

# The Social Credit Challenge

For Political and Economic Realism

Volume 1 — No. 7

Edmonton, Alberta, November 5th, 1948

Subscription: \$5.00 yearly  
(Including Information Service)

## Byrne Report Released by S.C. Board

### S.C. LEAGUE OFFICIAL "EXPLAINS"

Our readers are now aware from reports which have appeared in the daily press, that A. V. Bourcier, Social Credit Member of the Legislature for Lac Ste. Anne, has been refused membership in the Alberta "Social Credit" League.

For the benefit of those who wish to know why this startling action was taken, we reproduce the full text of the interchange of correspondence between A. V. Bourcier and the President of the League.

\* \* \*

October 25, 1948

Mr. R. D. Jorgenson, M.L.A.  
9974 Jasper Avenue  
Edmonton, Alberta.

Dear Mr. Jorgenson:

On Saturday last, I called at the office of the Social Credit League, and since my membership had expired I applied for a renewal. I was informed that the office had been instructed by you to refuse my application. As this is so serious a matter and the consequences can be so far reaching, I am writing to you immediately for confirmation. I assume, of course, that if this step has been taken, you were instructed by the provincial executive to that effect.

I have been a member of the Social Credit League since its inception, and moreover, a Social Credit member of the Legislature since 1935. Under the circumstances, the executive must have valid reasons for refusing membership to one who has played a prominent part in the Social Credit Movement for many years. You will, therefore, realize that it is necessary that you write to me without delay informing me regarding what action has been taken by the provincial executive and the reasons for such action.

If your office has properly carried out the instructions of the provincial executive, it will then be my duty to inform the executive of my constituency organization. Consequent publicity of the whole matter cannot possibly be avoided. I am, therefore, taking no action while awaiting an early reply and hope that by so doing this matter may be settled in the best interests of the Social Credit movement.

Yours truly,

A. V. BOURCIER, M.L.A.

(Please turn to page 2)

### Now Available to The Public

The full text of the Report to the Social Credit Board by L. D. Byrne, which led to his dismissal from his post of Deputy-Minister of Economic Affairs, is now available to the public. The report was released by A. V. Bourcier, Chairman of the Social Credit Board.

The following extracts from the report will indicate its importance to Social Crediters:

A copy of this Report has been sent to all subscribers of the D.S.C.C. Information Service. Copies may be obtained by sending 25c to cover mailing costs to The Secretary, D.S.C.C., Ste. 12-11402 95 st., Edmonton, Alberta.

2. It is my considered opinion that any action taken to further the objectives of Social Credit and to deal with the far-reaching political and economic problems confronting Canadians is foredoomed to failure, besides being likely to prove dangerous, unless it is based upon the following factual considerations:

a. That the issue in the world at the present time is Christendom versus Zionism; that the present plight of the remnants of our civilization is the result of a deliberate and deep-seated conspiracy to enslave humanity under a World Police State pursued through the policy of monopoly, manifested in international finance, socialism and communism, and rooted in political Zionism.

b. That war, revolution and economic collapse on a world scale are being promoted deliberately for the purpose of creating a situation out of which will emerge an international slave state modelled on the USSR.

c. That the approaching war and universal turmoil is but a matter of months distant—not years as current propaganda would have us believe.

d. That the instability of the U.S. and Canadian economies, combined with the powerful communist-socialist-monopoly-finance fifth column pursuing a common policy, constitute a situation of appalling peril.

e. That the conditions with which the Social Credit Movement is confronted are fundamentally different to those ex-

(Please turn to page 3)

### ZIONIST TERROR TACTICS

#### Russian Type Plane With Red Cross Markings Used

(From the Daily Telegraph,  
Sept. 24th, 1948)

AMMAN, Thursday.— David Woodford, Special Correspondent of "The Daily Telegraph" in the Middle East, and John Nixon, BBC correspondent in the Middle East, were killed this afternoon when an Arab Airlines' Rapide in which they were travelling was shot down in flames by an Israeli fighter. An Arab passenger was killed.

The Arab plane was going from Beirut, in the Lebanon, to Amman. The Jewish fighter, described as being of the Russian Yak type, opened fire in the Tiberias area of Northern Palestine and pursued the Arab plane into Transjordan territory for about 18 miles.

There were five passengers on board the aircraft; the two journalists, an American, Mr. Sellers Ovid, Director of Oriental Research in Jerusalem, and two Arabs.

The plane was flying at 6,000 feet when Gordon Nowar, the British pilot, saw the fighter. It had Red Cross markings on the wings.

The fighter attacked and opened fire. The port engine was hit and burst into flames . . .

The pilot, Mr. Nowar, said that when the attack was made he tried to get into radio contact with the fighter. He sent a message that his passengers were all civilians and that to save innocent lives he would land in Jewish territory if necessary.

"The only reply was more bullets," he said, "so I went all out into Transjordan territory. We crashed out of control."

A communique issued here said that the Jewish plane continued firing at the Arab machine until it hit the ground. The Iraqi Army, which is occupying the area, did not fire on the Jewish plane, supposing it to be a United Nations machine.

## THE Social Credit Challenge

Official organ of the Edmonton Council of the Douglas Social Credit Movement of Canada which is a Non-class, non-party organization devoted to political and economic realism in Canada

Subscription (including Information Service) \$5.00 per year; foreign, 50c extra; six months, \$2.50; single copy, 20c

Address subscriptions and correspondence to:

The Social Credit Challenge  
Suite 12  
11402-95th Street  
Edmonton, Alberta

Published twice monthly at  
Edmonton, Alta.

## The Secret Ballot

It is now many years since Social Crediters believed that they had only to propagate the truth about the financial system to secure its reform. It appeared to be a not unreasonable hope, and they went about their task with such enthusiasm and effect that in a surprisingly short period of time they had effectively re-orientated public opinion on the nature of the money and its manipulators. Unfortunately, the answer to this tactic was too simple. It was only necessary, and it was done, to put the authority of Governments behind the monopoly of credit. The resulting Monopoly has such command of propaganda as almost to exclude competition; and this propaganda is directed to the manipulation of ballot-box majorities so as to secure a 'mandate' for any chosen villainy.

In these circumstances, reform of the money system is out of the question. Nothing can be done until the alliance of political and economic power is broken; and that means the abolition of the secret ballot.

"I am aware," wrote Sydney Smith, a Canon of St. Paul's, more than a hundred years ago, "that it is of the greatest consequence to the constituent that he should be made acquainted with the conduct of his representative; but I maintain, that to know, without the fear of mistake, what the conduct of individuals has been in their fulfilment of the great trust of electing members of Parliament, is also of the greatest importance in the formation of public opinion; and that, when men act in the dark, the power of distinguishing between the bad and the good would be at an end."

There seems to be only one argument in favour of the secret ballot, and that is to prevent intimidation. But intimidation is a criminal act, to be met by the open enforcement of law. The Communists perform one of their innumerable services to the Socialists by discrediting the open ballot; but that game is obvious enough and should be challenged for what it is. It is now more important to gain open, responsible voting, than it ever was to secure the Just Price.

— The Australian Social Crediter

## Party Politics

The results of the recent Federal by-election, as reported in the Press, were:

Algoma East (87 out of 105 polls)  
Pearson (Liberal) 4,493; Callahan (CCF) 2,107; Fitzgerald (S.C.) 1,061.

Rosthern (111 out of 113 polls)  
Boucher (Liberal) 6,117; Makaroff (CCF) 3,193; Beilhartz (SC) 2,313.

## LEAGUE OFFICIALS "EXPLAIN"

(Continued from page 1)

October 27, 1948

Mr. A. V. Bourcier, M.L.A.  
Edmonton, Alberta.  
Dear Sir:

I have for acknowledgement your letter of October 25th.

In the matter of your application for membership in the Alberta Social Credit League, inasmuch as your public attacks of the policies of the League and the Social Credit Government which the League supports have made it quite clear that you are not in harmony with the League or its policies I consider it my duty as President of the League to refuse your application for membership pending reference of the same to the Provincial Executive of the League.

It is my intention to place this matter before the Provincial Executive at the earliest possible date.

Sincerely,  
(signed) R. D. JORGENSEN,  
President  
Alberta Social Credit League.

\* \* \*  
October 27, 1948

Mr. R. D. Jorgenson, M.L.A.  
9974 Jasper Avenue  
Edmonton, Alberta.

Dear Mr. Jorgenson:

Many thanks for your prompt reply to my letter of October 25th. I understand from it, that you instructed the League office to refuse my application for membership, entirely on your own initiative and not on the instructions of the Provincial Executive to whom you will now refer the matter.

You accuse me of publicly attacking the policies of the League and the Social Credit Government. In all fairness, you should advise me when, where and how this has taken place, as I cannot recall any such attack. During the recent election, I supported the Administration and during the past thirteen years have always voted confidence in the government on every occasion such a vote was taken in the Legislature. I have always believed, however, that it was the duty of a democratic representative of the people to constructively criticize all policies which affect the welfare of the people of this province. I have done that and will continue to do so. I believe it to be my duty to press the Administration on every possible occasion to adopt progressive policies and measures in harmony with the principles of Social Credit. I have been an uncompromising supporter of the Social Credit movement ever since it began in this province, but it is apparently because my views on Social Credit do not coincide with yours that you have withheld the granting of my membership in the League. Your action in this matter makes a mockery of the democratic principles in which you profess to believe.

Through you, therefore, I am requesting the Provincial Executive to seriously consider the consequences of the step you have taken. I hereby apply for membership in the Alberta Social Credit League and would suggest consideration of this matter at an early date as it is becoming increasingly difficult for me to keep this matter from the press since rumors are already circulating.

Yours truly,  
A. V. BOURCIER, M.L.A.

## READER'S LETTERS

Editor, Challenge,

Sir: To say that the world was shocked at the outrageous assassination of Count Bernadotte would be putting it mildly. The whole world should have been outraged and adamant at a diabolical inhuman crime!—A Peace Emissary of the Whole World murdered in cold blood is beyond the reasoning of decent God fearing human beings. It is time the peoples of the earth awakened to the Danger of Rule by Gangster Terrorism. To say that his murderers are of an extremist gang only, does not paint the true picture any longer. We have the proof of it in Russia during the revolution of mass murders and at present in the systematic and indiscriminate destruction of the civilian Arab population, villages and property in Palestine. Their work is part of a well laid plan to drive fear and terror into the hearts of peace-loving people, wherever they decide to dictate and rule.

## "PEOPLES FRONT"

The murder of Lord Moyne, the Bomb letters to His Majesty, the King, and to Foreign Minister Bevin, the bombing of the King David Hotel, the hanging of the three British soldiers, the bomb letter to Captain Farran.—The intimidation of all people who do not agree and submit to the demand of these gangster methods are proof (if proof is needed) that these so-called "extremist Groups—Stern, and now a new one "Peoples Front," etc., of the Zionist organizations are part and parcel of this World Dominating Organization and that everything they do is wholly and heartily approved by the Zionist High Command.

It is sheer ignorance to suppose that these so-called extremist elements in the Zionist Organization are uncontrollable. Who brought them to Palestine? How are they financed? Where do they get all their material? Where do they live? Where do they all hide? etc. Remember the slight error in the news that the Extremist Groups, Irgun, Stern, etc., were all working together and fighting under the command of Hagana at the end of the Mandate?

## THE CHOSEN FEW

It is time we commenced taking stock of this very disturbing and extremely dangerous element and the repercussion their activities are causing throughout the world. I have heard the Zionist Organization condemn these extremist groups, when it suited their propaganda purposes in the past, so many times that I feel the public must be entirely without their senses to go on believing it. Has the World reached such a state that there is no code of honor or Justice left? or is justice for the Chosen few? and that it must be their kind of Justice? Has the General public become so pathetic that they will accept anything the Zionists want them to accept? (Believe me, they have the means of propaganda to tell us). If the Arabs had been the offenders in this hideous outrage, you would have been made to think that Nazis were nice boys in comparison to these Arabs and it would have been plastered in five to seven inch type all over the world press.

It would not surprise me very much if they tried to pin this outrage on the Arabs (as some of the Editorials already are paving the way to condition the minds of the readers along these channels in spite of the testimony

(Please turn to page 3)

## BYRNE REPORT RELEASED BY BOARD

(Continued from page 1)

isting before the war. Whereas before 1939 the credit system was the main instrument for centralizing power and exercising control, since the war it has become a matter of relative secondary importance. Not only is financial reform **not the issue**, but under existing world conditions it is not even practical politics on the national plane.

f. That the problem confronting Canadians is essentially of a military nature. It is a matter of mobilizing sanctions on a scale which will defeat the rapidly maturing conspiracy directed against human freedom.

g. That the only **human** course of action likely to prove effective is to expose the nature of the conspiracy of which people are the victims, to identify the conspirators and those aiding them, and to bring the institutions of democracy—and specifically Parliament and through it the Armed forces of the Crown—under the effective control of the electorate.

h. That any attempt to alleviate economic reforms in face of the situation which exists, without dealing first with the political realities, will play into the hands of the enemy . . .

### B. The Nature of The Task

15. The objective of Social Credit is to liberate the individual from the restricting tyranny of human institutions so that he may live a full and abundant life in the free development of his personality, within a society which confers upon him the cumulative benefits of association. Such an objective stems from a concept of Life—or more accurately a philosophy—which recognizes Man as a spiritual being created for a Divine purpose which he should be free to pursue before Almighty God. This concept of Life—expressed most perfectly in the teachings of the Christian Gospels—confers upon the personality of every individual a sanctity which precludes the right of any person sit-

## Reader's Letters

(Continued from page 2)

of Acting Mayor of Jerusalem Wilson that he can identify these so-called terrorists).

Do not be misled. Why do you suppose the Berlin situation is being aggravated and agitated to such a pitch at this time out of all proportion? To dwarf and overshadow the killing of Count Bernadotte! so as to give us a cooling period. Let us not forget and keep pressure on our Government as a member of U.N. we are responsible for Count Bernadotte being in Palestine as Peace Emissary for that defunct organization.

Albert M. Shaben, Secretary,  
Canadian Arab Friendship League,  
Edmonton.

## Museum Pieces

So thoroughly is the work previously carried out by the Social Credit Board being continued (as promised by the Board's executioners), that much of the stock of Social Credit literature, which was kept on hand by the Board to meet the growing public demand for information, has been transferred to the vault in the Provincial Building reserved for the exhibits being accumulated by the Government for the future Provincial Museum!

ting in judgment upon him or thwarting the free expression of his personality. (The implication of any individual attempting to dictate and order the life of another is that he is usurping an authority which belongs to Almighty God alone.) A society based upon such a concept must be a free association of individuals each deriving the utmost benefit therefrom in terms of freedom to express and develop their personalities. In other words, the organization of society must be subordinated to the objective of personal freedom, and from this basic consideration are derived the principles which should govern all social organization.

16. Being directed to the attainment of the objective fundamental to the foregoing concept, Social Credit can be accurately defined—as Major Douglas has defined it—as “the policy of a philosophy.” The pursuit of that policy in the present world situation involves bringing to birth a new civilization from within a society being deliberately developed by powerful forces towards the opposite objective of the complete enslavement of the individual and the subordination of his life to the will of those exercising the power of control through institutions serving that purpose. This policy can be defined as that of Monopoly. Each of these diametrically opposite policies can be advanced only at the expense of the other. Every action which increases the freedom of the individual constitutes a victory for the policy of Social Credit; every action which restricts the freedom of the individual constitutes a victory for the policy of Monopoly. The key to this issue is the loci of social power and the law in this respect is that any increase of the power vested in one person must result in a corresponding decrease in the power of other persons. To the extent there is a concentration of power in a single individual or group, it must result in the diminution of power for others. And, of course, the reverse is equally true. Using the term in its social sense, to the extent that an individual possesses power—either in the political or the economic sphere—he possesses the right to do or use the things he chooses in those respective spheres; that is to say, he possesses freedom.

17. The policy of Monopoly is to use every new acquisition of centralized power to gain a further concentration of power. It is a predatory and aggressive policy which seeks to crush the instinctive opposition of the individual to being divested of freedom. The pursuit of the opposite policy of Social Credit must resist, endeavour to thwart and progressively strive to eliminate the policy of Monopoly. This must involve conflict to the point of war—war, “the pursuit of a policy by other means,” being the condition arising out of the resistance of one group seeking to prevent another group from imposing its will upon them. The protagonists of the policy of Monopoly are, in fact, engaged in war against humanity—a war in which they do not hesitate to unleash unrestrained violence against their opponents in furtherance of their objective. Before the policy of Social Credit can be advanced, the enemy of that policy must be resisted, thwarted in his advance, engaged and defeated. That is the essential nature of the task confronting the Social Credit Movement. The problem is a military problem—using that term in its broad sense—and involves identifying the enemy, seeking him out wherever he is to be found, engaging him and finally confronting him with sanctions of such overwhelming

force at the right times and places so as to ensure his final defeat. Questions of strategy and tactics must, of course, be left to those responsible for operations.

30. The main agencies being used to advance the policy of Monopoly towards its goal of a World Slave State are international socialism, international communism and international finance. These are all rooted in their parent body—International Zionism. Now it cannot be stressed sufficiently that one does not fight a policy. In the recent war, the British forces were not engaged in fighting Nazi-ism—that is national socialism, which was but another guise for the policy of Monopoly—but they fought the German forces which were operating under the principals of Nazi-ism. In this same way it is not socialism, communism, finance or the present body of Zionism, which have to be fought and defeated, but the persons responsible for their advancement—the advancement, that is to say, of their common policy.

31. Any attempt to identify and expose the enemy will call forth immediately the most violent counter-attack, because he knows that immediately people in large enough numbers realize that a condition of war exists, see plainly the issues involved, and have the identity of the enemy and his actions revealed to them, he will be confronted with formidable forces opposing him. Moreover, many of the forces he has mobilized under the banners of socialism, communism, and big business and big finance, will dwindle when individuals realize the nature of the policy to which they are giving support.

38. It may be assumed with confidence that the enemy is fully informed on the various branches of the Social Credit movement, the personalities involved and the strength or weakness of the several organizations. The focus of his attack against the movement will be concentrated on discrediting those sections of the movement and those measures being taken against his policy which constitute the greatest menace to his operations.

39. It is interesting to observe how consistently these tactics have been followed. Even prior to the election of 1935, strenuous efforts were made to drive a wedge between the growing Alberta movement and Major C. H. Douglas. These efforts were re-doubled after the election with such conspicuous success as to almost wreck the Alberta movement. Then the full venom of the attack was turned on the late Premier Aberhart, and with each tactical victory he achieved, the fury of the attack was intensified. As time went on, one by one, the informed Social Crediters who displayed any initiative, were singled out for attack—being denounced as “anti-Semitic”, or “fascist” or any smear likely to discredit them. Similarly, as the strength of the organization became apparent, the force of the attack previously directed against the Alberta movement was shifted to the Quebec movement, and latterly to the young Ontario organization engaged in mobilizing electoral action.

40. The disconcerting feature about this matter is that these attacks by the enemy are meeting with even more success within the Movement than any effect they seem to be having on the general public. This, and other disturbing incidents and developments within the Movement, both in Alberta and across Canada, indicate an urgent need for a full and frank re-examination of their position by all sections of the Social Credit movement.

## MAIN STREET

by N. B. James

My Dear Bertie:

You've had some queer notions in your life and asked me some weird questions, but I think your idea of going "Anti-Semitic" is about the goofiest of the lot. If you want a hobby why don't you take up collecting bobby-pins or morons. There are lots of both.

To begin with—you, together with a lot of other people, including synthetic editors & local politicians, have not the foggiest idea of what the word Semitic means. Secondly, seeing that there are a great many millions of the descendants of Shem in the world today, you wouldn't have time to hate them all.

You seem to think that the word Semitic merely covers a bunch of unfortunate—and sometimes not very savoury—Europeans, a considerable portion of the population of New York (including Wall Street), a lot of people in Los Angeles and Montreal and a small portion of the people of Edmonton.

As a matter of fact the word covers a good many nations, including the surviving members of the tribe of Judah, the nations of greater Arabia, Egypt and a score of others, so you'd have quite a job spreading the acid of hate over the lot.

But, besides being completely silly, to be anti-Semitic is highly dangerous.

If you insist on being anti-something why not choose something or someone from whom there will be no reprisals? Why not be Anti-British or Anti-American, or even Anti-Christian. You could be any one of these and be praised by Press and Radio, and might even be awarded a degree or something by the nearest University.

Just at present too you might safely be Anti-Communist, though I wouldn't advise this as the fashion may change any day.

On second thought, you can't safely be even that. According to the latest definition of the word, to be anti-Communist is to be Anti-Semitic. The two seem in some mysterious manner to go together, in just the same way that being anti-Communist seems to prove that you are a Fascist and a Reactionary (whatever that means).

But, my dear boy, I gather that what you really mean is that you want to be a "Jew-Baiter." Well that is the stupidest idea of them all. To begin with, there "ain't no such animal." Nobody but a complete moron would hate or bait a complete race of people just because they belonged—or claimed to belong—to that particular race. One doesn't hate people in wholesale lots. There are certain Jews whose characteristics nobody admires. As the underdog they are distinctly unpleasant and as the top dog they are unbearable, but I have known Englishmen, Scots and Irish and even politicians, whose characteristics rather nauseate one, but nobody would be crazy enough to hate all Englishmen, Scots, Irishmen or even politicians because of the unsavouriness of certain



# The Doctor's Dilemma

The following letter from Norman Jaques, M.P., was published in *The Canadian Social Crediter*, October 28, 1948:

You ask for my suggestions on "National External Affairs Policy." As you are aware I have made my ideas of a proper S. C. External policy plain enough in my speeches and contributions to the Canadian Social Crediter from time to time these past several years. As a result, as you know further, my name has been banned from the Canadian Social Crediter at the insistence of Zionists, and their Communist "fellow-travellers." You may further recollect the personal tribute our National Leader Solon Low, paid me in the House of Commons last March 17. This speech was printed in the Canadian Social Crediter in full, but the reference to me (17 lines in Hansard) was omitted.

I can only say I have no reason to change my attitude on External Affairs. The present international situation more than justifies the stand taken by me and my friends, and the future will but confirm the present. May I call your attention to an editorial in the Saturday Evening Post of October 2. "The important fact about the early 1940's is not Miss Bentley's spying, but the disastrous official American policy decided upon by Roosevelt, which did more to jeopardise America than all the thefts of secret documents. Yalta, Morgenthau Plan, Tito (betrayal of Mihailovich) and sell out—of Poland—these decisions and scores of others were taken by American Officials, and endorsed by a pro-

specimens.

Then again my dear boy, you've got to remember that there are a lot of people who come into the class of those who "say they are Jews and are not" (Revelation 2.9.) so it's senseless to go Jew baiting until you know more about the subject. Look it up sometime.

Then too, hasn't it struck you as rather peculiar that all this fuss about "Anti-Semitism" is quite recent? It is only within the last two or three years that the furor has started, and it commenced just about the time that the "Experts" woke up to the fact that Communism was infiltrating our land. Is there some mysterious connection?

There is no doubt that the Communists started the smear, but communists as a rule are not over-bright, and are probably just the rather clumsy tools of a more dangerous and sinister power, and while Communism so-called is undoubtedly linked up with this power, they are probably more of a "red herring" than anything else.

If you HAVE to employ your time and your not-too-keen brain on something nasty, why not investigate Political Zionism (no connection with Zion) and leave the Jews alone.

And while you and all the other Berties are placidly sitting down and waiting for the war that the "Experts" are talk-talk-talking us into, you might at least begin to question why hundreds of millions of people of all civilized (?) nations, none of whom want war, are being forced into it whether they like it or not.

If you do the investigating intelligently the result might be a revelation to you and the rest of us.

paganda bemused public.

"Even in 1945, at San Francisco, the American people were lost in fog.

"Even a slight degree of perspicacity would have saved us. Mr. Berle who possessed it, was exiled to Brazil. The Achesons and Hisses remained." Yes, and in our movement, the "Berles" were exiled, while the Achesons and Hisses remained, (as decided in Calgary last December.) The Editorial continues: "The responsibility for filling policy making jobs with these propagandists, through whom all information on foreign policy had to be filtered, goes to the top of the Roosevelt administration.

"President Truman, despite various moves to reverse the most disastrous Roosevelt policies, still fails to understand the extent of the damage, or the popular determination to repair it." This is equally true in Canada. In the meantime, Solon is in Paris and before committing myself further, I think the matter of our future External Policies should be left until he returns from Europe where he will gain first hand experience, and information on the international situation.

— Norman Jaques.

Dr. J. N. Haldeman states, in rebuttal:

"Mr. Jaques' statement regarding the Calgary Meeting, of the National Council calls for a reply. I was chairman of that meeting. No political Zionist or 'Communist fellow-travellers' were present. It was attended by members of the Council, Premier Manning, The Hon. Mr. Maynard and many of the M.P.'s including Mr. Jaques. No political Zionist or Communist travellers did or could exercise any influence on decisions arrived at. The policy of the Social Credit Association, as laid down by Mr. Low has been, that, we are opposed to political Zionism, international Communism, and international Finance but the Social Credit Association is not anti-semitic and is not opposed to cultural or religious Zionism. It was generally agreed at that meeting that any writings which conveyed the impression that Social Credit was anti-Semitic or opposed to any race and religion should be banned from the Canadian Social Crediter. The policy of the Canadian Social Crediter at that time was drawn up by a committee of Social Credit Members of the House of Commons headed by Mr. Low, National Leader. Their report was brought before the National Council and accepted." J.N.H.

### EXPLANATION, PLEASE

Perhaps Dr. Haldeman can explain why, since that time, the writings of Major Douglas, Norman Jaques and other recognized proponents of genuine Social Credit policy have been banned from the pages of the Canadian Social Crediter; why no expose of Political Zionism has been permitted; why all adherents of Douglas (including the powerful Quebec Social Credit organization and the Union of Electors in Ontario) have been repudiated, for all practical purposes, and the paper has settled down to an insipid booster for party political action, party politicians and a vague brand of anti-Communism?

Dr. Haldeman's account of the National Council Meeting in question is not the account which we received—and subsequent events satisfy us on the accuracy of our information.