

# THE SOCIAL CREDITER

## FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 45 No. 15.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1965.

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

### The Great Betrayal

By C. H. DOUGLAS

WE CONTINUE THE SURVEY WHICH ORIGINALLY APPEARED IN THESE PAGES IN 1948. EVENTS SINCE THEN, AND PARTICULARLY THOSE CURRENT, ADD EMPHASIS TO DOUGLAS'S SYNTHESIS:

(V)

"The intellectuals of the *goyim* will puff themselves up with their knowledges, and without any logical verification of them, will put into effect all the information available from science, which our *agentur* specialists have cunningly pieced together for the purpose of educating their minds in the direction we want. Do not suppose for a moment that these statements are empty words: think carefully of the successes we arranged for Darwinism, Marxism, Nietzscheism. To us Jews at any rate, it should be plain to see what a disintegrating importance these directives have had upon the minds of the *goyim*.

"The intensification of armaments, the increase of police forces—are all essential for the completion of the aforementioned plans. What we have to get at is that there should be in all the States of the world, besides ourselves, only the masses of the proletariat, a few millionaires devoted to our interests, police and soldiers."—*Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, II. ii and iii, VII. i.

If there is one claim made by Socialists more confidently than that their *credo* is that of the "common good," it is that they are the torch-bearers of progress, and the champions of "science" against reaction—a word used to dispose comprehensively of any views not held by the London School of Economics. It is significant, therefore, that what is perhaps the most operative principle in the workings of natural forces on this planet—entropy—is never mentioned by them, and is perhaps a conception which their minds dare not entertain, in view of its implications.

The rigid definition of entropy is "the quantity of heat in a closed system, divided by its *absolute* temperature" and this implies that it is a numerical expression which increases as energy loses availability, because, energy is only available for useful work where there is a fall of potential (a waterfall is the most obvious example). Consequently, if we are to introduce the analogy of physics into Socialism (and there is no intrinsic social science worthy of the name) we derive two ideas from entropy:

(1) that, of the total amount of energy (initiative) in the world, it is only that which is above the average, is not standardised, which can be expected to produce results. (2) To the extent that Socialism tends to produce social equality, it increases social entropy. It is in fact the technique of social death.

So far as I am aware, there is no instance of an individual who has accomplished anything which is not routine, while retaining abiding belief in equality as a social principle. There are num-

bers of instances (Karl Marx or Mordecai is one) of schemers and revolutionaries who have used "equality" as a catchword with which to demoralise their enemies; but practically all of them, including Marx, have left on record their contempt for their dupes.

There is therefore an analogy if nothing more, between the principle of entropy in thermo-dynamics and the social forces (for want of a better term) tending to reduce humanity to a dead level in which nothing can be done—there is no difference of potential. If that state is attained, it would not be fanciful to characterise it as one in which social entropy was a maximum.

It is desirable to recognise that we are familiar with a certain type of repetition-analogy in what we call physical forces. The octave in musical sounds repeats from the lowest audible bass to the highest audible treble, and there is an octave in the light scale, the spectrum. While we have no proof, of the rigid nature which can be measured by instruments, as in the case of temperature-entropy, that a social dead-level exhibits the same kind of relation to a highly diversified civilisation that a stagnant pond does to Niagara Falls, there is much to suggest that it may be so.

Fortunately, the Socialist habit of giving to words a meaning which does not correspond to anything realisable, while it has a disruptive effect of serious importance, ensures its own exposure. "Equality" in action always raises the question of hierarchy in function. No one in possession of average intelligence supposes that one man could be at once a cricketer equal to Bradman, an engineer equal to Brunel, a mathematical physicist of the order of Eddington, and a General such as Lord Wavell. This being obvious, on what function do you equate him? And when you have decided on the inequality of his functional excellence, how do you deal with the inescapable hierarchy of function? Does anyone suppose that, on the other hand, only one man could build the Tower Bridge, or on the other, every engineer would be equally successful?

As Mr. Christopher Hollis, M.P., remarks in another connection, "Simply to say that we must get rid of privilege is to mistake a phrase for a solution" (a common socialist procedure). "Few of the valuable achievements of life are the achievements of a single generation; if this principle were advanced as a principle of biology rather than of politics, it would be hailed as a progressive principle. But whether biological or political, whether progressive or reactionary, it is at any rate, a true principle."

If we realise that the outstanding necessity of our times and our culture is to minimise social entropy, to raise available individual potential (and not merely economic potential); and at the same time we observe that immensely influential agencies have financed propaganda and organisation on every plane of society

(continued on page 4)

# THE SOCIAL CREDITER

## FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1.  
Telephone: EUSton 3893.

### IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

### THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1, Telephone EUSton 3893). Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

## Destalinisation

The twentieth Congress of the Soviet Communist Party opened on February 14, 1956. A secret session was held on February 25. In retrospect, it is clear that at this secret session the ultimate strategy for the final conquest, subjugation, and destruction of Western civilisation was disclosed and its implementation decided. The Party line was formulated: "Destalinisation".

To create the right effect, no report of the secret session was published, but its main feature became known in the following month when foreign delegates leaked out the news. Stalin had been accused of abusing his powers, of promoting the cult of personality, and of instigating such crimes against humanity as the wholesale murders in the Ukraine (of which Krushchev was the chief executive), and the purges which followed. These awful crimes had created a 'bad image' of Russia in the rest of the world, and the time had come to change it. With age, Communist Russia was mellowing, and from now on would be seen to be no worse than any other imperial power.

At the 22nd Congress in October, 1961, Krushchev repeated his denunciations of Stalin, and at the end the Congress decided to remove Stalin's remains from beside those of Lenin in the tomb in Red Square, burying those remains elsewhere before proceeding with the task of burying the Capitalists in the new mellow age thus ghoulishly, dramatically, and effectively announced. The gag worked.

Nobody, however, seems to have noticed the basis that made possible this sweet mellowness.

The announcement of Destalinisation came thirty-nine years after the seizure of power in Russia by the Bolsheviks. The early years of the exercise of this power were characterised by the "liquidation", by shooting or starvation or deportation to slave-labour camps, of millions deemed to be potential enemies of the régime. When by these means the possibility of mass resistance was eliminated, attention was turned to the Party and sub-Party levels, where a purge was conducted by the secret police on a more individual basis, leading to secret arrests, interrogations, torture, public trials complete with abject confessions, and summary executions. The interrogations, of course,

were conducted to get leads on other possibly "unreliable" elements in the apparatus of government.

But another development over this long period was of equal importance in making practicable this new tactic of deception—the gradual replacing of the remaining population by new generations totally indoctrinated from ever earlier years, with no memories of the past their parents had known. "I want to emphasise that the task of education, the task of our society, is to raise convinced Communists. . . . Even in kindergarten the children learn of the party. . . . Our teachers are unlimitedly loyal to the Communist party. Each teacher realises that all party decisions are a law for members and have to be carried out. . . ." (M. D. Doynik, head of the education department for the Khabarovsk region of the Soviet Far East: Associated Press dispatch published in the *New York Herald*, July 7, 1965).

Education is uniform all over the Soviet Union, course instructions being sent from Moscow. The progressive result of this is to have brought about a state of affairs where Stalin's methods, at least on the former scale, are no longer necessary, and so could safely and dramatically be denounced as a major tactical move in a very long-term strategy for the conquest of the West. For as Dimitri Manuilski said at the Lenin School of Political Warfare in 1931: "War to the hilt between communism and capitalism is inevitable. Today, of course, we are not strong enough to attack. Our time will come in 20 to 30 years. To win, we shall need the element of surprise. The bourgeoisie will have to be put to sleep. So we shall begin by launching the most spectacular peace offensive on record. There will be electrifying overtures and unheard of concessions. The capitalist nations, stupid and decadent, will rejoice to cooperate in their own destruction. They will leap at another chance to be friends. As soon as their guard is down, we will smash them with our clenched fist."

The tactic has been enormously successful. Peaceful co-existence, expanded trade, cultural exchanges, 'modification' of the Soviet economy along more capitalist lines—this image of a mellowing Russia has enabled the Communists to promote and aid "brush-fire" wars and "wars of national liberation" which are steadily eroding the territory and resources available to the West while extending its defensive commitments; and to set up bases in Cuba and Indonesia. When Krushchev says he will bury us, we think only what a joker the genial old fellow is.

But perhaps the most brilliant use made of the tactic of Destalinisation is the famous feud with Communist China. Chinese polemics are the perfect foil for Russian reasonableness and caution. Indeed, the threat of an atom-armed China might make it 'expedient' for the U.S.A. and the U.S.S.R. to hand over control of their armed forces to the United Nations, which would then impose peace on the belligerent Chinese. A pretty scheme, which is practically materialising before our eyes.

This threat of armed World Government is very real, and to appreciate it it is necessary to understand the true structure of the United Nations. The essence of the United Nations is not in the debating sections—these are a smoke-screen and, increasingly, a propaganda forum. The essence is its Secretariat, which is overwhelmingly staffed by Communists in the key positions. This statement is not speculation; it is fully expounded and thoroughly documented in *The Fearful Master*, by G. Edward Griffin.

A merging of U.S. with U.S.S.R. forces under the U.N. therefore would mean nothing but the final conquest of the U.S.A. by Communism, to be followed by Stalinisation until the "bourgeoisie" have been disposed of. But this is only a final

stage in a process which is already so far advanced that it may prove impossible to check it. If it can be checked, it will only be because sufficient people get to know the facts researched out of obscurity by Griffin and others.

A very serious aspect of the present situation is that a modified form of Stalinisation has been going on in the U.S.A. since the turn of the century at least—the modification of the educational system, and the inculcation of immorality, vice, and violence, now reaching a culmination.

By the introduction of a whole series of text-books specially written for the purpose, the whole content of the educational curriculum was altered. The purpose behind this is best stated in the words of the man, Dr. Harold Rugg, who wrote the books: “. . . through the schools of the world we shall disseminate a new conception of government—one that will embrace all the collective activities of men; one that will postulate the need for scientific control and operation of economic activities in the interests of all people. . . . *First and foremost*, the development of a new philosophy of life and education which will be fully appropriate to the new social order; *Second*, the building of an adequate plan for the production of a new race of educational workers; *Third*, the making of new activities and materials for the curriculum.” (Quoted by John A. Stormer in *None Dare Call It Treason*). Thus began the brain-washing which has made possible the contemporary depraved mass communications media which intensify the brain-washing.

Human beings are either more, or less, intelligent, but both types were indoctrinated in the same basic concepts of ‘togetherness’. The more intelligent who rose superior to the system of teaching, were recruited into the ranks of the socialists, and in so far as they conformed, were promoted from the ranks of the discontented to the officer corps of the socialists. This is the group described by Garet Garrett (*The People's Pottage*) as “a quantity of bitter intellectual radicalism infiltrated from the top down as a doctorhood of professors, writers, critics, analysts, advisers, directors of research, and so on—a prepared revolutionary intelligence in spectacles.”

This is the group which in March, 1933, took over the government of the U.S.A. on the inauguration of Franklin Roosevelt as President. As a group, with the substitutions made necessary by deaths, it has remained the government of the U.S.A. ever since. There has been no basic variation in American policy under any of the American Presidents since Roosevelt, and that policy has been, and is, eventually to merge with the government of the U.S.S.R. via the United Nations, whose Charter is a blue-print for World Government.

Those of less intelligence who could not extract anything significant from the new-style “education” became, on the lowest level, recruits to the swelling ranks of the juvenile delinquents and, on the higher level, the university undergraduates who are provoking riots on the campuses and swelling the ranks of the racial rioters.

“. . . from 1960 through 1964, the percentage of young-age-group arrests for homicide, forcible rape, aggravated assault, robbery, burglary, larceny, and auto theft more than doubled the population-increase percentage of the same group. . . .

“Teen-agers and their parents have been subjected to a foolhardy theory which condones rebellious conduct against authority, law and order, or any regulatory measures which restrict their whims, wishes, desires and activities.” (J. Edgar Hoover, *The Law Enforcement Bulletin*, Sept., 1965.)

As for immorality and its associated evils, this too is a programme, succinctly described by Dr. Brock Chisholm, head of the World Health Organisation of the United Nations: “What basic distortion can be found in every civilisation of which we know anything? The only psychological force capable of producing these perversions is morality—the concept of right and wrong. The re-interpretation and eventual eradication of the concept of right and wrong are the belated objectives of nearly all psychotherapy.” (*Psychiatry*, Feb., 1946.)

This and other incitements, operating on intellects weakened by planned mis-education and further disordered by the ever-increasing promotion of pornography and the constant depiction of violence on TV programmes, have led to ‘jungle’ schools and terror on the streets, and an accelerating crime-rate. The planned objective of all this is to bring about the break-down of normal government in preparation for police-state methods. In the meantime, and also in preparation, the powers of the Federal Government in Washington have been enormously expanded, and the Constitution weakened.

Against this background must be seen U.S. military policy, as it affects the whole of the West.

Allegedly because they have been superseded by “sophisticated” inter-continental missile systems, American overseas bases have been progressively closed down. Without fanfare, the last unit of the American Strategic Air Command was withdrawn from Britain on July 31, 1965. Earlier, the Skybolt missile, promised to Britain was cancelled. Under the Wilson administration, the British military aviation industry has been wrecked, and Britain is dependent on the supply of American-built aircraft; but the American aircraft programme is being cut back too—again under the pretext that bombers are becoming obsolescent owing to the development of ICBMs.

It has been a fundamental postulate since the Declaration of the Iron Curtain that the defence of “the West” ultimately depended on the U.S.A. Because of this postulate, European and other countries integrated their defence measures with those of the U.S.A. So that when the U.S.A. says that aircraft and bases are superseded by missiles control of which is entirely within the U.S.A., the rest of the world is left completely defenceless.

There is the meaning of Destalinisation. The International Communist Conspiracy has *always* relied *primarily* on deception, and the U.S.A. government is approximately 70 per cent controlled by this Conspiracy. Virtually all the steps in the assembly of the mechanism of world government by the power behind Communism have now been taken. All that remains is the construction of a crisis that requires an ‘accord’ between the U.S.A. and the U.S.S.R. to disarm in favour of the Communist United Nations, in the interests of “world peace” before the threat of an ‘unthinkable’ nuclear war.

The strategy of Communism has always been the moral, mental and physical disarmament of its adversaries. The physical has, at least potentially, been largely accomplished. But U.S. military power still exists, and could be brought to bear if sufficient moral and mental power were exerted on the U.S. administration. And the way—it seems the only way—is for the individual first to learn to know “thine enemy”, and then bring to others an equal understanding. Only the moral force of informed public opinion can now save us.

## THE GREAT BETRAYAL

continued from page 1)

directly and skilfully designed to produce the degradation (in the exact sense of the word) to which the British people are being subjected, only one conclusion is possible in regard to that situation. Every person involved is at the best a dupe of a national enemy; or otherwise is a traitor, and should be dealt with according to his merits.

## (VI)

One of the reasons frequently and reasonably advanced against what is called the world plot theory is that it postulates a degree both of organisation and discipline which is out of all proportion to anything with which we are familiar on the necessary scale.

It would be possible to answer this objection on its own ground because there are several aspects of religion, secret societies and commerce which are not too greatly disproportionate to such a task. But, in fact, it is highly probably that the proof does not lie along those lines and that permeation and perversion, the product of education, observation, and patronage, is the technique mainly effective. A little elaboration of this theme may be useful.

If you want to catch mice, you don't specialise in canaries, you keep a cat. If long observation has convinced you that success in politics or industry is impossible unless a certain hierarchy of function is preserved, and you wish to destroy a rival, you don't, at least at first, order him to consult his office boy before making a major decision—you stimulate the formation of Trades' Unions, permeate the schools, take great care that words such as policy, administration and ownership are mixed up so that they can mean anything or nothing, and secure executives in the Trades' Unions who are both ambitious and technically ignorant. In fact, you hypnotise everyone into agreement that the office boy knows it all. If you can ensure that Trades' Union policy is based on the assumption that the object of life is full employment you have an almost omnipotent monopoly ready made. The leisure class is, you say, living on the worker, and consumption being a mere by-product of production, the consumer should be given less and less and the production process absorb more and more. You will almost automatically develop a state of affairs which requires supermen to run it. Then abolish all principles of law, morals or politics on some theory such as the divine right of majorities and the omnipotence of Parliament, and you may be confident that your Materialist State, which requires supermen to run it, will elect for that purpose demagogues ignorant of the elements of the problem with which they are required to deal. Quite naturally, they fail, and still more "sacrifices" are suggested. Quite a small organisation of conscious, trained traitors can bring about this situation. It takes time, and "wars or the threat of wars," but it can be done. It has been done in the British Isles, and the evidences of it are indisputable.

The defence against it is to expose the strategy, minimise the demand for labour, maximalise the availability of consumption goods, and break up every monopoly whether of goods or labour.

These policies are only possible inside the framework of a Constitution which has an organic relation to reality. For instance, if it is once established, as it is being established, that the primary object of the Constitution is to demolish the rights of the individual ("Parliament is supreme—it could, in its wisdom, decree that all blue-eyed babies be destroyed at birth") and so centralise them that they can be transferred out of the country and the nation, which is the exact opposite of the Constitution envisaged and re-inforced by Magna Carta, the measures I have

just suggested lose all meaning. They would be the last method by which to establish the centralised world, which is neither organic nor realistic. It is mechanistic, static, and abstract. There seems to be small doubt that its primary agency has been, and still is, the Financial System which has been increasingly a conscious and lying aberration of a magnificent instrument for good. By its agency, Constitution, Governments and Peoples have been corrupted. "Ye are of your Father, the devil. He was a liar from the beginning."

The plight of the British is not a consequence of the war, neither, in the true sense, does it originate in the so-called Labour Party. All the ingredients of defeat can be found, active and conscious, in the Baldwin-P.E.P. reign of the Armistice period. Their shop window is redressed—that it all.

(Concluded)

Today's commentators attribute the race riots in New York and Los Angeles to the smouldering resentment of the negroes against discrimination by the white Americans, but a little research reveals organised hatred; riot-raisers arriving in fleets of cars, directing the riots with walkie-talkies, and assembling to draw their pay-packets in a building much frequented by U.N. officials. All this, and much more, is to be found in

## IT'S VERY SIMPLE

The true story of Civil Rights

by Alan Stang

Price 8/3d.

## THE MENACE OF COMMUNISM

A brochure dealing with the conspiracy behind the Vietnam "peace" moves, and behind Indonesia's threat to Australia, and containing a comprehensive list of books on the Conspiracy.

Free—on request

## Books

Books referred to in "Destalinisation" (which will be printed as a booklet) are available from K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London, E.11, who will be pleased to supply a free book-list on request.

The review, "A Treatise on Treason" which appeared in these pages recently, is being produced in booklet form. The price will not be more than 2/- per copy with the usual reduction for quantities. Supplies are expected late in December.

## THE BLUE BOOK OF THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY

This book will serve to give any really interested person a clear understanding of what the John Birch Society is trying to do and how and why.

16/-

From

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd. at 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Printed by E. Fish & Co. Ltd., Liverpool.