

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 35. No. 7.

SATURDAY, MARCH 9, 1957.

Registered at G.P.O. as a Newspaper.
Postage: At home 2d., abroad 1d.

6d. Weekly.

The Development of World Dominion

During the period of the Socialist Administration in Great Britain, following the end of World War II, *The Social Crediter* analysed the activities of that administration in our progress to disaster; and emphasised over and over that a change of administration would not mean a change of policy. The Constitutional issue, philosophy, politics, economics and strategy were examined in the notes under the heading "From Week to Week." Written or inspired by the late C. H. Douglas, these notes are a permanent and invaluable addition to our understanding of the policies of opposed philosophies, and we propose to re-publish a considerable selection of them, both for their relevance to a situation which has developed but not otherwise altered under a 'new' Administration, and for the benefit of new readers of this journal to whom otherwise they are not readily available.

The date of original publication is given in brackets after each item.

• • •

These islands have had many bad Governments—probably on balance many more bad Governments than good ones even by comparison with the low quality of Governments everywhere. But the present very bad Government, or as we prefer to say, Administration, differs from anything which has preceded it in three major qualities. It is not a British Government and does not pretend to be so, in fact its Cabinet Ministers boast that they do not consider the interests of "Britain" any more than those of any other part of the globe. In contrast to, say, Mr. Gladstone or Lord Salisbury, Mr. Shinwell is a World Statesman. The Prime Minister made it quite clear fourteen years ago that the Labour, *i.e.*, Finance-Socialist-Party was an International Party and although not elected by anyone (so far as is publicly known) outside these islands, it was committed to further every alien interest. That of course is a quite logical excuse for the amazing fall in the standard of living, on the material, and the decline of morale on the spiritual plane here as compared with countries whose administrators are at least pretending to mind their country's business primarily. If we are to be treated as Hottentots, we must naturally become Hottentots in self-defence. The second factor in which it is unique is that for the first time, we have an Administration almost purely professional. Not ten *per cent.* of the Socialist Members of Parliament have any experience or knowledge of the matters with which they deal except in the "office" sense, as distinguished from the "field" sense. This phenomenon began with the invasion of Parliament by lawyers in the 19th century.

Anyone with extensive experience of life will instantly grasp the distinction, and as most of the members of the Socialist Party who are honest, and not merely officer seekers, have not this experience, or they would not be Socialists, they are unconscious of its bearing on affairs. And the third factor is that this is by far the most powerful, *i.e.*, highly centralised Administration the world has ever seen outside Germany and Russia.

Now, it appears to be proved beyond argument that Lord Acton, in his much misquoted dictum that all power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely, was enunciating a natural law so that the more powerful a Government is, the more certainly it will deteriorate. All the available evidence goes to prove that German National Socialism always carefully referred to as Nazi-ism started with high ideals, and ended in a bog of corruption. "Russia" obligingly advertises its methods by the periodical purges which diversify the drab existence of the dictating proletariat.

The feature which is really frightening about the condition of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland is the universal corruption which is spreading downwards like a plague. It is only eight years ago that anyone with sixpence in his pocket had an absolutely equal chance of buying a packet of cigarettes with the man who had a ten pound note, if they both wanted cigarettes sold at sixpence. But, passing over the fact that no cigarettes are now sold at sixpence a packet, the important consideration is that you must have a powerful friend who will see that you are served first. It may be a matter of a "priority"—that is the comparatively clean form. It may be the Black Market, or it may be a straight bribe. And it is only necessary to notice the manners of the population to see the effect of the system. (November 23, 1946.)

• • •

There is an unfailing test of political sincerity, and it is in the means to the result aimed at, and not in the nature of the words used to protest it. Does it claim to pay Peter by robbing Paul, or does it indicate to Peter how he can become as rich as Paul, leaving Paul untouched?

We might add that the present Government is consciously aiming at robbing both Peter and Paul, and that if its constituent members do not know it, their place is in a kindergarten for afflicted children, not in positions of usurped power. (November 16, 1946.)

THE SOCIAL CREDITER FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: *Home and abroad, post free:*
One year 30/-; Six months 15/-; Three months 7s. 6d.
Offices—*Business and Editorial:* 11, GARFIELD STREET, BELFAST.
Telephone: *Belfast 27810.*

From Week to Week

There are three keys to an understanding of world politics. The first is a grasp of real economics. All politics *appear* at present to be conditioned by "economic necessities" such as maintaining a satisfactory balance of payments, defeating inflation, maintaining full employment, *etc.* But real economics is concerned primarily with the provision of food, clothing, and shelter. That, out of the resources of the remaining Empire, the provision of food and clothing in plenty is easily available in exchange for British manufactures, is practically never acknowledged. Once ensure the production *and distribution* of adequate food and clothing, and the fundamental problem of economics is solved. Gadgets—some of which are most useful—are an entirely secondary matter; yet the basis of the Common Market stunt is to expand a market for gadgets, so that Full Employment may be maintained as the basis of subsistence for the proletariat.

The second key is knowledge of the structure and functions of secret societies, of which the two most important are Freemasonry and Communism.

The essential feature of a secret society is not its existence; it is that its real direction is hidden. The ostensible governing body is not the real one. The society can be visualised as two triangles arising from a common base, with apices pointing in different directions; but one triangle, the structure of secret control, is drawn, as it were, in invisible ink.

One of the most important functions of a secret society is the communication of what, to use Communist terminology, we know as the "Party line," or what the journalists call a slant. To those who know of its existence, its manifestation, particularly in a time of crisis, is easily recognised when a clamour arises for some suicidal policy; for war at the time of Munich; for a Second Front during the Second War; to withdraw from Suez.

And the third key is the recognition of Jewry as a secret society. Some years ago we republished an excellent article by Edna Lonigan, from *Human Events*, describing the infiltration of the U.S. Government by Communists. If anyone will read that article, substituting Jews for Communists (although the two to a high degree are interchangeable, more particularly in the U.S.) he will quickly gain a comprehension of what we (and the American 'Common People') are up against.

We do not know how much longer it will be possible to defeat this plot. But every day that passes without the institution of a correct economic policy makes our final survival more uncertain—if only because our enemy may have got into a position to explode the globe rather than face defeat. It should not be forgotten that that was a possibility that Douglas, one of the greatest realists of all time, more than once envisaged.

"Members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee reported to-day that letters they are receiving from all parts of the country are eight or nine to one against the 'Eisenhower doctrine' for the Middle East.

"... One Californian wrote:

"... But I'm almost physically sick about the Middle East situation. Our State Department must have been guilty of almost unbelievable mismanagement to have produced such a succession of major mistakes."

—*Daily Telegraph*, February 2, 1957.

But supposing, Mr. Californian, it is not mismanagement? Is it even a credible hypothesis that there has been mismanagement? It is a sound legal maxim that a man is presumed to have intended the consequences of his acts. This is all the more so when the acts are consistent with each other. Mr. Californian may not like State Department policy, but if he will examine the steps which have led to the present Middle East situation, he will see that they form a perfectly coherent policy. And there is worse to come yet.

Reporting on Mr. Duncan Sandys' visit to the U.S., Derek Dale, in *The Daily Express* (February 5, 1957) reports "It is clear that the price Britain must pay for American aid on guided missiles is a speeding of her tie-up with Europe." Price is right.

Ostensibly, the Common Market and European "tie-up" are to enable Britain and the European states to compete more effectively in the "world's markets"—where America competes, you know. Surely America is making an almost unbelievable mistake in insisting on her competitors competing more effectively?

When we have no oil, and our munitions are produced in America, and our sovereignty is located somewhere in 'Europe,' Mr. Eisenhower will be delighted to receive Mr. Macmillan. What the Mayor of New York (the world's largest Jewish city) will do remains to be seen. Or what Mr. Eisenhower will do if the Mayor doesn't.

The real purpose of the Common Market, of course, is not greater efficiency, but less. To help on the general bankruptcy, so that the bailiffs can move in.

Social Credit and Suez

The article under this title, which appeared in two previous issues, is now available in pamphlet form, price 3d.

12 copies @ 2/6. 24 copies @ 4/6.
50 copies @ 8/-. 100 copies @ 15/-.

From K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LIMITED,
11, GARFIELD STREET, BELFAST, N. IRELAND.

The Jewish Fraud on Christianity

THE EXTRACTS WHICH FOLLOW, TOGETHER WITH THE INTRODUCTION BY MAJOR C. H. DOUGLAS, WERE ORIGINALLY PUBLISHED BY US IN 1939.

I have received from a New Zealand correspondent a transcript of a letter from Mme. H. P. Blavatsky to her cousin, Mlle. Fadeef, written from the United States in 1877. It possesses high intrinsic interest, but in addition, illuminates the organic relationship between the incorporation of the so-called Old Testament into the Christian Churches, and the breakdown, alike of those Churches, and the economic and social systems of which they have been the apologists.

It has frequently been said, without carrying much conviction, that Christianity has not failed; it has never been tried. It is my own opinion, which appears to obtain support from the extracts which follow, that it never can be tried until the cancerous philosophy, which has been grafted upon it has been cut out, and its idolators exposed to the contempt which they deserve. C.H.D.

"Where is Truth—what is it?" asked Pilate of the Christ and that is 1877 years ago. Where is it? I, poor sinner, asked and nowhere is it found. Everywhere are found falsehood, deceit, ferocity, and the sad heritage of the Jewish Bible, which burdens the Christians and by which half of the Christian world has stifled even the teachings of the Christ. . . .

The orthodox population is sincere; their faith may be blind, unintelligent, but that faith leads the masses to the good.

An Adept in an Indian body. The Master admits this and He says that the only people in the world whose religion is not a speculation are the orthodox people. . . . What, after all is the essence of all religion?

"Love your neighbour as yourself and God above all." Are these not the words spoken by Jesus? Has he left behind even one single dogma? Has he taught a single one of the thousand articles of faith which the Church fathers have invented afterwards? Not one. On the Cross He prayed for his enemies, and in His Name, as well as in the name of Moloch, 50 to 60 million people have been thrown into the fire and burnt. He spoke against the Jewish sabbath and purposely belittled it, and here, in free America, fines and imprisonment are imposed for the violation of the Sabbath, called "Sabbath Day" although they have altered it to Sunday (the day of the Resurrection). . . .

If we believe in the New Testament, then it is impossible to believe in the Old Testament. Jesus and the Old Testament, and the ancient books are in opposition to each other.

His sermon on the Mount (see the Gospel according to St. Mark) gives a diametrically opposed teaching to the Ten Commandments of Sinai. On the Mount of Sinai, in the Book of Moses, it is said "a tooth for a tooth," and "But I say unto you," etc. . . .

Is not this a revolt against the ancient institutions of the Synagogue? I shall never believe that the absolutely pure personality of the Christ was the son of the Jehovah of the Jews, of that wicked cruel Jehovah, who expressly

arouses cruelty in the heart of Pharaoh and later, strikes him down for it, who tempts the Jewish people, who tempts them personally and who, from behind the clouds, hits them with stones like a Spanish bandit; who materialises himself in a cleft in a rock. If the Christ had believed in Jehovah, he would not have been crucified. Has He ever, even once, pronounced his name?

Jehovah is a mere national God of the Jews, and they would never have admitted that He could have been the God of anyone except the chosen people. (Just as Jazannath with all his cruelties is god of the Hindus.) They are wonderful, the chosen people! Jehovah is simply Bacchus and it can be proved, just as two and two make four. One of the names of Bacchus was Sabaoth, and El, and Bacchus was Dionysos, Dio-nysos, the God of Niza—that is the *Mount of Sinai*, so that the Egyptians called Sinai, Niziel.

And what do we find in the Bible?

"And Moses built an altar on the Mount and named it Jehovah-Nizi." *Exod: XVII, 15.*

We find that all the names of Jehovah belong to heathen gods, all of them, even the last. Solomon has no idea of Jehovah, and David has taken that name from the Phoenicians.

The Jewish nationality is but legend.

There has been no Jewish nation until the second century B.C.; all their books are apocryphal.

Where are the historic documents to prove that their books are original? Which is the first sacred Jewish book of note? The Septuagint. It was translated by order of Ptolemy by 70 translators; who mentions it? Only Josephus the writer, who upholds the Jews with all his might and is a great liar. Why is this story of the 70 translators never mentioned in any book, neither by Greek writers, nor in any archives or documents? Who better than the Greeks and Romans could have made known the deeds of Ptolemy?

If all the divines of the whole world united yet they never would find in any book, or in any record a single word on the "Jews as a nation." Who has ever heard it spoken of? Herodotus, the most exact writer, traveller, historian, whose every word, every indication is now confirmed by archaeology, palaeology, philosophy and all the sciences was born in 484 B.C., he travelled in Assyria and in Babylonia during the life of Cyrus. It is only half a century after the transformation of Nebuchadnezzar into a bull by the prophet Daniel; during seven years that king bellowed like a bull; 42,000 Jews under the guidance of Zerabbabel, returned to Jerusalem (538 B.C., note the date) after their exile to build a temple; Herodotus resided there for a few years; now, he who described so minutely and often with such bothersome detail the reign of Nebuchadnezzar (584 B.C.) after Jerusalem had been taken by him, who wrote of Cyrus, Darius and Artaxerxes, does not allude to this transport of the Jews, to the prophets, nor to any Jew whatsoever.

Except for a few lines where he mentions that the Syrians inhabiting Palestine, have learned the practice of circumcision from the Egyptians—nothing more. Is this possible?

An event like the metamorphosis of a king into a bull by the chief of the Magians—Daniel, would not this at least have been described by other historians as a legend?

Why, if Judah was a nation where Solomon, David, Saul and all those had reigned, is there nowhere in the world an ancient coin with a Hebrew inscription—that is Hebrew coins, although there are a number of Samaritan coins? Would the Jews who hated the Samaritans have consented to use the coins of their enemies and would they not have coined their own money?

Coins, thousands of years old, are found again and again, tombs of those who have lived before Moses, have been opened and some small indication is found confirming their existence. But of the Jewish nation—nothing. Neither tombs, nor coins—nothing. It is as if all had evaporated and had disappeared by magic. Only the Sacred Books remain (the God of which has been killed by the Jews) in which mankind must believe blindly. But of such events as the exodus from Egypt by nearly three million people (as compared to the 70 taken by Jacob 150 years earlier—that means that they must have multiplied quicker than red herrings—think this out according to statistical law!) surely of such events some trace would have been found on monuments for the dead, on tombs or in some ancient scripts. And there is nothing—dead silence!

And then as to the Scriptures—where is historical confirmation of their existence 200 or even 150 years B.C.?

The Hebrew language that is the universal language, called old Hebrew, has never existed, it is a language without a single original root; it is a language composed from Greek, Arabic, and Chaldean parts. I have proved this to Professor Rawson of Yale College.

Take any Hebrew word whatsoever and I shall prove to you that its root is Arabic, Greek or Chaldean.

It is like a harlequin's coat. All the biblical names are composed of foreign words, and they indicate why they have thus been composed. It is an Arabic-Ethiopian dialect with a mixture of Chaldean and the Chaldean comes from Sanskrit.

It has been proved that Babylonia at one time was inhabited by Brahmins and was a school for Sanskrit.

It is ridiculous to demand to believe that the Hebrew manuscripts are ancient revelations of the Word of God.

God would never have written nor dictated anything that would give occasion at the same time for the earth created by Him, mankind, science, *etc.*, to accuse Him of falsehood.

To believe *absolutely* in the Jewish scriptures and to believe at the same time in the Heavenly Father of Jesus is absurd, it is worse, it is sacrilege.

If the Father of Heaven and Earth, and the Father of the whole unlimited Universe had had to write, He would not have allowed mankind to be obliged to accuse Him of contradictions, which are often without sense.

64,900 mistakes have been actually pointed out in the Bible by a "revision" society, and when these mistakes had

been corrected, as many contradictions were still found.

This has all been done by the Jewish Messiah.

Yes, the most learned Rabbis have lost the key to their books and do not know how to correct them. It is well known that the Jews from Liberia constantly amended their Bible, altering words and number, taking these from the Fathers of the Christian Church or accusing them of the evil habit of falsifying the texts and chronology at every discussion, to defeat the opposing party. And that is how they have made a mess of it. For we have no M.S.S. of the Old Testament before the 10th century.

The Codex of the Bodleian is considered to be the oldest. And who can guarantee its exactness? Tischendorf has stated in his history and persuaded the whole of Europe to believe him, that he has found in Sinai, the so-called Codex of Sinai. And as a matter of fact, two other scholars (one of whom is our Theosophist) who have lived in Palestine during several years and on the Mount of Sinai are prepared to prove that such a Codex did not even exist in the library.

They have made investigations during the two years, they have visited all the hidden places with a monk who had lived in the country for 60 years and who had known Tischendorf.

And this monk has sworn that for years he has known every script, every book, but that he had never heard that one spoken of. It is clear that this monk will be made to disappear and as to Tischendorf, the Russian Government has simply deceived him with a falsehood.

[A few years ago the Soviet Government sold the above fraudulent manuscript to England for £100,000.]

"America—Diplomatic Gangster"

For the first time in her history, the United States was openly covetous of possessions outside her own borders, said Mr. Patrick Maitland (Conservative M.P. for Lanark) at Liverpool yesterday.

"In twelve years, the U.S.A. will no longer be sure of enough oil from American continental sources. In twenty-five years she will need many other raw materials outside her borders.

"Here is the new imperialism which is every bit as ruthless as the Russian, though its methods adorn the gangsterism of Chicago with the poker play of elegant diplomacy and combine with moral humbug about doing good."

Our Prime Enemy—America

In Sunderland yesterday, Mr. Paul Williams, (Conservative M.P. for Sunderland South), told local women Tories: "Our prime enemy in the Middle East is not Communism but the United States.

"The Americans are trying to undermine British power. The two great powers are being brought cheek by jowl to one another there and the role of Britain should be to keep them apart."

In the latter years of the war, American policies were designed to break the British Commonwealth, he affirmed.

—*Liverpool Daily Post.*