

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 44 No. 1

SATURDAY, APRIL 11, 1964

6d. Fortnightly

Marxmanship in Dallas

By REVILO P. OLIVER*

(Continued from *American Opinion*†, February, 1964)

[SECOND INSTALMENT]

In memoriam aeternam

Rational men will understand that, far from sobbing over the deceased or lying to placate his vengeful ghost, it behoves us to speak of him with complete candour and historical objectivity. Jack was not sanctified by a bullet.

The departed Kennedy is the John F. Kennedy who procured his election by peddling boob-bait to the suckers, including a cynical pledge to destroy the Communist base in Cuba. He is the John F. Kennedy with whose blessing and support the Central Intelligence Agency staged a fake "invasion" of Cuba designed to strengthen our mortal enemies there and to disgrace us—disgrace us not merely by ignominious failure, but by the inhuman crime of having lured brave men into a trap and sent them to suffering and death. He is the John F. Kennedy who, in close collaboration with Khrushchev, staged the phoney "embargo" that was improvised both to befuddle the suckers on election day in 1962 and to provide for several months a cover for the steady and rapid transfer of Soviet troops and Soviet weapons to Cuba for eventual use against us. He is the John F. Kennedy who installed and maintained in power the unspeakable Yarmolinsky-McNamara gang in the Pentagon to demoralise and subvert our armed forces and to sabotage our military installations and equipment. He is the John F. Kennedy who, by shameless intimidation, bribery, and blackmail, induced weaklings in Congress to approve treasonable acts designed to disarm us and to make us the helpless prey of the affiliated criminals and savages of the "United Nations".

I have mentioned but a few of the hundred reasons why we shall never forget John F. Kennedy. So long as there are Americans his memory will be cherished with distate. If the United States is saved by the desperate exertions of patriots, we may have a future of true greatness and glory—but we shall never forget how near we were to total destruction in the year 1963. And if the international vermin succeed in completing their occupation of our country, Americans will remember Kennedy while they live, and will curse him as they

face the firing squads or toil in a brutish degradation that leaves no hope for anything but a speedy death.

Three Explanations

Why was Kennedy murdered by the young Bolshevik? With a little imagination, it is easy to excogitate numerous explanations that are not absolutely impossible. For example: (a) Oswald was a "madman" who acted all alone just to get his name in the papers; (b) Oswald was a poor shot who was really trying to kill Governor Connally or Mrs. Kennedy and hit the President by mistake; (c) the person killed was not Kennedy but a double, and the real Kennedy is now a guest aboard a "flying saucer" on which he is heroically negotiating with Martians or Saturnians to Save the World. With a little time and a fairly wide reading in romantic fiction, anyone can think of sixty or seventy fantasies as good or better than those that I have mentioned.

On the evidence, however, and with consideration of human probabilities, there are only three explanations that are not preposterous, viz.:

(1) That Kennedy was executed by the Communist Conspiracy because he was planning to turn American. For this comforting hypothesis there is no evidence now known. Ever since January, 1961, some hopeful Americans have maintained that Jack was a conservative at heart, that he deliberately packed his administration with Schlesingers, Rostows, and Yarmolinskys so that these would bring our nation so near to disaster that even the stupidest "Liberal", not in the employ of the Conspiracy, could not overlook the obvious, and that when an unmistakable crisis at last made it politically feasible, Kennedy would carry out a sudden and dramatic *volte-face*, sweep the scum out of Washington, and rally the forces of the great majority of loyal and patriotic Americans.

I wish I could believe that. It is true that the late Senator McCarthy praised young Kennedy, but although the Senator was a great American whose memory we must all revere, he was not preternaturally gifted: he could have been either deceived by a smooth-talking hypocrite (as have been greater men than he in the past) or mistaken in his estimate of a person who, although then sincere in his allegiance to what then seemed to be the winning side, later thought it expedient to change sides. It is also true that Kennedy said some fine things in speeches delivered just before his death, but those statements did not significantly differ from the pro-American flourishes normally used as seasoning in the boob-bait manufactured by Salinger's technicians during the past three years.

(continued on page 3)

* Revilo P. Oliver is Professor of Classics in the University of Illinois. During World War II, he was Director of Research in a secret agency of the War Department. He has travelled widely. Dr. Oliver is an academician of international reputation who has published scholarly articles in four languages within the pages of twelve learned periodicals in the United States and Europe.

† Published monthly except July by Robert Welch, Inc., 395 Concord Avenue, Belmont 78, Massachusetts, U.S.A. We gratefully acknowledge permission to reprint.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free:
One year 30/-; Six months 15/-; Three months 7s. 6d.

Offices—Business: 9 AVENUE ROAD, STRATFORD-ON-AVON. Telephone: Stratford-on-Avon 3976.

Editorial: PENRHYN LODGE, GLOUCESTER GATE, LONDON N.W.1. Telephone: EUSton 3893.

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business—Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial—Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 36 Melbourne Avenue, Deakin, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1. (Telephone: EUSton 3893). Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O. Sydney, N.S.W.

The United Nations and Portugal

The Portuguese Foreign Minister, Dr. Franco Nogueira, spoke on December 9th at a meeting of the Security Council convened in pursuance of a resolution hostile to Portugal by a number of African delegations.

The Minister stressed that meetings of the Security Council no longer excited world attention or even respect as in the past. He added that to find the reason for this one had only to be reminded that the Council was that day holding its 55th meeting of 1963 and that 43 of these meetings were devoted to problems which were not related in any way to questions for which the Council was given specific competence by the United Nations Charter.

“But”—the Minister added—“what is more serious and casts a dark shadow on the future of the Organisation is the fact that the Council has ignored the problems which do or may affect peace and security in the world.” He illustrated this by referring to the questions of Berlin, of the war between North and South Vietnam, of disarmament, the Caribbean situation, war and tension in North Africa, the situation in areas where the United Nations maintains troops, border conflicts and clashes in Asia, Africa and elsewhere.

“The Security Council,” the Minister commented, “has not thought fit to deal with any of these important problems. That is to say, if we compare on the one hand, the situation in the world and the problems arising therefrom, and the activities of this Council on the other hand, we must reach the very sad and disturbing conclusion that there is a wide gap and a complete divorce between the highest body of the United Nations and the real problems which menace the peace of all of us.

“The Council has erected an ivory tower and apparently enjoys living in it because it is easy and more pleasant to live there than to be obliged to deal with the hard facts of life. Also, I submit that it is safer because the Council, acting as it does, is sure not to touch upon or offend the interests of the powerful, while the weak sustain the costs.”

The Security Council is fulfilling a purpose—whose purpose?

Buying Power and Freedom

The following letter to the Editor appeared in the *Bournemouth Echo*, January 18, 1964:

“In a report issued by the Congregational Union of Scotland (claiming to be the first examination of the Financial System by any church for 400 years) the following sentence occurs: ‘There is at present no automatic process by which adequate purchasing power is issued to a community.’

“Surely there lies the key to so much that is upside down and incomprehensible in our economy.

“Money should be geared to production in such a way as to make it impossible to have vast quantities of goods which cannot be bought.

“The strife engendered by the efforts of different sections of the community to get a bigger slice of somebody else’s cake instead of demanding a slice of the big cake in the larder (plenty more where that came from!) helps our Hidden Government to get on with its job of centralising power. The aim is to transfer power from individuals to institutions, from small institutions to bigger ones, from national to international until, finally, it is hoped to get us all securely roped in to a World Government. Were such a government to become established, there would be little use in objecting to fluoridation of water, for example, or anything else. The whole medical faculty would be part of the government, which would be totalitarian (no contracting out).

“Humanity does, indeed, stand at the cross-roads, with two possible ways stretching out before it.

“The one leads to a world tyranny in which the individual would have no control over his own life whatever, but would become the submissive servant of whatever branch of governmental service employed him, at work in which it would be improbable that he could take any personal interest, the ultimate aims of which he would be little likely to understand, and with which if he did, he would most likely profoundly disagree.

“The other road leads to such freedom as mankind has not yet known, the kind of freedom envisaged by the prophet who wrote of the time when God’s will should be done on earth, when ‘every man shall sit under his vine and his fig-tree, and none shall make him afraid.’

“We shall never beat our swords into ploughshares while the fruits of the plough are used as missiles in trade wars, plenty is regarded as a disaster, and the leisure made possible by our forefathers’ inventions, is looked upon as a disease to be ‘cured’.

“A RIDER”

**“WHOSE SERVICE IS
PERFECT FREEDOM”**

by

C. H. DOUGLAS

5/- plus postage

from **K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LTD.**
9 Avenue Road, Stratford-on-Avon, Warwicks.

MARXMANSHIP IN DALLAS (continued from page 1)

If Kennedy did entertain laudable designs, he cannot have kept them entirely *in petto*; he must have disclosed them to a few persons, perhaps including his father, in whom he had confidence. And if he did, the time for those persons to give evidence is now, while there is still a chance to clear the reputation of the deceased.

(2) That the assassination was the result of one of the rifts that not infrequently occur within the management of the Communist Conspiracy, whose satraps sometimes liquidate one another without defecting from the Conspiracy, just as Persian satraps, such as Tissaphernes and Pharnabazus, made war on one another without revolting or intending to revolt against the King of Kings.

Now it was generally suspected for some time before the assassination that Khrushchev and Kennedy were planning to stage another show to bamboozle the American suckers just before the election next November. According to this plan, a fake "revolt" against Castro would be enacted by the Communist second team, which has long been kept in reserve for such an eventuality. (Cf. *American Opinion*, March, 1962, p. 33.) The "democratic revolution" was to be headed by a Communist agent who differed from Fidel only in being less hairy and less well known to Americans, so that the *New York Times*, the State Department, the Central Intelligence Agency, and our other domestic enemies could swear once again that the vicious criminal was an "agrarian reformer", an "anti-Communist", and the "George Washington of Cuba". (It is confidently believed in conspiratorial circles that the dumb brutes in the United States will never learn—until it is much too late.)

What is not certain is the script for the third act of the comedy. Most (but not all) informed observers believe that this performance in Cuba was to accomplish two things: (a) the reelection of Kennedy and most of his stooges in Congress, which would, of course, be impossible without some seasonably contrived and major "crisis"; and (b) the endlessly repeated and trite device of making the tax-paying serfs in the United States, who have financed every important Communist conquest since 1917, work to provision and fortify another conquest under the pretext that by so doing they in some mysterious way "fight Communism".

Now, if those observers are correct in their projections, the scenario called for the "success" of the "democratic revolution". And that would involve, if the play was to be convincing, the liquidation of Fidel and a few of his more notorious accomplices. And that, as is well known to everyone who has made even the slightest study of Communism, would be merely commonplace and normal.

The rabid rats of Bolshevism devour one another—and no one knows that better than the rats themselves. Almost all of the Conspiracy's most famous murderers—Trotsky, Zinoviev (Apfelbaum), Kirov (Kostrikov), Kamenev (Rosenfeld), Yezhov, Beria, and a hundred others, possibly including Stalin—were murdered by their insatiably blood-thirsty confederates. Indeed, it is a general rule that only accident or disease can save a Communist "leader" from assassination or execution by other Communists as soon as his usefulness to the Conspiracy is ended or his liquidation will provide an opportunity for useful propaganda.

Cornered rats will fight for their lives. Castro, of course, knew of the planned "revolution", and if the dénouement was correctly foreseen by American observers, he also knew that, whatever solemn pledges may have been given him by his superiors, he

would not survive. It is possible, therefore, that Fidel arranged the assassination of Jack in the hope of averting, or at least postponing, his own. Now that Oswald is silenced and superiors who gave him his orders are unidentified, it may never be possible completely to disprove that hypothesis, although there are a number of considerations that weigh against it.

We should note, also, that a few American observers believed that the Communist scenario had a different third act. According to their forecast, the Communist second team was to stage an indecisive "revolt" against the first team. Jack, pretending to carry out after four years the pledge that he made to get himself elected, would commit the United States to support the second team. At the scheduled moment on the eve of elections Nick would "intervene" and yell about a "nuclear holocaust", thus producing a "crisis" which would call for a "bipartisan" cancellation of the election. The gang in the Pentagon, hypocritically wringing its greasy hands, would claim that we were even weaker than its concerted sabotage of our defenses had in fact made us by that time. That would suffice to set craven "intellectuals" and neurotic females to running through our streets howling for "peace" and the "United Nations". After much tension, a great "statesmanlike solution" would be found: surrender of our sovereignty and weapons to an "international" body, with the Russians agreeing to do likewise. Then the savages in the "international police force" would move in, and the glorious and long-awaited butchery of the American boobs would get under way.

Those who make this prognosis support it by pointing out that the Conspiracy has already fallen far behind its schedule for the United States, and that the slow but ever increasing awakening of the American people from their hypnotic lethargy makes it necessary for the Conspiracy to adopt drastic and precipitate measures now, if it is not to fail utterly. If those observers are right, then interference by Castro is excluded, for the plan itself would guarantee his safety until the United States had been abolished.

(3) That the Conspiracy ordered the assassination as part of systematic preparation for a domestic take-over. If so, the plan, of course, was to place the blame on the "right-wing extremists" (if I may use the Bolshevik's code-word for informed and loyal Americans), and we may be sure that a whole train of "clues" had been carefully planted to lead or point in that direction as soon as Oswald was safe in Mexico. These preparations were rendered useless when Oswald was, through some mischance, arrested—probably in consequence of some slip-up of which we as yet know nothing. He may, for example, have missed connections with some agent of the Conspiracy who was to transport him to the airport, and it may be significant that, when observed on the street, he was walking directly toward the apartment of the Jakob Rubenstein (alias Jack Ruby) who later silenced him.

Two objections to this explanation are commonly raised, but neither is cogent.

The first is the assumption that, if the International Conspiracy had planned the assassination, there would have been no slip-up. That is absurd. The degenerates are not Supermen. Their agents make blunders all the time—blunders that could destroy whole segments of the apparatus, if the Conspiracy did not have so many criminals planted in communications and politics to cover up the blunders and to paralyse the normal reactions of a healthy society. It would take pages even to list the mistakes that the Conspiracy's agents, including their branch manager, Castro, have made in the course of the Cuban operation. For that matter, a potentially serious and quite unnecessary mistake was

made when the Communist Party's *official* publication, *The Worker*, yelled for the appointment of Earl Warren to "investigate" the assassination *before* the appointment was made—or at least, before the appointment was disclosed to the public. Nothing was gained by that mistake in timing, which serves only to give away the whole show.

The second argument is that the Conspiracy could not have wanted to eliminate Kennedy, who was doing so much for it. But that is a miscalculation. For one thing, the job was not being done on schedule. A few measures had been forced through Congress, but not, for example, what is called "Civil Rights", a very vital part of the vermin's preparations for the final take-over. Virtually nothing was done to speed up national bankruptcy and the total economic collapse that is doubtless scheduled to accompany the subjugation of the American people. The Congress was, on the whole, the most American Congress that we have had for many years, and it blocked the measures most cunningly designed to destroy the nation. It was not the fault of any one man, to be sure, but the record for 1963 was, for all practical purposes, a stalemate. Our "Liberals", always impatient for open dictatorship and terrorism, were beginning to feel frustrated; some of them were screeching in our more prominent daily, weekly, and monthly liepapers about the "standpatism" of Congress and hinting that that nasty relic of the Constitution must be abolished in the interests of "effective democracy". Others were beginning to lose confidence.

That is what the Conspiracy cannot afford. It is already sadly behind schedule. Of course, its secret plans, like the identity of its master strategists, are undisclosed, but at the end of 1958 some competent observers, after the most careful and painstaking study of all available indications, concluded that 1963 was the year scheduled for the effective capture of the United States. And those analysts—without exception, so far as I know—still believe that they were right; they believe that the Communist schedule was retarded and partly disrupted by the awakening of the American people and their growing awareness of the Communist Conspiracy and its designs. It is known from past operations that the Conspiracy's plans always call for constantly *accelerated* subversion in the final phase of a conquest, and so even a stalemate is, from the standpoint of our enemies, an alarming tactical failure. They cannot afford many more without suffering total defeat.

The Conspiracy, we must remember, does not have the resilience of a nation at war, which, unless thoroughly rotted, can rely on the powerful cohesive force of patriotism. To be sure, a frenzied hatred of mankind and human civilisation is an even more powerful cohesive force among the born Bolsheviks who direct and manage the Conspiracy, and it has been able to excite race hatred among certain "minorities" and so acquire some fanatical shock-troops; but for a very large part of the work of subversion it must rely on low-grade criminals, opportunistic collaborators, and stupid employees. And its power of discipline over those groups largely depends on their complete confidence that the Conspiracy's triumph is inevitable.

Careful observers were aware of the feeling of crisis in conspiratorial circles before the assassination. In June of 1963, an experienced American military man made a careful analysis of the situation at that time, and in his highly confidential report concluded, on the basis of indications in Communist and crypto-Communist sources, that the Conspiracy's schedule called for a major incident to create national shock *before Thanksgiving*.

Taylor Caldwell, who combines feminine sensitivity with artistic perception, sensed in the tone of Communist and "Liberal" publications a direction that made the assassination of Kennedy "very probable"—and she said so in an explicit warning published on October thirty-first and written about a week earlier. Other observers, who saw that Communist plans called for some sensational act of violence in the United States, naturally considered the assassination of Kennedy (possibly in a crash of his airplane so arranged as to show unmistakable sabotage) as one of the expedients that the Conspiracy might adopt, although they did not, so far as I know, regard it as the *most* likely at the present juncture.

But, aside from the Conspiracy's obvious need for some drastic means of checking the growth of American patriotism, there is the consideration that Kennedy was rapidly becoming a political liability. Despite the best efforts of the lie-machines, it was clear that his popularity was diminishing so rapidly that some observers doubted whether even the most cunningly contrived and timed "crisis" could procure his re-election. His conduct was exciting ever increasing disgust even among the credulous; and what was worse, the vast cesspool in Washington was beginning to leak badly.

(To be continued)

One Dozen Candles

1. *While You Slept*, by John T. Flynn.
2. *The Web of Subversion*, by James Burnham.
3. *America's Retreat From Victory*, by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy.
4. *Odyssey Of A Fellow Traveller*, by Dr. J. B. Matthews.
5. *Shanghai Conspiracy*, by Major General Charles A. Willoughby.
6. *From Major Jordan's Diaries*, by George Racey Jordan.
7. *I Saw Poland Betrayed*, by Ambassador Arthur Bliss Lane.
8. *The People's Pottage*, by Gareth Garrett.
9. *The Kohler Strike*, by Sylvester Petro.
10. *The Pentagon Case*, by Victor J. Fox.
11. *The Tragedy Of Bolivia*, by Alberto Ostria Gutierrez.
12. *Nine Men Against America*, by Rosalie M. Gordon.

The above twelve reprints, assembled, one of each, into a carton, can be obtained from K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 9 Avenue Road, Stratford-on-Avon, as soon as the price has been determined.

BOOKS TO READ

By C. H. Douglas: —

The Brief for the Prosecution	8/6
The Monopoly of Credit	12/6 (Postage 8d)
Economic Democracy) These works may be borrowed by annual subscribers to THE SOCIAL CREDITER, on terms.
Credit Power and Democracy	
Warning Democracy	
Social Credit	
The Big Idea	2/6
Programme for the Third World War	2/-

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd., at 9 Avenue Road, Stratford-on-Avon, and printed by J. Hayes & Co., Woolton, Liverpool 25.