

THE SOCIAL CREDITOR

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 35. No. 23.

SATURDAY, JUNE 29, 1957.

Registered at G.P.O. as a Newspaper.
Postage: At home 2d., abroad 1d.

6d. Weekly.

The Great Betrayal

by C. H. DOUGLAS

(Originally published in *The Social Creditor* in 1948)

IV.

Perhaps it is desirable, at this stage, to bring again into prominence the practical importance of recognising the world's ills as the result of a long-term policy. A skilful propaganda to the contrary has been linked with anti-Christianity. Reference to the subject has been made before, but, *e.g.*, the course of events in Alberta demonstrates that it will bear constant recall.

The first point on which to be clear is that if we are *not* faced with a long-term policy, our position is quite hopeless. If every step in the industrial arts merely confronts us with more devastating wars, more restrictions and controls, and, except in the United States, a lower standard of life, mankind is so hopelessly perverse that his only tolerable future lies in early annihilation, more especially in view of our decreasing (average) intelligence. But if we are facing a Satanic policy, our position, although very serious, is not necessarily irremediable. But we must first face the facts. No policy, no cure. Clear policy, clear problem. A problem clearly stated is half solved. The second aspect of this situation is equally indisputable. Policies *in vacuo* are a contradiction in terms. Policies embody strategies; you do not fight a strategy, you fight the human beings who are carrying out that strategy. "It's the system we're fighting not men" is one of those half-truths which are of the greatest assistance to the Enemy Generals.

Akin to this is the "anti-anti" or "anti-negative" propaganda. Without attaching too much importance to the fact that a double negative is a positive (*i.e.*, an anti-anti Jew or Russian is, by definition, a pro-Jew or Russian, not a neutral) it is fairly obvious that the main use of this technique is to stampede the innocent into disclosing their position, thus being put on the defensive. The best defence is attack. Do you propose to allow your enemy a monopoly of it?

This raises the question of (a) The inimical objective; (b) The Enemy troops.

For clarity and brevity it would be difficult to improve on St. Matthew iv, 8-9; "And the devil taketh him up into an exceeding high mountain, and sheweth him all the kingdoms of the world, and the glory of them. And saith unto him, All these things will I give thee, if thou wilt fall down, and worship me." That is an offer of World Dominion, on condition of the acceptance of collectivism—the worship of the group idea.

In these days we are fortunate in one thing, if in no more. We can actually see and read in our daily paper that the devil's offer has been accepted, and two attempts, the League of Nations, and U.N.O. have been set up. By their fruits, ye shall know them.

Now as to the troops. As Mr. Jaques so clearly brought out in his speech, much, and probably the most important part of the organisation of the World State is financial and industrial—the control of credit and raw materials.

While it is difficult to deny the existence of such organisations as the international chemical trust, the World Bank and international monetary fund and similar world cartels, because they are visible to the eye and mentioned in the newspapers, their relation to the world state is not so visible and not so easily exposed. But if we grasp the fact that the essence of Communism, which is the politics of the World State, is centralised vesting of the planet in an organisation expropriating and cutting across all local and personal sovereignty, we cannot be much in error if we identify internationalists, open or concealed, with treason to the individual and his race and country. In an earlier part of the speech by Mr. Jaques to which reference has been made, he remarked, "The hon Member for Macleod said, if I remember correctly, that there are just two kinds of people in the Civil Liberties Association (a Canadian "Red Front"), traitors and stooges, the dupes." *Caeteris paribus*, it appears to me to be true that any organisation which is working to transfer sovereignty from those who are associated under a national constitution, to those who have secretly concocted an international constitution by the misuse of national resources, whether those persons are working inside or outside the country, are enemies of, and traitors to, believers in the national conception. Their motives may be diverse and obscure; but when you see an enemy soldier, obviously working for your destruction, you do not investigate his motives, you shoot.

There are myriads of organisations which are working to destroy nationality (*not* Stateship) ranging from the highly "respectable" Royal Institute of International Affairs openly financed by cartels (Chatham House, whose secretary, Dr. Toynbee, said "we are working secretly, but with all our might, to undermine the sovereignty of our respective nations") to the hundreds of Communist shop-stewards in industry working like musk-rats to cripple and disrupt local

(Continued on page 2.)

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: *Home and abroad, post free:*
One year 30/-; Six months 15/-; Three months 7s. 6d.
 Offices—*Business and Editorial:* 11, GARFIELD STREET, BELFAST.
Telephone: Belfast 27810.

“Men . . . Have Made Us Believe”

“ . . . The notion that two such highly placed persons as a King and an Archbishop should have been members of a heretical movement, and one with such pagan affiliations, will certainly come as a jolt to many readers. Some of them will probably dislike the idea for the general reason that its concealment until now implies a very successful conspiracy in the past to hide the facts. If this thesis is true, they will feel, then, both we and the historians have been very effectually hoaxed—and English people in particular are perhaps inclined to resent the supposition that they could be the victims of a highly skilled plan to put them off the scent. There have been, in my opinion, several such successful conspiracies in history and literature. We should not rule them out of court because to admit them as successful means to acknowledge that we have been misled by a sustained and astute co-operative effort in planned intelligence. I am labouring this point that we moderns and especially modern Englishmen should not impatiently brush aside a somewhat esoteric account of historic incidents out of the pride which will not admit that men in the past have made us believe for a long time what they wanted us to believe. . . .”

—Canon V. A. Demant. (*Preface to Mr. H. Ross Williamson's "The Arrow and the Sword."*)

Secret Societies

“I believe this secret Atheistic organisation (Freemasonry) to be nothing less than the evil against which we have long been warned by our Blessed Lord Himself as the supreme conflict between the Church and Satan's followers. It is the commencement of the contest which must take place between Christ and Anti-Christ . . .”

“The Abbé Lefranc believes (*Le Voile Levé*, Lyons, 1821) that Oliver Cromwell was a Socinian, and that he introduced Freemasonry into England . . . He gave its members in England, as Mgr. Segur tells us, the title of Freemasons.

“Mgr. Segur, moreover, connects modern Freemasonry with the Jews and Templars, as well as with Socinus. There are reasons which lead me to think that he is right in doing so . . .”—Monsignor George F. Dillon, D.D., in *Edinburgh*, October, 1884.

THE GREAT BETRAYAL—(continued from page 1.)
 control. And, it should be remembered—there is a lucrative career in it.

The “Canadian” Broadcasting Corporation is notor-

ously “Red,” and the genealogy of its parent organisation, the “B.”B.C., as well as its peculiar form of extra-national management and its link with the patent monopoly of the Marconi network, can best be viewed as the functionalised monopoly of information. The London School of Economics has linked internationalists (frequently, but not always, Jews) in key positions in Canada, Australia, and New Zealand.

So far as the population of these islands is concerned, the triumphs of the traitors have produced swift and spectacular results. Mr. Attlee's Administration claims that there are more persons gainfully employed (*i.e.*, being paid paper money) than ever before. Not only that, but they are (so it is said) more efficiently directed, using more power and better machines and methods than would have been deemed possible in the time.

To which I should myself comment that not ten *per cent.* of the population is really better off, by which I mean has more control over its material and spiritual destiny, than it had fifty years ago, and this includes the New Kommissary, and ninety *per cent.* are not merely worse off, but their prospects are infinitely worse. That is where they have been brought by “traitors and stooges,” in an era of unparalleled advancement in the industrial arts, directly and solely due to individual initiative.

If that were all, it would be serious enough. But the basic wealth of a nation is in its intangibles. It is faith which moves mountains, and the decline of faith is perhaps the most noticeable change in the general population. No-one believes in anything or anyone, any more. Let us eat, drink, and be merry in the Black Market, for tomorrow one more big racket will be put over on us. So far from the consumer being always right, he no longer has any rights, he merely has a few coupons. He doesn't give his orders to the shopkeeper, he gets what the “Co-operative” decides to let him have, of any quality or none, and if he doesn't like it, he mustn't throw it away—it's an “offence.”

And if any explanation is required or given; it's the export drive. He is told to save, and his savings are taken off him by Government-stimulated rising prices, taxation, and down-right confiscation. He “buys” a house, and pays ten times what his grandfather did for the same house, and finds he hasn't bought it; it can be, and is, “requisitioned” at the instance of any obscure alien in a selected bureau. Until it is, and sometimes after, he pays in rates and taxes more than the original rent, for the privilege of “owning” it. If he murmurs, one of his conquerors tells him that “we” don't intend an Englishman's home to be his castle. In fact, “we” will see that it isn't. Why not emigrate? “We've plenty to replace you, and we are replacing you—you've served your turn.”

(To be continued.)

Social Credit and Suez

by BRYAN W. MONAHAN.

12 copies @ 2/6. 24 copies @ 4/6.
 50 copies @ 8/-. 100 copies @ 15/-.

From K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LIMITED,
 11, GARFIELD STREET, BELFAST, N. IRELAND.

The Development of World Dominion

During the period of the Socialist Administration in Great Britain, following the end of World War II, *The Social Crediter* analysed the activities of that administration in our progress to disaster; and emphasised over and over that a change of administration would not mean a change of policy. The Constitutional issue, philosophy, politics, economics and strategy were examined in the notes under the heading "From Week to Week." Written or inspired by the late C. H. Douglas, these notes are a permanent and invaluable addition to our understanding of the policies of opposed philosophies, and we propose to re-publish a considerable selection of them, both for their relevance to a situation which has developed but not otherwise altered under a 'new' Administration, and for the benefit of new readers of this journal to whom otherwise they are not readily available.

The date of original publication is given in brackets after each item.

When, if, ever, the *true* history of these times comes to be written, the feature of them which must impress the historian is that of selective and controlled publicity.

When D'Israeli, with that peculiar inability of the Jew to avoid the risk of a boast, wrote: "And so, my dear Coningsby, you see that the world is governed by far other than those whom the public believe to be its rulers," He must have known or assumed that his statement of fact would not penetrate any mind of consequence which was not aware of it already. And so, much later, in 1852, he again wrote:

"It was neither parliaments nor populations, nor the course of nature, nor the course of events, that overthrew the throne of Louis Phillipe. The throne was surprised by the Secret Societies, ever prepared to ravage Europe." —*Lord George Bentinck*, Benjamin D'Israeli, p. 552. And the general population paid just as much, or as little, attention as it did to the clear warning contained in *Coningsby*.

Thanks to the fact that they appeared under the auspices of *The Morning Post* and its courageous editor, the Honourable Rupert Gwynn, perhaps the last of his kind, *The Protocols of Zion* did attract a certain amount of attention when they first appeared, but not nearly so much as a current tip for the Derby. And in fact, there is nothing in the *Protocols* which was not known to any serious student of the matters with which they deal, although (and that is why they arouse so much fury) they do contain a handy and understandable synthesis of matter which must otherwise be gathered from widely differing, apparently unrelated, and mostly uncatalogued sources. What many readers of them do not grasp is that "Big Business," Socialist Government, and World Politics are merely components of Jewish Freemasonry.

Five minutes' consideration of this subject, which is either pure moonshine or the most vital subject which affects us on earth, ought to convince anyone that a ballot-democracy can only be advocated by two kinds of persons—the abysmally ignorant or the consciously traitorous.

(June 4, 1949.)

Practically every visitor to these shores who does not come with some special interest to exploit, is struck by the sullen apathy of the general population. Words, written or spoken, are just sales talk for another gold brick.

The News-Film for January, in recording the assassination of Ghandi refers to him as "the loftiest soul in Asia, the greatest spiritual force of the last two thousand years." This is A.D. 1948.

Is it really necessary to look further for the explanation of the apathy of a population which is fed on this kind of stuff? We are more than ever convinced that if Ghandi had not contacted Smuts in South Africa, and conveniently transferred his activities to an arena in which they served the ends of Wall Street, instead of hindering them, he would have remained amongst the millions of Hindus whose one consuming ambition is to argue before an audience, whether in Court or "Conference." Which aspect of Ghandi is of vital interest to the British? (March 20, 1948.)

The interests in which General Smuts has laboured may be gathered from the comment of Mr. H. C. Armstrong in his informative *Grey Steel*. J. C. Smuts, a Study in *Arrogance* that he left his native country in 1916, "in a volley of curses" and arrived in the U.S.A. "in a whirlwind of applause." We look forward confidently to a panegyric from the "B."B.C. when and if General Smuts should prove to be mortal, that he was the greatest statesman since Julius Caesar, a military genius by comparison with whom Napoleon was a fumbling amateur, and a tireless labourer in the interests of the British Empire, and its transfer to suitable ownership. (March 20, 1948.)

Professor G. D. H. Cole is one of those individuals, by no means uncommon amongst Socialists, who provide a complete demonstration of intellectualism in the worst sense. His steady progress from one comfortable position of detachment from the cares of life, to another, is evidence that his value as an infective agency is, with many other professors in Great Britain, Australia and elsewhere, fully recognised. Evidently an industrious fellow, he has never given evidence of having an original idea in his life; if our memory serves us, he left the Fabian Society when it appeared, or he was perhaps advised, that a form of Guild Socialism which later appeared as genuine Fascism in Italy under the titular leadership of Mussolini would be the winning horse, and rejoined it when, not necessarily *post hoc, ergo propter hoc*, it became obvious that the State Capitalism of Russia was to be in the pattern of our discontents.

The vogue of the political professors began, of course, with Woodrow Wilson in the United States, and was so startlingly successful as a disruptive influence that Roosevelt, controlled from the same sources filled the Washington Departments with people such as Tugwell and Moley, and dozens less well known.

There are probably many more capable, well-meaning, and estimable members of the Faculties of British Universities than political climbers. But we believe their

number is diminishing, because it is becoming obvious, as it became obvious in Hitler's Germany, that the subject in which to specialise, with quick results, is The Party.

(June 18, 1949.)

“For the parallels between the Roman world of the Fourth Century and Western civilisation of the Twentieth are obvious and melancholy. Both are darkened by the presage of decay and dissolution. There is in each the sense of physical and spiritual enervation; the same conscious dread of unassimilable peoples beyond the limits of the civilisation, but pressing with ever greater energy against its walls. There is the same sterility of art. There is a decline of rational philosophy, a revival of magic, a proliferation of superstitions, an eccentricity of manners and dress. But it is, I believe, straining the parallel to perceive, as Professor Hadas does, in Fourth Century Christianity an almost perfect counterpart to Twentieth Century Communism; that is, ‘a revolutionary social doctrine’ propagated by a fanatical faction whose members possessed the ‘religious certainty that their end justified their means.’”—J. M. Lalley, in a review.

It is highly significant that the main, if not the sole cause of the Fall of the Roman Empire, the inflation caused by monetary manipulation aided by the influx of silver from Africa and the aliens who accompanied it, is not mentioned, or the financial roguery of the present time which is repeating its effects. We have no doubt that the reviewer we quote is as honest as he is capable; the fraudulent book-keeping which will wreck any Empire has simply been erased from the story with which he has to deal. The subtle attack on Christianity suggests a source easily identifiable.

A good deal of verbiage on the breakdown of U.N.O. is given unrestricted publicity. It is specifically laid down in its constitution that it must not interfere in internal politics.

But have you heard anything of the breakdown of the International Bank, which controls internal politics completely?

(June 25, 1949.)

According to the *New York Herald-Tribune*, Mr. George Bernard Shaw finds Russia the most interesting country in the world, not excepting his own (we are not sure which has the honour of his ownership).

Mr. Shaw is a brilliant and interesting playwright. As a politician and political economist, he is and always has been not merely a crashing bore, but rather a repulsive “poseur.” If he feels that Russia would suit his talents, and bear with his eccentricities better than England, why in the name of common sense does he not join his friends there?

(July 2, 1949.)

While we are satisfied (as the result of observation, information, and experience covering a period of thirty years devoted to attention to the state of the world as un-

biased by pre-occupations as is humanly possible) that there is something operative at such high levels that it corresponds to the doctrinal concept of Anti-Christ, it would nevertheless be both childish and misleading to overlook the embodiment of this doctrine in the large industrial manufacturers, now so interwoven with the large financial institutions, banks, insurance companies, issuing houses, and so forth that it is becoming accurate, as previously it was not, to speak of “the industrial system.”

So far as Great Britain is concerned (and we strongly suspect that “our plan” of the U.S. New Deal and Israel Moses Sieff stem from the same source) the Mond-Turner conferences held when the trades unions had been disciplined by the General Strike, were the first *concrete* step towards the organisation of the whole population under a new type of government far more tyrannous and powerful than anything the world has ever seen. It is only necessary to note the immense increase in the power and wealth of the industrial undertakings represented at those conferences and the complacent attitude of the Federation of British Industries to realise that they were the most gigantic conspiracy against a free public which, outside Russia, the world has ever known.

We do not wish to be misunderstood; we quite realise that the industrialists had been almost intolerably provoked by the antics of “labour,” and were, for the most part very single-track minds; but they did the devil's work and we have little doubt, will be held accountable for it. In the meantime, however, with their nominees, the “Socialist Government” and selected bureaucrats, they are doing quite nicely at our expense. Any idea that the ballot-box, as operated can worry them is beneath contempt.

(June 4, 1949.)

BOOKS TO READ

By C. H. Douglas:—

The Brief for the Prosecution	8/6
“Whose Service is Perfect Freedom”	5/-
Social Credit	3/6
The Big Idea	2/6
Programme for the Third World War	2/-
The “Land for the (Chosen) People” Racket	2/-
The Realistic Position of The Church of England	8d.
Realistic Constitutionalism	8d.
Money and the Price System	7d.
The Use of Money	7d.
The Tragedy of Human Effort	7d.
The Policy of a Philosophy	7d.
Security, Institutional and Personal	6d.
Reconstruction	6d.

From K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LIMITED,

11, GARFIELD STREET, BELFAST, N. IRELAND.