion between the Kremlin and Washington. In any case, global war in contemporary conditions is almost certainly too unpredictable and destructive to suit the Conspiracy's purposes.

However that may be, it is clear that we are on the very brink of disaster. Nobody really studying the record could have any doubt that 'British' policy towards Rhodesia for the past ten years has been deceit and provocation. This is finally demonstrated by Wilson's avowal, when the Rhodesian government refused the total surrender demanded of them, that the British Government would *now* not grant independence before majority rule. Does anyone believe he ever intended to?

Of course the only power which could crush Southern Africa is the U.S.A.; but to do so it would have to wear U.N. disguise. The communist contribution is the training of native saboteurs and terrorists.

When is this explosive situation to be detonated? The Republic of South Africa has placed very large orders for oil; it remains to be seen whether the Conspiracy will allow the oil to be delivered.

If a global war is waged against Southern Africa, with Rhodesia the pretext and entering wedge, we are done for. Somehow Wilson must be stopped. And that means concentrating what conservative forces, irrespective of parties, can be identified, against the suicidal folly of war against Rhodesia. Those of our readers who can afford to do so should obtain Mr. Reed's book, read it, and pass it on to a suitable person*. Here is a crucial issue, and it has become a case now of do or die.

Notice

The Battle for Rhodesia by Douglas Reed, referred to on page 1, is on order for K.R.P. Publications, 20s. posted.

*If any contemplate sending to a public figure and care to make this a concerted effort by first contacting the London Secretariat address, wasteful duplication from our ranks might be avoided.

Sic Transit

In a week notorious for Britain's abrogation of her own responsibilities, we have seen the savagery of Messrs. Kapwepwe and Wilson, the coolness of Mr. Smith and Dr. Banda and the sanctimonious pronouncement of some "Church Leaders" who met at Lambeth Palace. Other delegates have appeared momentarily on the Television screen, and among them the representative of Nigeria—in which country thousands have been massacred—has commented on the behaviour of Rhodesians.

The Daily Telegraph (Dec. 6, 1966) calls "insensate" the British Government's insistence on the period of Governor's Rule when Mr. Smith and his colleagues have agreed to a satisfactory constitution, for it would be "virtually an act of unconditional surrender", and this required of a country "which has never known such rule since it was annexed to the British Crown." It terms Mr. Wilson "the prisoner of his own timetable and of his promises to the African countries and others."

The Church Leaders, however, take a different view. They remark on "the long and patient attempts by H.M. Government to secure agreement", and then turn on a régime which "has in the past year continued and extended racial discrimination and the denial of personal rights . . . the future of the Rhodesian people is a moral responsibility of Britain." The readiness to apply "sterner measures requiring international action" will be felt by the world "to be a test of our sincerity."

Mr. Foot accused the Tories of putting questions of money above the rights of the Africans, but one or two Tories should be credited (together with Mr. Paget) with putting the life and prosperity and peace of these Africans above the doubtful privilege of "one man one vote."

Mrs. Elspeth Huxley (*The Times*, Dec. 9, 1966) points out that the quarrel with Mr. Smith turns on "a Return to Legality", and asks whether anyone really cares twopence about this. She points out that hardly a constitution has survived among the other former British territories, instancing Nigeria, Ghana, Sudan and Tanzania.

Possibly the attitude of some church leaders is the fruit of "Christian atheism" which apparently discards anything that men have hitherto connected with God or religion, and regards as ideal a monstrous conglomeration of people in cities. The connection between the two tenets, apparently obscure, and the present addiction to the vote formula must arise from a mechanistic view of affairs which rules out the idea of growth, in favour of a bureaucrat's plan. I am not for a moment suggesting that the "leading church figures" who signed the encyclical on Rhodesia are tainted with Christian atheism, but they have advanced ahead even of the government in their flirtation with force and opposed even more blindly the councils of Mr. Smith, shutting their eyes firmly to the facts of life in Africa.

—H.S.

Rhodesia Accuses

by A. J. A. Peck

10/3 posted

One Against The Mob

Rhodesia's Ordeal of Independence

by Anthony Harrigan

18/9 posted

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.

The Truth in Time

IF YOU WANT IT STRAIGHT . . .

(Continued from *American Opinion*, November, 1966)

D. AND MAYBE WORSE TO COME

27. So now let's move out of the past, for a quick and much too concise look at the present. The one great job left for the Communists is the subjugation of the *people* of the United States. Even with the help of all the power of our government, which the Communists already own, and with the brazen coercion and insidious bribery for which that power is now being used, the INSIDERS do not underrate the magnitude of this undertaking. They leave no stone unturned, and must be patient enough to run no risk of failure. So their exhaustive strategy for achieving their final goal includes the following methods.

(a) The deliberate and insidious breaking down of all morality and of every sound sense of values; (b) the distortion and destruction of religious influences, especially on the lives of the young; (c) the constant indoctrination of young and old alike, through our educational system, and through our communications and entertainment media, in a preference for "welfare" and "security" against responsibility and opportunity; (d) making an ever larger and larger percentage of American industry, commerce, agriculture, education and *individuals* accustomed to receiving, and dependent on government checks; (e) a constant increase in legislation, taxation, and bureaucracy, leading directly towards one hundred *per cent* government; (f) the gradual conversion by judicial fiat of our republic into a democracy, as the one best legalistic road to a mobocratic dictatorship; (g) the creation of riots and the semblance of revolution under the guise and excuse of promoting "civil rights"; (h) developing this "Negro Revolutionary Movement," as the Communists describe it, into a broader "proletarian revolution" of the "have-nots" against the "haves";²⁸ (i) destroying the power of local police forces to preserve law and order;²⁹ (j) carrying on and steadily "escalating" a completely phony foreign war in Vietnam (because the Communists are actually running both sides of it), as an excuse for gradually establishing more and tighter government controls over every detail of our daily lives; (k) under carefully contrived conditions of famine and the threat of starvation, using ration cards as leverage to bribe and coerce the heads of families to submit to a Communist régime, exactly as was done by Menshikov in Poland and by the followers of Madame Sun Yat-sen in China;³⁰ and (l) eventually bringing about "peace"—a few years from now—by surrendering all remaining American sovereignty to the United Nations, and enabling that Communist one-world government to "police" our country with foreign troops and mercilessly suppress all opposition, with exactly the same cruelties and terror that have already been used in dozens of other countries.

Except for the threat of famine, which has still been well hidden from the American people, you can see all of these things taking place today all around you. If you have not been too blinded by Communist propaganda, you will understand that they are not just happening as a result of natural causes, but are the product of long, extensive, brilliant, and ruthless planning by the evil forces which seek to destroy us. And the only thing which can possibly prevent a successful culmination of these Communist plans is exposure. The one thing no conspiracy can withstand is the light of day on its activities. If enough people can be brought in time to see and understand that *it is happening*

here, now, the process can still be stopped, and the chains thrown off, before the shackling is complete. But more tiny chains of every kind are now being added every month—and time is running short.

To prevent this arousing of the American people before it is too late, the Communists are counting on certain basic accomplishments of their long-range strategy and its supporting propaganda. We list those basic accomplishments below, with a few comments that seem in order.

(a) The fundamentally decent American mind has been blinded to the fact that any group of men can be so evil, and so completely devoid of all conscience, as the Communists and the INSIDERS above them. The only remedy for this mental blindness is sufficient study of the conspiratorial activities and massive cruelties which have brought the world to its present state.

(b) Many Americans feel that the whole battle is an ideological one. This is exactly what the Communists want us to believe. They will go to any lengths, and even subsidize their opposition, to maintain the appearance that this is a war of ideas. For the Communists wish above all else to distract your attention from the workings of the conspiracy. And there are Conservatives who will still be ridiculing "the conspiratorial theory of history," and feeling that we simply have to win a vast debate with the "Liberals," if a few years from now the armed police of a central tyranny knock on the door at two o'clock in the morning and haul them away to some unknown destination. Today they are like a man accepting the invitation of a thug who says to him: "Let's you and me argue heatedly over our disagreements, while my partner is slipping up behind your back to cut your throat."

(c) There are now another huge number of Americans who, aware of the menace of the Communist conspiracy, believe that the major danger lies in a surprise attack from Communist military forces. The Communists have been working steadily for many years to make this threat appear realistic. For it not only draws attention away from the takeover by internal subversion, which is going on steadily all of the time, but it actually serves as an excuse for the seizure of more reins of power and the establishment of more controls by the very agents of the conspiracy who are carrying out this conquest by subversion.

What our frightened fellow citizens in this category fail to realize—what some of our most patriotic military leaders, because of the very nature of their training, simply refuse to recognize—is that today Moscow and Washington are, and for many years have been, but two hands of one body controlled by one brain. You do not have your left hand fight your right hand, or go through the motions of doing so, unless it is to amuse or deceive children, or to distract their attention from other matters while you are putting them to sleep. And these observations clearly apply to the show that is now being staged in Vietnam.

(d) There are also millions of Americans who now have a vested interest—intellectual, social, or even financial—in the falsehoods which they have gradually absorbed from insidious and carefully disguised Communist propaganda. To stand up now and admit "I was wrong" would hurt not only their pride, but perhaps their reputations as knowledgeable sophisticates among their country-club friends or their business associates. So they become daily more wilful and more adamant in refusing to recognize the Communist poisons in the mental fare they have been accepting and spreading all

around them. Let's hope that they do not have to find insight, wisdom, and repentance easier to come by in a concentration camp.

(e) Finally, we have the problem of a very considerable number of spineless jellyfish going around among us wearing human clothes. They are aware of what is happening. They do not know whether it can be stopped or not. "But if so," they murmur quietly to themselves, "let George do it. I do not want to become involved." These people feel that, by not opposing Communism, they will be safer from recrimination, if and when the actual takeover arrives and the police-state terror begins. In this attitude they are not only cowards, but fools. For Lenin himself gave them the answer to that false hope in his order to the secret police, which appeared in *Pravda* on December 25, 1918. It read as follows:

"We are not waging war against separate individuals; we are exterminating the bourgeoisie as a class . . . Do not ask for incriminating evidence to prove that the prisoner opposed the Soviet Government either by arms or word. Your first duty is to ask him what class he belongs to, what were his origin, education, and occupation. These questions should decide the fate of the prisoner. This is the meaning and essence of Red Terror."

And the only change in Communists since Lenin's day is that they have become infinitely more powerful.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen of our listening or reading audience, our whole story can best be summarized in one vernacular and very earthy expression. In the Twentieth Century modern man is simply being played for a sucker, by an amoral gang of sophisticated criminals. These cunning megalomaniacs seek to make themselves the absolute rulers of a human race of enslaved robots, in which every civilized trait has been destroyed.

The INSIDERS at the top and all their Communist minions constitute only a tiny percentage of the race they plan to rule. Although they now occupy most of the positions of great prestige and influence in Washington and London and Paris, as well as in the financial, educational, and publishing circles of the whole world, their power rests entirely on bluff, pretense, and deception. Both their success and their purposes are contrary to the whole current of human history. They are sitting precariously on the gigantic powder keg of all honest human emotions. Despite their arrogant assurances on the contemporary scene, they themselves are well aware that sooner or later the whole framework of their cruel power will be blown to pieces, by a mighty uprising of the incalculable forces of man's moral principles, love for freedom, and common sense. How soon that day of delivery may come will depend on you.

—ROBERT WELCH

(Concluded)

FOOTNOTES

28. See, Robert Welch, *Two Revolutions At Once* (Boston: American Opinion, 1965. Pamphlet, three for one dollar).
 29. See, W. Cleon Skousen, *The Communist Plot Against The Free World Police* (Salt Lake City: Ensign, 1966. Paperbound, \$1.00).
 30. See, Dan Van Gorder, *Ill Fares The Land* (Boston: Western Islands, 1966. Pocketsize, \$.75).

The Truth in Time
IF YOU WANT IT STRAIGHT . . .

2/6 per copy posted
 K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Street, London E.11.

Counsel's Opinion

The following letter appeared in *The Daily Telegraph* of Dec. 19, 1966:

From Sir DEREK WALKER-SMITH, Q.C., M.P.

Sir—The Marquess of Salisbury (Dec. 14) records the fact that his challenges to the application in the Rhodesian situation of Chapter 7 of the Charter (which gives the United Nations the power to impose sanctions and resort to force) evoked no Ministerial reply in the House of Lords. There was a corresponding reticence in the House of Commons, where I sought to analyse the position as fully as time allowed.

Put shortly, the position which emerges from a study of the Charter appears to be this:

1. The jurisdiction of the United Nations under Art. 41 to impose sanctions on Rhodesia depends on a determination, under Art. 39, of the existence of a threat to peace.
2. Such a determination presupposes the existence of a dispute between two States, which remains unsettled in spite of the conciliation procedures of Chapter 6.
3. The parties to the dispute must be sovereign States, Art. 2(7) of the Charter, prohibiting the intervention of the United Nations "in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any State."
4. In this case, of course, Rhodesia is not a sovereign State; Art. 2(7) applies; consequently there is no dispute of the sort presupposed by Art. 39; and the British Government should not have asked the United Nations to assume jurisdiction in a matter seemingly not within the contemplation of Chapter 7.

This argument has not been answered by Ministers, and I think it would be difficult to interpret the Charter otherwise. Of course it may well be that the United Nations, having been invited inappropriately by the British Government to assume jurisdiction, are unlikely to relinquish it.

But the matter remains one of great importance not only in the context of the responsibility of the Government but also in the future workings of the United Nations and the Charter.

Yours faithfully,

DEREK WALKER-SMITH.

House of Commons.

Peace . . . Or Peaceful Coexistence?

by Richard V. Allen

The American Bar Association commissioned Richard V. Allen to make a comprehensive study of official Communist pronouncements on and explanations to Communists of the true strategic significance of peaceful coexistence. The cumulative research by Mr. Allen and his assistants involved the analysis of more than three thousand articles, books, and other documents of Communist origin.

The result is a book which ought to be compulsory reading for all those engaged in the formation of national policy. It contains one hundred and seventy-five quotations from Communist sources, and these put entirely outside the realm of speculation what Communist intentions are.

8/6 posted

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd., at 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London, E.11.
 Printed by E. Fish & Co. Ltd., Liverpool.