

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 35. No. 6.

SATURDAY, MARCH 2, 1957.

Registered at G.P.O. as a Newspaper.
Postage: At home 2d., abroad 1d.

6d. Weekly.

The Development of World Dominion

During the period of the Socialist Administration in Great Britain, following the end of World War II, *The Social Crediter* analysed the activities of that administration in our progress to disaster; and emphasised over and over that a change of administration would not mean a change of policy. The Constitutional issue, philosophy, politics, economics and strategy were examined in the notes under the heading "From Week to Week." Written or inspired by the late C. H. Douglas, these notes are a permanent and invaluable addition to our understanding of the policies of opposed philosophies, and we propose to re-publish a considerable selection of them, both for their relevance to a situation which has developed but not otherwise altered under a 'new' Administration, and for the benefit of new readers of this journal to whom otherwise they are not readily available.

The date of original publication is given in brackets after each item.

We are confident that one of the most effective steps to detonate with the minimum of harm to the innocent, the explosion which threatens the world, would be to force a revelation of the negotiations by Rufus Isaacs (Lord Reading) prior to the entry of the United States into the First World War. The downfall of Great Britain was woven into these negotiations; the lamentable state of the Indian sub-continent is directly connected with the appointment of Isaacs as Viceroy and the fundamental policy is, not merely to transfer the effective control of the British Empire to New York, but to make the British people fight the wars which will ensure their own destruction.

There cannot be a more completely false antithesis than that of "Russia or America." The *population* of Russia, and the *population* of the United States are both, and almost equally, the catspaws of the Sanhedrin.

As part, and a very important part, of the culminating phase of this plot, the Emergency Food Control Board next requires ventilation. It is nothing short of amazing that Great Britain, less than fifty years ago the heart of the most powerful Empire the world has ever known, should have put its very existence at the mercy of an alien board sitting in Washington, and that practically without explanation or discussion in either House of Parliament.

The Government of All the Planning Talents has been reconstructed and revived, and the effect has been immediate. The coupons issued by football pools are to be reduced in size. (October 18, 1947.)

"Our first target is Great Britain, even though there may be a general impression that that country is only of secondary importance, and that all our forces should be directed against the United States. It should not be forgotten that Great Britain exerts a strong influence on four continents. Once this influence is extinguished, we shall have the masses at our disposal, and the field of action will be open. Everywhere we shall find allies in our fight against the British octopus, and against the head of that octopus, England herself."—"Marshal" Tito, reported in *Continental News Service*, November 5, 1946.

The nice, friendly, "Marshal" is armed with British guns and munitions, and fed by U.N.R.R.A. (March 22, 1947.)

Only that outstanding characteristic of d'markrzi, hatred of quality, stands in the way of understanding the history of the past hundred years of British history.

The Dark Forces quite correctly recognised that the form of aristocratic tradition which had developed in the British Isles and built up the British Empire was an insuperable barrier to the Slave (?Slav) World. There is no better guide to the realities of this situation than the plough-boy William Cobbett. The problem they set themselves to solve was to retain and increase the powers of the ruling class, substituting fresh bureaucratic names for the offices, and filling them with individuals—the more alien in extraction, the better—who neither possessed the tradition of patriotism, nor inherited the co-terminous culture of Mediaeval, or Christian, Europe. Taxation and encroachment on land was the key, emphasised by Colonel Goldschmidt in 1905. It is grimly significant that the Officers' Club, which was blown up in Jerusalem, was named after him.

We now are living under tyrannies far greater than those dreamed of by that most arrogant aristocracy, unrestrained by any cultural code. The momentum of the old habits of thinking acts as a temporary restraint. One generation would wipe that out as an effective force. (March 22, 1947.)

There is some conscious plan, in which numbers of highly-placed people in this country are conniving, to destroy British credit prestige. Whether it is merely part of The Big Idea, as enunciated by Mr. B. K. Sandwell, of the "Canadian" Broadcasting Company—"it will be necessary to bring all the people to a diminution of their loyalty to the Crown"—we do not know. But it is there for anyone to see. One topical instance of it is in connection with the (Continued on page 3.)

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: *Home and abroad, post free:*
One year 30/-; Six months 15/-; Three months 7s. 6d.
 Offices—*Business and Editorial:* 11, GARFIELD STREET, BELFAST.
 Telephone: *Belfast 27810.*

From Week to Week

“ . . . New York, the largest Jewish city in the world. . . . ”—*Time and Tide*, February 2, 1957.

“ While Mr. Dulles overplays the Communist threat, he shuts his eyes, as American politicians must, to what the Arabs consider the real threat to their dignity and independence—the presence of the State of Israel, a dynamic group of invaders with undisclosed and indefinite ambitions, who have been established in a bridgehead. It is one of the worst failures of British policy over the last ten years that the Arabs blame us rather than the Americans for the problem State of Israel—its swift proclamation, its easy admission to the United Nations, and its successful assumption of the juridical rights of a sovereign Power. All this was, in fact, done by a mixture of local terrorism against British troops and police and successful pressure at Washington, with American Jewry fulminating against Britain. We were fulminated against for being so slow to get out of Palestine, and we let a sovereign State begin the business of unrestricted immigration, at whatever cost in suffering to the local Arab population, which was required to make way for the newcomers. Of late, these new-comers are more and more the Jews from other Arab countries who were not disturbed during the years when Palestine was no more than a national home under the aegis of Britain, but against whom public opinion has turned in the last ten years. No American administration dares to look at Israel in the way all the Arab countries are united in looking at it, as a triumph of ruthless violence at their expense, clearing their fellow Arabs out of their homes because those homes were coveted.”

—*The Tablet*, February 2, 1957.

There seem to us to be some unrehearsed developments in the world crisis. The defect of great plans is their vulnerability to internal break-downs, so that the relatively insignificant activities of Social Crediters have an importance out of all proportion to the effort involved. It is quite likely that the Great Plot will fail; but the danger still remains of a simple collapse of Christian civilisation due to the destruction that has already been engendered. If anything can avert this, it will be the recognition in time, by those in a position to utilise the sanctions to maintain a Christian order, of the origins of disorder. The examination, demanded by Congress, of U.S. Middle East policy over the past ten years, is a hopeful sign. The ability to force such a review in Great Britain is something we badly lack; and if we can get it, the agreements which have put us in the control of the U.S. should see the light of day. It is time to stop muddling through.

Lemmings

It is timely to repeat the Editorial from our issue for September 21, 1946:

It is becoming a matter for serious consideration whether the instinct of the lemmings, the animals which relentlessly swim out to sea in large numbers and are drowned, is not nascent in the human race in a more complex form. No detached observer of the League of Nations in the Armistice years could have any doubt that it was an organisation which was adapted, whether by accident or design, to make war not merely certain but devastatingly general. Every issue which previously might have been a localised issue, became a world crisis; and the sob sisters of the Middle West, to go no deeper, found at Geneva a sounding board effective beyond their wildest dreams. It required a few years for the League to get into its stride; but it is clear that U.N.O. has profited by its instruction, and is off to a flying start.

The subject is one, if admittedly a major, instance of the rapturous folly which has afflicted the world. The root is in the worship of organisation or administration. We are convinced that a select body of really wicked men understands the real nature of organisation, and that very few others have more than the haziest conception of its attributes; and that their efforts are directed to the use of their knowledge to drive the soul out of humanity, leaving a mass of robots as toys with which to play their Satanic games. There are however, other Potencies in the universe, and signs are not wanting that a momentous reaction is at hand.

We have often remarked in these pages and elsewhere on the tendency of events, and the policies from which they appear to proceed, to be exactly opposite to those which would seem rational. There are so many examples in current affairs that almost any activity is an embodiment of the phenomenon—music, morals, art, all exhibit lawless ugliness at a time when the mouthing of World Order is the theme song of every street corner politicians. It is not adventitious; there is some well thought policy in action; and the well-established Communist tactic of attacking, ridiculing and debauching a policy in his enemy which he intends to pursue himself is not unconnected with it.

Organic Growth

Parallel with this automatic expansion the kingdom of the inorganic extends its sway over what is the province of the organic only. Farms can never become factories except by ceasing to be farms. A food-plant pays no attention to discoveries in machinery and chemistry. Technology will not make it germinate, grow and ripen any differently in 1946 than the way it did in 946. To ignore the rule of return in the farm economy of all ages and to gear what is an organism of interdependent parts into a purely urban system of economics, cost-accountancy, international competition and mass-production by the test of financial cheapness is to rule the living by the not-living. For Necessity is only a goddess by courtesy title. She is an idol, not a being, and her realm is that of the inorganic. —H. J. Massingham, in *The Nineteenth Century*, September, 1946.

The Gentile Front

For those who have not been with us for ten years, and for those who have but have not made adequate use of what we said then, the following is republished:

If by some electoral freak it happened that a Parliament consisting entirely of Jews were elected, it is probable that the policy of its Government would be examined far more critically by the public; and if that policy was one which demanded ever-increasing power for the central Government, it would almost inevitably be asked why Jews should exercise special powers over Gentiles. On the basis of the philosophy of Judaism, the Jews would reply (at the right time) that it was because they were chosen by the Lord to bring about a condition of "human unity," where the oneness of mankind would reflect the unity of God.

This is the "one ultimate goal" referred to by Professor Laski *et al.*, to which Socialism and Communism are two roads.

It is improbable that the Jews could obtain a majority vote by the peoples of the world for a mandate to impose on those peoples the Judaic conception of "human unity." But by the simple device of interposing a gentile front between themselves and their aspirations, they have nearly succeeded in their historic policy.

How has it been done? Essentially, by exactly the same methods as the Communist Party rules Russia. Soviet Russia has a complete federal system of government, with all the typical apparatus of government. The Communist Party, however, is a separate and exclusive organisation, in control of the policy of the apparatus of government.

In the same way, there is ample evidence of the existence of what we might call a Jewish Party: a highly centralised organisation which, largely through the technique of money-power, has got itself into the position to control the policies of "governments" everywhere. And just as the Communist Party did not baulk at the starvation of millions of Russians in its determination to collectivise the farms, or at the torture and slaughter of millions more in the purges which consolidated its power, so the Jewish Party did not baulk at the starvation of the depression, or the slaughter of two world wars, all of which play the same part in their strategy as their counterparts played in the Communist strategy.

There is now so much "hard" evidence available on the aims of Russia and Communism that there is no reason whatever for confusion. But public opinion is hopelessly confused and misinformed. This could not possibly be the result of Russia's propaganda. The main channels of propaganda are the mass-circulation daily Press, and broadcasting, both of which play the Communist game to perfection by "impartially" giving "both sides of the question." The Press, and broadcasting, are controlled ultimately through money-power, whose other major activity is to bring about the conditions of chaos in which Communism gains ground.

It is certain that conditions in the world will get steadily worse until there is a show-down; what happens after that depends on the outcome; and the outcome depends almost exclusively at present on us.

The Secret Service

" . . . what we call the Secret Service must be very limited in its scope . . . I don't say that its work is not of enormous interest or that its methods of obtaining information are not marvellous. I'm sure they are. But the information it desires relates entirely to current events. It is merely of the kind to interest the Departments concerned . . . The War Office . . . The Home Office. . . It is not the business of the Secret Service to enquire into the motives or hidden causes of the world's events. . . . It has never attempted to build up a consecutive theory by studying the origin of world movements, for the past does not exist for it . . ."—Julian Sterne: *Secret of the Zodiac*.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF WORLD DOMINION—

(continued from page 1.)

release of energy by nuclear fission, popularly and erroneously termed atomic energy. There is a very large mass of literature of a popular and semi-popular character on this subject, largely transatlantic in origin. In not one single instance which has come to our notice has the name of Professor Soddy been mentioned, and the references to Lord Rutherford, where they occur, are generally smothered in those to Dr. Niels-Bohr. Professor Chadwick does get attention; but fades into obscurity behind that scion of ancient British stock, Dr. Leo Szilard. It is unnecessary to indicate the racial origin of most of the decorated. Perhaps, as the result of their combined researches, it doesn't matter. The subsequent proceedings may interest us no more and the remainder of our tenure of this planet may be short and nasty. With the kind of world our Planners are determined to give us, perhaps it's all for the best. (June 1, 1946.)

• • •

There is a well-known technique, especially popular in what passes for statesmanship in these days, which consists in raising a question which it is not desired to solve, suggesting a solution which can be confidently expected to fail, and if pressed, applying this "remedy" so that the problem may be declared insoluble.

We are solidly convinced that both in 1914 and 1939, the German people were the catspaws of the Jews and notably of the Jews who carried to New York their German interests.

The anti-Jew part of Hitler's policy, which, merely consisted of an irreducible minimum, stands out as an instance, and even the merest infant in politics can see that, strong as the Jews were during the Armistice years, the war has made them tenfold stronger, and the downfall of the British is their fixed, clearcut and immediate aim. A solution has to be found. There is no more probability of settling this problem by appeasement than of dealing with exactly the same problem, promoted by exactly the same people, as it became "anthropomorphosed" in "the Kaiser," "that man Hitler" and now "Stalin." There is not room on this earth for the Diaspora, the world-wide Fifth Column, and the rest of us.

Palestine is not the solution and does not pretend to

be—it is merely an exacerbation. Madagascar might be, with a world-wide code of Nuremberg Law.

The Jews are unassimilable; and every attempt has led straight to disaster. (June 1, 1946.)

• • •

“As a result, the years 1920-40 in England saw political power transferred from what had been the rather *large* upper and upper middle class which had English culture ingrained in it, and worked through Parliament and through pressure on public opinion, to a much *smaller* ruling caste which at the same time held the key positions in industry, finance and politics—a class to whose members, most of them very recently ennobled, the name ‘Pluto-Democrats’ can well be applied.” (Emphasis in original.)—T. R. Fyvel: *The Malady and the Vision*, p. 161.

The book from which the preceding, penetrating quotation is taken, is written by a German-born Jew schooled in England. In consequence, it is hardly fair to expect in it an advertisement of the fact that the whole structure of the pluto-democracy to which reference is made is Jewish in conception and control and that a Zionist Jew, Mond, was its architect in “Britain.” But perhaps the most interesting aspect of an interesting book is the obvious nostalgia for the dying English culture combined with venomous hatred for its individual exponents. Mixed with this, is that genuine inability to assess British qualities exemplified in such comment as “a number of brilliant new political figures, Ramsay MacDonald, Snowden, Henderson, Maxton, had been thrown to the top.” “Thrown” is *le mot juste*. With the exception of the last named, we have had considerable conversation with all of them, and we have no hesitation in saying that a stone-mason’s chisel is the only argument which might have inserted an idea into their heads. That is the explanation of their rise, and is the complementary aspect of pluto-democracy. (June 1, 1946.)

• • •

A national culture is the soul of a people, and the idea that a people can lose its soul and retain its identity is of a piece with the rest of dialectical materialism. That the English can adopt negro cacophony, Hollywood ideals of manners and morals, Jewish economics and monopolistic politics and Masonic “theology” and still remain “English” is a naive conception which, in an elementary fashion, any motor car manufacturer would deride. Mr. Henry Ford tried to make Ford cars and the equivalent of the Rolls-Royce under one control. The Ford didn’t approximate to the Rolls-Royce; but Mr. Ford’s attempt at the Rolls-Royce closely approximated to the Ford. This country has no genius for “mass” conceptions—even its industrial ability is individualistic; and of course, we are told from every quarter that the brave new world has no use for that kind of thing. Maybe so; and with it, good-bye to England.

We have a reinforced conviction that if the general principles of Social Credit finance had been adopted when they were first suggested, the cartelisation of Great Britain would have been defeated. But the malady is much graver now, and probably only the surgeon offers an effective solution. (June 1, 1946.)

The Sibyl

Edge number 2, the new Australian monthly (436, Nepean Road, East Brighton, S.6, Melbourne, edited by Noël Stock) consists of *The Sibyl* by Thaddeus Zielinski, translated into English for the first time.

We often hear how the Roman roads and the Greek language prepared for the spread of Christianity, but Zielinski’s concern was with the religious and psychological preparation. “Nothing,” he wrote, “can gainsay the fact that the Christian religion, born in the bosom of Judaism, has been eliminated by the very people who would appear to have begotten it, while all other nations who belonged to the Roman Empire have accepted it . . . you are forced to admit as the only possible explanation, on one side, a state of soul favourable to receiving Christianity; on the other, the contrary.”

His chapter on the fear of God and the love of God is as notable as any, as it includes a personal experience during the summer of 1919, when he was staying in a village which some Red Army soldiers invaded. They massacred the peasants, and his host’s wife pleaded for her husband in a familiar Russian phrase, “Be afraid of God,” to which the soldier replied in the words of the Cyclops, “We do not recognise your God.”

He deals with Hellenistic religion and with the prophecy of the Sibyl with the ablest scholarship, and illustrates his findings through the parable of the sower, some of whose seed fell among thorns, some of which fell on good ground. “No doubt is possible about this seed. History tells us what happened. The first seed fell on the arid soil of Judea and came up in the stunted growth of the Ebionites, soon stifled by pharisaic thorns; while the second fell on the fertile soil of the hellenic world and brought forth the hundredfold fruit of complete Christianity, which antiquity bequeathed to the middle ages.”

He brings his historical survey down to the time of Augustus when many believed that the Sibyl’s prophecy of a new golden age was fulfilled. Yet the renewal took place without the Emperor being aware of it and was “decisive in quite a different way” from the coming of the new Caesar. Zielinski heads his last brief chapter with a quotation from the Christian sequence; *Teste David cum Sibylla*, “witness David and the Sibyl.”

The dangers of exclusive reliance on the Old Testament, as in the cases of Cromwell and Calvin, are notorious, and here we have an antidote. I have myself noticed that Cicero provided an ethical preparation for Christianity in his work on “Duties,” a book that was once popular reading. Possibly these are some of the reasons why the classics are dropping out of use, because they prepare students for a Christian and sensible approach to life’s problems, instead of the fantasies of the economists and the sweet nothings of “popular religion.” We may at least hope that competent theologians will examine Zielinski’s thesis and readjust the balance, as far as Christianity is concerned, between Ancient Religion and Judaism. H.S.