

THE SOCIAL CREDITOR

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Volume 40. No. 25.

SATURDAY, APRIL 1, 1961.

Postage 2d.

6d. Fortnightly.

Reflections On Soviet Russia(4)

by BRYAN W. MONAHAN

[There are, no doubt, many of our readers who have not previously read this review, which was originally published serially in *The Australian Social Creditor* at the end of 1945 and early in 1946. Republication in *The Social Creditor* followed shortly afterwards.]

Thus Soviet Russia is to be viewed as another territorial base of Jewish policy. Superimposed on the normal will to domination of the Russian State—that will-to-dominate which is the inevitable concomitant of political centralisation of social power—is its deflection to serve the will-to-domination of the State-without-a-country. That deflection of national policies is worldwide, and its purpose can be identified behind its manifestations, which vary in every country according to circumstances. The control of national finance by international, the biasing of internal news by the control of international news agencies, the warping of internal politics by the local agents of international political organisations, these are, perhaps, the chief means employed in the service of the end. No doubt many agents are unconscious of the significance of the parts they play, but Professor Arnold Toynbee, when he said, "We are engaged on a deliberate and sustained and concentrated attempt to impose limitations on the sovereignty and independence of the fifty or sixty local sovereign independent States . . . It is just because we are really attacking the principle of local sovereignty that we keep on protesting our loyalty to it so loudly. The harder we press our attack upon the idol, the more pains we take to keep its priests and devotees in a fool's paradise, lapped in a false sense of security which will inhibit them from taking up arms in their idol's defence . . . we are working discreetly, but with all our might, to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local national states of our world. And all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands . . . But . . . supposing the present generation of mankind is defeated in the end, after all, in the strenuous effort which we are making to centralise the force of sovereignty?"

. . . I suggest to you that history is likely to repeat itself here, and that once again what Prussia is today, France and Great Britain and Italy, yes, and even the United States, are likely to become tomorrow. For the sake of the peace and prosperity of the world I would devoutly hope that my prophesy will prove correct,"* he evidently knew what he was doing, even if it is still possible that he didn't know why he was doing it. But a careful examination of the text makes it clear that he spoke from knowledge that another war

would be brought about, if necessary, in order "to centralise the force of sovereignty." It proved necessary, and the international machinery was set in motion.

And now exactly the same thing is going forward again. Russia is being prepared as the potential aggressor, and the world situation is being represented as offering the alternative—"more war, or peace under the centralised sovereignty of UNO armed with exclusive possession of the atom bomb."

The policy behind "centralisation of sovereignty" is the collectivisation of mankind—"human unity"—and its rule by function, the supreme function of ruling to be personified in the Jew, who ultimately is to staff the International Organisations to which ownership of 'nationalised' industries and assets are to be transferred. The Money Power, which is super-national, and predominantly Jewish, holds a lien on virtually the complete physical assets of every country, through national debts; and 'nationalisation' of assets is, in effect, fore-closing on the mortgage.

There is not a great dissimilarity even in the manifestations of the policy. The immediate objective is the division of populations into two parts: proletarians, and bureaucrats. We have already seen how the collectivisation of farming in effect converts the peasant into a proletarian by making him dependent on the arrangements controlled through the bureaucracy. In Russia, the process of collectivisation is utterly ruthless, elsewhere more stealthy, and in Russian-occupied zones, intermediate. This latter case is instructive. Russian policy is always and everywhere collectivisation of agriculture, but the means vary. Where there are large estates, the land is first parcelled out in small allotments to the peasants, who are thus used to dispossess the landlord. But where the land is already in the hands of peasants, these are forcibly collectivised. In the former case, once the landlords are disposed of, the peasants, politically powerless, are collectivised later.

In England, the general policy of collectivisation is being pursued under cover of legal forms—a cover increasingly transparent, so that the shape of the virtual dictatorship that has taken over is becoming more easily discernible. England now has its Five Year Plan and government by decree, and the true function of Parliament—the restraint of monarchy—has been abolished. The prerogatives of the Crown have been usurped by the Executive, which is now untrained by traditional Parliamentary procedure.

(continued on page 4)

*Address to Conference of Institutions for the Scientific Study of International Relations, held at Copenhagen on June 8, 1931, and reported in November issue of *International Affairs*. My emphasis.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: *Home and abroad, post free:*

One year 30/-; Six months 15/-; Three months 7s. 6d.

Office—Business and Editorial: 9, AVENUE ROAD, STRATFORD-ON-AVON.

Telephone: Stratford-on Avon 3976.

MAIN EDITORIAL OFFICES:—

*Business—*Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

*Editorial—*Box 3266, Sydney, Australia.

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

*Personnel—*Advisory Chairman: Dr. Tudor Jones. Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 36, Melbourne Avenue, Deakin, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. (Telephone: EUSton 3893.) Canada: L. Denis Byrne, 7420, Ada Boulevard, Edmonton, Alberta. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

From Week to Week

The world situation is now so serious that to see in events anything but the outcome of intention is to be blind.

The elimination of Mr. Lumumba was met—almost accompanied—by synchronised ‘demonstrations’ throughout the world, and followed by an advance of ‘pro-Lumumba’ forces of savages in the Congo so rapid as clearly to have been organised and led by experts well-prepared ahead of the event. Moreover, this advance has been so much facilitated by the Secretariat of the U.N. and its representatives in the Congo that only such an attack as that made by Mr. Krushchev on Mr. Hammarskjöld could distract public attention from perceiving the connection. The Congo is thus being prepared as the detonator for the charge of dynamite being laid throughout Africa by the U.S.-Moscow axis—as witness the statements and actions of Mr. Mennen Williams, U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs: “European Ministers and their wives were roughly pushed aside by members of Mr. Williams’ staff and told to stand elsewhere ‘because Mr. Williams wants to talk privately with these Africans.’” (*Daily Telegraph*, Feb. 23, 1961). This was at a reception given on his arrival at Nairobi.

“Take East Europe; take Afro-Asia . . .”

Any idea that U.S. policy is merely incompetent is surely quite untenable by now. U.S. policy is dominated by international financiers; and that policy is leading to the Communist policing of the world slave-state, from which, it is intended, escape shall be forever impossible.

Stewart Alsop has contributed to *The Saturday Evening Post* (Feb. 18, 1961) a sensible report based on a trip of more than 19,000 miles in Africa. His first conclusion is that the Communist bloc is making “a determined, carefully-planned play for power throughout Africa.” His opinion is that “the only real question is whether we should let the Communists win the African cold war by default, or whether we should try seriously to meet the Communist challenge in Africa.”

But that curious blind spot which prevents even the ablest of reporters from perceiving what lies at the heart of the world conspiracy leads Mr. Alsop to regard the position of the United States *vis-à-vis* the Congo merely as being “odd” and “queer.” “U.N. forces largely subsidised by the United States have been used to prevent a moderate, pro-Western, anti-Communist regime, headed by the U.N. recognised Chief-of-State, from consolidating its position in the heart of Africa. Meanwhile, a pro-Communist regime has been established and allowed to extend its power in the heart of Africa without effective opposition from those forces.”

Mr. Alsop characterises this position from the point of view of American and Western influences as “impossible,” and quite rightly suggests, as we did months ago, that unless the technicians and administrators “of the former colonial powers” are allowed to “keep things ticking” in Africa, the continent will fall to the Communists.

But Mr. Alsop, competent though he is, is not likely to be more competent than Mr. Mennen Williams, and what Mr. Williams is doing is providing added momentum to a situation which is rapidly approaching the point where its direction will become irreversible.

American Opinion, in an issue to which we referred recently (July, August and September, 1960) predicted the murder of King Mohammed of Morocco, on the grounds that he had fulfilled his role as a Communist tool. His death in the course of a minor operation is odd, as Mr. Alsop might say. However, while U.S. relations with Moscow are “improving,” the situation in Morocco will certainly be deteriorating, but this fact is not attracting that concentrated attention in the world press which was accorded the ‘murder’ of Mr. Lumumba.

We hope our readers recall that Major Douglas reported that he had asked one of the inner ring of would-be world-rulers whether in fact they were engaged in a conspiracy, and that the reply was, in effect, “Of course we are, and nothing now can prevent our success.”

The only thing, at this stage, which might prevent that success, is the impeachment and punishment of the traitors, conscious and unconscious, who have brought us to this disaster, followed by a firm reversal of the policies, economic and political, domestic and colonial, by which we have been brought here. If those with sanctions will not act while they still possess them, their fate with ours is sealed. “Sticks and stones will break my bones, but names will never hurt me.”

Rats

I stopped to have a word with a smallholder as he was thrashing a stack of wheat. As a sheaf was moved, a rat ran out and he neatly disposed of it on the prong of his pitchfork. A young woman with a club in her hand, on my side of the wire, gave a scream. “A good pair of rats will breed about ninety a year,” he remarked.

This picture came to my mind as I read a letter in the *Daily Telegraph* (February 27, 1961) from A. B. Mendes, of the Portuguese State Information and Tourist Office. He wrote: “In their ‘Panorama’ programme on February 20, the B.B.C. presented a film on Portugal, full of deliberate misrepresentation. My office having arranged for all facilities to be given to the B.B.C. crew they operated freely and produced a film so dishonest that it has disgusted a great many friends of Portugal in Britain.”

"When they were asked if my office could have a copy of the film a flat refusal was given. I wonder how this action matches with their ideas of liberty and censorship when all freedom was conceded to them in Portugal? Having lived for over 35 years in England, I feel that the 'Panorama' programme has betrayed in no mean manner the British sense of justice and fair play."

It is high time that inquiries were made as to who is responsible for this slant on the "news" that is given to the multitude. We hear about the capture of radio stations in revolutions, but the capture of the B.B.C. Television administration by the enemies of our culture, by the enemy within the gate, is deadlier still. The corn that has been patiently grown and cultivated and harvested over a long period would all have been greedily devoured if the farmer did not know how to deal with rats. What better name for them? The *Express* group talks of the lilac (primrose, violet?) establishment, and gives a sickening enough description of at least the front men, but I prefer the country term, rat.

Mr. Stewart Alsop, an American writer, singularly free of the hate-complex so often displayed by his fellow countrymen, gives a factual account of his tour in Africa in the *Saturday Evening Post* (February 18, 1961) and dispels the idea of "nationalism" in Africa while he emphasises the tribal nature of organisation there. Lord Salisbury in the *Sunday Express* (February 25, 1961) deploras the deterioration of relations between Southern Rhodesia and this country which has taken place under the present Colonial Secretary and Prime Minister, and compares this with the sentiments of 180 years ago in America. But all this is too little and too late, for while Mr. Alsop was writing Mr. Mennen Williams was touring, and practically suggesting that they *wanted* more Congos.

But the capture of information services is no new development. Lin Yutang, at the end of his charming study, *The Chinese Way of Life*, makes the following comments, which, I should think, ought to interest Viscount Montgomery: "Russian forces occupied Manchuria from July, 1945, until May, 1946, and the struggle for Manchuria between the Nationalists and the Chinese Communists began. 'China experts' reported to Chiang's allies that the Chinese Communists were not Communists. Under foreign pressure and against its judgment, the Nationalist Army was compelled to retreat from Kalgan, the strategic rail centre that controls the entry to Manchuria, thus opening wide the gate for the Chinese Communist scramble for Japanese army equipment . . . After the war . . . the people's discontent grew with the inflation. The totalitarians had won."

"The Chinese Communists had from the outset sworn to 'uproot' the Confucian way of life and the family system. They now did so. In the system of communes set up in 1958 . . . they had dissolved the entire population of given areas into communes, organized on a military basis and turning the people's lives . . . into a concentration-camp existence . . . men are separated from women, husbands from their wives, and mothers from their children, who are taken care of by state nurseries. All personal property is abolished . . . A greater and more thoroughgoing autocracy the world has never seen. It spells the end of Chinese family life as it has been lived for more than two-thousands years and of all life of free thinking and belief." They really have hit them for six, in fact. And probably the 'China experts' have been duly promoted.

H.S.S.

The N.S.W. Legislative Council

The following letter was addressed to the Editor of *The Sydney Morning Herald* but not published:

Sir,

I agree with your "Sydney Spectator (*S.M.H.* Jan. 14th) relative to the need for putting forward a strong case for the retention of the Legislative Council. To this end there *is* an "urgent need to be up and doing."

Throughout this country to-day many attacks are being made on established customs and institutions which seem to the casual observer merely isolated events but are in reality a correlated policy of "Centralisation of Power" by a hitherto hidden group. It is not my intention to go over the history and growth of the N.S.W. Parliamentary System here. I should like, however, to draw attention to the history and growth of the Socialist conspiracy which has given rise to this unwarranted attack on the Upper House of N.S.W.

Professor Hearnshaw, in his book *Survey of Socialism* shows that the materialistic doctrine of Socialism was alien to our customary way of thinking and depended for its propagation, in Great Britain, on Continental conspirators.

Having obtained a foothold for this alien ideology among the intelligentsia of the British Isles, those bent on the intrigue found that the British working people would not participate in a bloody revolution. Therefore a fresh strategy was adopted and at the close of the nineteenth century the Fabian Society became the chosen instrument for a systematic permeation of the Labour Party. In 1894 an educational branch of the conspiracy was founded by the Fabian Society, namely the London School of Economics (at the present time the London School of Economics is attached to London University and is in receipt of a Government Grant).

Early in the twentieth century the Fabian Society permeated the British Liberal Party and indoctrinated the minds of Liberal candidates. Permeation of Oxford and Cambridge Universities, by the Fabians, began about the same time and by 1912 was so far advanced that Mr. Clifford Allen of the Cambridge Fabian Society, launched the University Socialist Federation.

To accomplish this indoctrination of thought, a great deal of finance was needed; one might even say that it would not have been possible if the finance had not been made available.

Professor J. H. Morgan, K.C., writing in the *Quarterly Review* (Jan. 1929, p. 188) says: "When I once asked Lord Haldane why he persuaded his friend, Sir Ernest Cassel, to settle by his will large sums on the London School of Economics, he replied, 'Our object is to make this institution a place to raise and train the bureaucracy of the future Socialist State.'"

Consider the statement of Professor Laski, who explained the result of the 1945 British general election "as the outcome of fifty years of propaganda." Professor Laski would know, for at that time he was Chairman of the Executive of the English Socialist Party and was also of the London School of Economics & Political Science. To the same conclusion, but from more wholesome motives, Professor Hayek is driven. In his important book *The Road to Serfdom*, Professor Hayek points out that the "tendency toward monopoly and planning is not the result of any 'objective facts' beyond our control, but the product of opinions fostered and propagated for half

a century until they have come to dominate all our policy."

This policy is now embodied in our Government administrations.

Consider the views of Lord Hewart, Lord Chief Justice of England in his book *The New Despotism*, written in 1929. "A little inquiry will serve to show that there is now and for some years past has been, a persistent influence at work which, whatever the motives and intentions that support it may be thought to be, undoubtedly has the effect of placing a large and increasing field of departmental authority and activity beyond the reach of the ordinary law . . . A mass of evidence established the fact that there is in existence a persistent and well contrived system, intended to produce, and in practice producing, a despotic power which at one and the same time placed Government departments above the Sovereignty of Parliament and beyond the jurisdiction of the Courts."

The transfer of power has almost been accomplished by the methods described above—more in the Federal than in the State sphere of politics. However under the Federal constitution the Senate is the obstacle to final despotic administrative control and in the State of New South Wales the Legislative Council stands as a threat to despotic power. Recent developments indicate that there will be a snap referendum on the Upper House issue as early as April 1961.

So far as can be seen, no qualification will be necessary to cast a secret and therefore irresponsible vote.

The vote cast by a person who, until the very day of the referendum, did not know of the existence of the Upper House, will be of the same value as the vote cast by a person realising the implications of the issue at stake.

How will the Communist vote be counted? As a full vote, one and a half votes or just half a vote: should it be counted at all—for their policy clearly aims at the abolition of all Parliamentary government; and all except one party.

Perhaps if the vote were to cost ten shillings or one pound some realism might be given to the proceedings. As the matter now stands, if it were not so serious it would be absurd and will certainly appear so to historians.

Yours etc.,

J. STIRLING.

Caringbah, N.S.W.
January 5, 1961.

REFLECTIONS ON SOVIET RUSSIA

(continued from page 1).

There can be little doubt that the culmination of the world-plot is near. Though little time remains to avert catastrophe, there is time enough.

A big scheme can be upset much more easily than a small one. Hitler's victory was lost because small parts of the big plan went wrong. And so the world-plot can be upset. If the people of any country re-assert their sovereignty over their Government, and force an exposure, the big plot will fail, because its programme must remain a secret programme until it succeeds. World totalitarianism depends on subordinate totalitarianism in each component country; and resistance to totalitarianism anywhere compels disclosure of the forces promoting totalitarianism.

The world picture is complex, and there are many elements that are difficult to fit into the pattern. But it is a human world, and a mechanical perfection would in this, as in all things human, be inhuman. No boxing match, much less a war, and still less a secret war, can be planned beyond a few principles. In this sense, there is no plan to dominate the world; there is only a constant purpose energising diverse mechanisms; there is a *policy*. The policy has been shared, or stolen, by one nation after another; but no nation has held it so long as has the Jewish nation, because no nation has maintained so long a corporate identity allied to a profound religious conviction and a mystic national purpose, and a homogenous conception of all other men as Gentiles, without respect to race, colour or creed. To the Briton, a Greek is a Greek, a Jew a Jew, and an American an American; but Briton, Greek and Negro are to the Jew, indistinguishably Gentile, and the sooner miscegenation obliterates the differences by which Gentiles themselves distinguish each other, the sooner human 'unity' will mirror the Unity of God.

"Internationalism," writes C. H. Douglas, "with its corollary of a World State (of which the happily defunct League of Nations saw one attempt and the Bank of International Settlements another) is one end of the scale and self-determination of the *individual* is the other. It clearly cannot tolerate autocracy. The smaller the genuine political unit, the nearer you are getting to self-determination of the individual. The horizontal trust, whether commercial or political, but especially the latter, is an abomination just as internationalism is an abomination. The ultimate ideal of such a policy is a world full of robots, each with a numbered time check, all subject to the same 'laws.' It is materialism rampant; a denial of individuality and spiritual values and is the outcome of a cultural hatred which is, in essence, purely destructive. It is a matter of less than no consequence under what national or racial label it is found—it is of the Devil.

"The best and only way by which any race can escape the certain consequences of association with it is for its representatives publicly to denounce it and for its members to cease to support it."

International Socialism, one guise of which is Communism, has been aptly described as Jewish Fascism; the task remains to destroy Fascism in this, its inner citadel; this time not by blood-shed and terror, but by patient and resolute exposure of the facts, and the righting of their consequences; by the decentralisation of sovereignty until the individual, both Gentile and Jew, is sovereign and secure in his own rights—the achievement of the order of Society which is Social Credit, or applied Christianity.

(Concluded)

BOOKS TO READ

By C. H. Douglas:—

"Whose Service is Perfect Freedom"5/-
The Brief for the Prosecution8/6

(Please allow for postage when remitting.)

From K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LIMITED,
9, AVENUE ROAD,
STRATFORD-ON-AVON.

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd., at 9, Avenue Road, Stratford-on-Avon.
Printed by J. Hayes & Co., (T.U.), Woolton, Liverpool.